TO: Senator Dole FR: Kerry

RE: Associated Builders and Contractors

*Audience of approximately 300-400 ABC members attending legislative conference.

*Other speakers at the breakfast include Congressman Goodling and Senator Nickles--who will be speaking when you arrive.

*They are expecting 5-10 minutes of remarks. Their top issues are balanced budget, tax relief, and regulatory reform.

LET ME JUST SAY RIGHT UP FRONT THAT AMERICA'S SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY COULDN'T ASK FOR A BETTER FRIEND THAN DON NICKLES. **AS A SMALL BUSINESSMAN** HIMSELF, DON CAME TO THE SENATE **KNOWING FIRST HAND THE DANGERS** OF OVER TAXATION AND OVER **REGULATION, AND HE'S BEEN** FIGHTING FOR FREE ENTERPRISE EVER SINCE.

I'VE HAD THE PRIVILEGE TO SPEAK TO THIS GROUP MANY TIMES IN THE PAST. BUT I HAVE NEVER STOOD HERE AS THE PRESUMPTIVE REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT OF THE UNTIED STATES, AND I'M OBVIOUSLY PLEASED TO BE HERE IN THAT CAPACITY.

BECAUSE I AM RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT, SOME MAY THINK WHAT I HAVE TO SAY HERE THIS MORNING IS POLITICS. BUT IT'S NOT. BECAUSE YOU KNOW FROM MY RECORD THAT I'VE DELIVERED ON MOST OF THE THINGS I'VE TALKED WITH YOU ABOUT IN THE PAST. AND YOU KNOW THAT **MY COMMITMENT TO AMERICA'S** SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY HAS **BEEN LONG TIME, IT'S BEEN CONSISTENT, AND IT HAS NEVER** WAVERED.

IT CERTAINLY DIDN'T WAVER IN 1993 WHEN PRESIDENT CLINTON INFLICTED THE LARGEST TAX

INCREASE IN HISTORY ON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND THE AMERICAN SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY. EVERY REPUBLICAN IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE OPPOSED THAT INCREASE.

AND LATER THIS WEEK, THE HERITAGE FOUNDATION WILL BE RELEASING AN ECONOMIC STUDY SUMMARIZING THE ECONOMIC DAMAGE OF THIS INCREASE.

AND WHAT THE STUDY MAKES **CLEAR IS THAT THERE IS A "CLINTON CRUNCH," WHICH HAS RESULTED IN** LOST JOBS, LOST OPPORTUNITIES, AND STAGNANT WAGES. ***1.2 MILLION ADDITIONAL PRIVATE** SECTOR JOBS *\$208 BILLION IN ECONOMIC OUTPUT *40,600 NEW BUSINESS STARTS *\$112 BILLION IN WAGES AND SALARIES

*\$264 BILLION IN DISPOSABLE

INCOME

*\$138 BILLION IN PERSONAL

SAVINGS

*1.3 MILLION NEW CAR AND LIGHT TRUCK SALES

*\$42.5 BILLION IN DURABLE GOOD

ORDERS

PRIORITY #1 OF THE DOLE ADMINISTRATION WILL BE TO GAIN BACK SOME OF THOSE LOSSES.

SPEAKING OF TAXES, I KNOW QUITE A FEW PEOPLE IN THIS ROOM ARE SELF-EMPLOYED AND ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS THIS CONGRESS DID WHEN REPUBLICANS TOOK CONTROL LAST JANUARY WAS TO MAKE PERMANENT THE HEALTH INSURANCE **DEDUCTION FOR THE SELF EMPLOYED**, AND TO RAISE IT FROM 25% TO 30%. AND THANKS TO MY AMENDMENT TO THE HEALTH CARE BILL THE SENATE PASSED LAST WEEK, THAT

DEDUCTION WILL INCREASE TO 80% OVER THE NEXT 10 YEARS. SO THIS CONGRESS IS TRYING TO ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE DAMAGE CAUSED BY PRESIDENT CLINTON'S TAX INCREASE. I KNOW A LOT OF YOU ALSO TAKE NOTE OF THE INTEREST RATES. THERE'S AN OLD SAYING THAT IF YOU LAID ALL THE ECONOMISTS IN AMERICA END TO END, THEY STILL WOULDN'T REACH A CONCLUSION. WELL, ONE THING ON WHICH ALL

ECONOMISTS AGREE IS THAT A BALANCED BUDGET WILL RESULT IN LOWER INTEREST RATES.

