This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas FILE No. 751 09/07 '95 18:16 ID:RLD-S239ttp://dolearchives.ku.edu 44639

PAGE 2

07720795 THU 17:24 FAX

no

FROM :

SPEAK

--- KO 15:39 1996.07-20

8194 P.02/02

Sept. 18 Dirkson Auditorium

12:30 pm

THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY

1150 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 2007.

PATRICAS BUTLER Vice Printlens (540) JEL 6615

331-6635-

July 17, 1995

The Honorable Robert Dola Majority Leader United States Senate 5-230, The Capitol Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator,

It was good seeing you today, and I'm grateful for your interest in the <u>Newsweek National Security Forum</u>, presented by Raytheon Company, which will be held on Monday, September 18. The theme of the Forum is "Are We Ready For The New World Order?"

Through your good offices, we have reserved the United States Senate Auditorium (Room 50 of the Dirksen Building) for the Forum.

It would be our great honor to have you speak at the luncheon concluding the Forum. The luncheon would also be held in the Senate Auditorium, beginning at 12:30 p.m. Richard Smith, sditorin-chief and president of <u>Newsweek</u>, would introduce you and moderate a brief question-and-answer period after your speech.

The Forum is scheduled to begin at 9 a.m. with an address by Secretary of Defense William Perry.

At 10 a.m., a panel discussion on the nature of new threats to America's security would feature White House national security adviser Anthony Lake, Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Gameral John Shalikashvili, former Secretary of Defense Dick Cheney, and former White House national security adviser Brent Scowcroft.

At 11 a.m., a panel discussion of defense budgets, force structures, readiness and related issues will feature members of the Senate Armed Services and House National Security Committees.

The audience will include members of the defense and foreign policy communities and the news media. Your speech would be offered for broadcast on C-SPAN.

We hope very much you will be able to join us for this Forum.

and a second second

Sincerely,

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

- TO: Senator Dole
- FR: Kerry

RE: Newsweek Forum on National Security September 18 12:30

*Randy and Mira believe that the Newsweek Forum is a good event. Their concern is that you are tentatively scheduled to deliver a speech at National Defense University at the end of the month, and wonder about the necessity of giving two speeches on a similar topic.

*Bob Ellsworth reports that the Newsweek event is "far more prestigious and more important" than National Defense University, and recommends that you accept. He believes that if you do both events you can give essentially the same speech.

2 Jan Jan

Accept Newsweek event

Decline Newsweek event

THE WASHINGTON POST COMPANY

1150 15TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20071

September 8, 1995

FROM :

MEMORANDUM FOR KERRY TYMCHUK

PATRICK BUTLER FROM:

SUBJECT: SENATOR DOLE AND THE NEWSWEEK FORUM

With further reference to our discussion last night, I thought it would be useful to give you a more detailed status report on the Newsweek National Security Forum on September 18.

John White, Deputy Secretary of Defense and author of Directions for Defense, will be the keynote speaker at 9 a.m. Secretary White will give an overview of the Pentagon's planning for new roles and missions of US armed forces.

At 9:30, we will have a panel discussion on the new nature of threats to America's security in the post-Cold War world. This panel will feature General Brent Scowcroft (national security advisor to Presidents Ford and Bush), Sandy Berger (deputy national security advisor to President Clinton), Senator Bob Kerrey (vice chairman of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence), and Howard Baker (former Senate Majority Leader and White House chief of staff).

At 10:45, there will be a panel discussion on defense spending, force structure, military quality of life, and related readiness issues. This panel will feature Senators Kay Bailey Hutchison and Joseph Lieberman of the Senate Armed Services Committee, Representative John Spratt of South Carolina (a former Defense Department official, budget expert, and ranking member of the House National Security subcommittee on military research and development), General Larry Welch (former Air Force Chief of Staff, chairman of the Institute for Defense Analysis and a member of the Readiness Task Force of the Secretary of Defense), and John O. Marsh, chairman of the Secretary's Task Force on Quality of Life (and the longest-serving Secretary of the Army).

We will have a half-hour break following the second panel to preparee for the luncheon in the same room. Luncheon will begin at 12:15, and Senator Dole's speech would begin at 1 p.m. He is scheduled to be introduced by Art Wegner, chairman of Beech Aircraft Corporation (a Raytheon subsidiary) in Wichita. - 2 -

In addition to C-SPAN, CNN and other national and international news media, the Forum will be covered by all the major military and veterans journals.

The audience will feature some of the most eminent members of the American national security committee, including the Undersecretary of the Navy, Paul Nitze, Helmust Sonnenfeldt and senior officials of the American Legion, AMVETS, Paralyzed Veterans of America, Atlantic Council, World Affairs Council, Center for Strategic and International Studies, AEI, Brookings, Henry Stimson Center, Aspen Institute Progress and Freedom Foundation, Progressive Policy Institute, Business Executives for National Security, US-Russia Business Forum and others.

In addition to the attention the event itself has generated, we plan an extensive post-event distribution of highlights of the Forum to veterans groups and other interested constituencies.

I've tried very hard to organize a prestigious, high-profile forum in which Senator Dole could share his views of both foreign and defense policy.

We're ready to go. Please advise.

FROM :

#

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

September 11, 1995

MEMORANDUM

TO: Senator Dole

FROM: Randy Scheunemann

SUBJECT: Defense Speech

After several fitful starts in June and July, it is time to reconsider a major defense policy speech later this month or early October. Scott Reed and I have discussed the speech and agree that this is a good idea at the right time. The speech ("Defending America in the 21st Century") would look ahead on issues from force structure and budget to technology and missile defense. This opportunity could be your major defense policy statement.