I WAS DISAPPOINTED THAT PRESIDENT CLINTON STOOD IN THE WAY OF A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION. AND I WAS DISAPPOINTED THAT HE VETOED THE FIRST BALANCED BUDGET IN A QUARTER-CENTURY.

AS PRESIDENT, I WILL DO EVERYTHING IN MY POWER TO SEND A

BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT TO THE STATES, AND I WILL PUT AMERICA ON THE PATH TO A BALANCED BUDGET--AND TO LOWER INTEREST RATES.

BY THE WAY, WHEN PRESIDENT CLINTON VETOED OUR BALANCED BUDGET, HE ALSO VETOED A REDUCTION IN THE CAPITAL GAINS TAX RATE. AND NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, THAT REDUCTION WOULD HAVE STIMULATED INVESTMENT, ECONOMIC GROWTH, AND BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION.

AND WHEN HE VETOED OUR **BALANCED BUDGET, HE ALSO VETOED** ESTATE TAX REFORM, WHICH IS **IMPORTANT TO MANY WHO HAPPEN** TO OWN A FAMILY BUSINESS. IT'S HIGH TIME WE HAD A TAX SYSTEM THAT ALLOWED SURVIVING SPOUSES TO HOLD ON TO THEIR HOMES AND FARMS AFTER THE DEATH OF THEIR SPOUSE.

MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT, BOTH A REDUCTION IN THE CAPITAL GAINS TAX RATE AND ESTATE TAX REFORM WOULD BE REALITIES IN A DOLE ADMINISTRATION.

AND SOMETHING ELSE THAT WOULD BE A REALITY IN A DOLE ADMINISTRATION IS REGULATORY REFORM--AN ISSUE I KNOW WHICH IS OF GREAT IMPORTANCE TO THIS GROUP. PRESIDENT CLINTON LIKES TO TALK ABOUT HOW MANY

REGULATIONS VICE PRESIDENT GORE HAS CUT. BUT THE FACT IS THAT PRESIDENT CLINTON STANDS IN THE WAY OF TRUE COMMON SENSE REGULATORY REFORM. **BEFORE I GO THIS MORNING, I ALSO** WANT TO SAY A WORD OR TWO **ABOUT MEDICARE--AN ISSUE OF IMPORTANT TO MANY OF YOUR** PARENTS, AND ONE THAT WILL BE **IMPORTANT TO YOU AS WELL, ONE** DAY.

TWO YEARS AGO, THE MEDICARE TRUSTEES--THREE OF WHOM ARE MEMBERS OF THE PRESIDENT'S CABINET--REPORTED TO PRESIDENT CLINTON AND CONGRESS THAT MEDICARE WOULD BE BANKRUPT BY THE YEAR 2002.

FROM THE DAY THE MEDICARE TRUSTEES ISSUED THEIR REPORT, REPUBLICANS HAVE WORKED TO PRESERVE AND STRENGTHEN MEDICARE. WE PROPOSED TO DO THIS

NOT BY CUTTING MEDICARE--BUT BY **SLOWING ITS RATE OF GROWTH.** UNDER THE REPUBLICAN PLAN **ADOPTED BY CONGRESS, ANNUAL** SPENDING PER MEDICARE BENEFICIARY WOULD INCREASE FROM \$4,800 THIS YEAR TO MORE THAN \$7,200 IN 2002. IF YOU BELIEVED WHAT PRESIDENT **CLINTON HAD TO SAY, HOWEVER, YOU** WOULD HAVE THOUGHT THAT INSTEAD OF INCREASING MEDICARE SPENDING FROM \$4,800 PER

BENEFICIARY TO \$7,200 PER BENEFICIARY, REPUBLICANS WERE TRYING TO THROW AMERICA'S SENIORS OUT ON THE STREETS. AND TO THE PRESIDENT'S CREDIT AS A PUBLIC SPEAKER, A LOT OF AMERICANS BELIEVED WHAT HE WAS SAYING.

THERE IS, HOWEVER A VERY BIG DIFFERENCE BETWEEN LEADING AND MISLEADING. REPUBLICANS CHOSE TO LEAD--AND WE SUFFERED IN THE POLLS BECAUSE OF IT. PRESIDENT CLINTON CHOSE TO MISLEAD--AND HE GAINED IN THE POLLS BECAUSE OF IT. BUT AS IS NOW BEING MADE VERY CLEAR, THE PRESIDENT'S GAIN CAME AT THE EXPENSE OF THE MILLIONS

AND MILLIONS OF AMERICANS WHO DEPEND ON MEDICARE.

WE NOW HAVE LEARNED THAT MEDICARE'S HOSPITAL INSURANCE TRUST FUND, WHICH PAYS HOSPITAL BILLS FOR THE ELDERLY AND

DISABLED, LOST \$4.2 BILLION--THAT'S **BILLION WITH A "B"--IN THE FIRST** HALF OF THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR. **THOSE LOSSES ARE MORE THAN 100** TIMES LARGER THAN THE \$35.7 MILLION LOSS THE TRUST FUND EXPERIENCED ALL LAST YEAR. THE \$4.2 BILLION LOSS IS ALSO IN STARK CONTRAST TO THE ROSY SCENARIO COMING OUT OF THE WHITE HOUSE LAST YEAR. AS PART OF THEIR ATTEMPT TO LEAD THE PUBLIC TO

BELIEVE THAT REPUBLICANS CONCERN WITH MEDICARE WAS "MUCH ADO **ABOUT NOTHING," THEY PREDICTED** THAT THE MEDICARE TRUST FUND WOULD TAKE IN \$45 MILLION MORE THAN IT WOULD SPEND IN THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR. OBVIOUSLY, THE WHITE HOUSE WAS AS OFF BASE IN ITS ECONOMIC PROJECTIONS AS THEY WERE IN THEIR POLITICAL ACCUSATIONS.

AND IT'S NOW PROJECTED THAT THE HOSPITAL INSURANCE TRUST FUND WILL NOT RUN OUT IN 2002 AS THE TRUSTEES ORIGINALLY PROJECTED. INSTEAD, IT WILL RUN OUT IN 2000 OR 2001.

IT'S MY HOPE THAT IN THE FACE OF THESE ALARMING NEW NUMBERS, THE PRESIDENT WILL CHOOSE THE PATH OF LEADING RATHER THAN THE PATH OF MISLEADING.

THERE ARE 189 DAYS UNTIL ELECTION DAY. AND BEFORE TOO LONG, PRESIDENT CLINTON AND I WILL BOTH BE ON THE CAMPAIGN TRAIL FULL TIME. AND THEN YOU'LL MAKE THE JUDGMENT. YOU'LL DETERMINE THE FATE OF AMERICA FOR THE NEXT FOUR YEARS. YOU'LL SIZE US UP. YOU'LL LOOK AT OUR RECORDS--LOOK AT WHAT WE'VE SAID. DO I AGREE OR DO I DISAGREE? AND YOU'LL DECIDE WHO HAS THE EXPERIENCE AND WHO

HAS THE QUALIFICATIONS TO PROVIDE THE LEADERSHIP AS WE GO INTO THE NEXT CENTURY. AND I'M CONFIDENT YOU'LL MAKE THE RIGHT DECISION.