Dave Smith is already working with a core group on a draft. The National Defense University Foundation could host a luncheon speech at a major downtown totel (possibly September 27).

Do you want to proceed with a defense speech along these lines?

YES

NO

-

TO: Senator Dole FR: Kerry

RE: Possible opening line for Newsweek speech

I assume all of you spent part of this weekend doing what I did--turning to the index of Colin Powell's book to see how many times you were mentioned.

FROM :

1995,09-13 12:50

RAYTHEON COMPANY Presents The NEWSWEEK NATIONAL SECURITY FORUM

"Are We Ready For The New World Order?"

Monday, September 18, 1995 United States Senate Auditorium Room G-50, Dirksen Senate Office Building Washington, D. C.

Guests Arrive by 8:45 a.m.

- 9 a.m. Welcome by Richard M. Smith Editor-in-Chief and President, <u>Newsweek</u> and Introduction of John P. White
- 9:03 a.m. Keynote Address by John P. White Deputy Secretary of Defense
- 9:18 a.m. Question-and-Answer Period with Deputy Secretary White moderated by Richard M. Smith
- 9:30 a.m. Panel Discussion on New Threats to American Security Moderated by Evan Thomas Assistant Managing Editor and Washington Bureau Chief Newsweek

Panelists:

Howard H. Baker, Jr. Former US Senate Majority Leader and White House Chief of Staff

Representative Lee H. Hamilton Ranking Democrat and Former Chairman House Committee on International Relations

General Brent Scowcroft, USAF (Retired) National Security Advisor to Presidents Ford and Bush

Nancy E. Soderberg Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs

10:10 a.m. Audience Question-and-Answer Period with Panel moderated by Evan Thomas

10:30 a.m. Break

1995,09-13 1:

12:51 #517 P.04/07

- 2 -

NEWSWEEK NATIONAL SECURITY FORUM

10:45 a.m. Panel Discussion on Defense Spending, Force Structure, Military Quality of Life and Readiness Issues Moderated by John Barry National Security Correspondent <u>Newsweek</u>

Panelists:

Senator Kay Bailey Hutchison Senate Armed Services Committee Subcommittees on Acquisition and Technology Airland Forces Strategic Forces

Senator Patrick J. Leahy Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Defense

John O. Marsh, Jr. Former Secretary of the Army Chairman, Quality of Life Task Force Department of Defense

General Larry Welch, USAF (Retired) President, Institute for Defense Analyses Former Air Force Chief of Staff Member, Readiness Task Force Department of Defense

- 11:25 Audience Question-and-Answer Period with Panel moderated by John Barry
- 11:45 Break
- 12:15 Luncheon Served (Same Room)
- 1 p.m. Welcome and Introduction of Senator Dole by Arthur E. Wegner Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Raytheon Aircraft
- 1:05 Speech by US Senate Majority Leader Bob Dole
- 1:25 Question and Answer Period with Senator Dole moderated by Richard M. Smith
- 1:45 Forum concludes.

GOOD AFTERNOON. COLUMNIST **JACK KILPATRICK ONCE WROTE** THAT "WASHINGTON, D.C. IS A **GREAT PLACE FOR DOING, ACTING,** ACHIEVING, MOVING AND SHAKING. THE CITY IS CONSTANTLY MEETING, **VOTING, HEARING, DECIDING,** CONFIRMING, PASSING, REJECTING, SUSTAINING AND OVERRIDING.

WASHINGTON IS A PERFECT PLACE FOR ALL THESE THINGS. BUT IT IS NOT MUCH OF A PLACE FOR THINKING."

I WANT TO THANK WASHINGTON POST AND NEWSWEEK FOR PROVING THOSE WORDS WRONG, AND FOR PROVIDING AN OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL OF US TO DO A LITTLE THINKING ABOUT THE MOST IMPORTANT DUTY OF OUR

GOVERNMENT--NATIONAL SECURITY.

I KNOW YOU'VE ALREADY HAD **AN INTERESTING MORNING, AND** HEARD FROM NATIONAL DEFENSE EXPERTS BOTH IN AND OUT OF THE SENATE, ON TOPICS INCLUDING **DEFENSE SPENDING, FORCE** STRUCTURE AND READINESS. NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, THESE ARE CRITICAL ISSUES. BUT, DECISIONS

ON FORCE STRUCTURE, READINESS, AND DEFENSE SPENDING DEPEND **ON HOW WE ANSWER THE** FOLLOWING FUNDAMENTAL **QUESTION: DOES AMERICA WANT** TO ENTER THE NEXT CENTURY AS A SUPERPOWER? TO SOME THIS MAY APPEAR TO **BE A SIMPLE, OR EVEN,** UNNECESSARY QUESTION. AFTER ALL, SINCE THE END OF THE COLD

WAR, AMERICA HAS BEEN THE WORLD'S ONLY SUPERPOWER. BUT, IN MY VIEW IT IS AN ESSENTIAL QUESTION THAT MUST **BE ANSWERED -- NOW. WE CANNOT ASSUME -- INDEED IT IS** NAIVE TO ASSUME -- THAT **AMERICA WILL REMAIN AT THE** PINNACLE OF GLOBAL POLITICS, WITHOUT EFFORT, WITHOUT FORETHOUGHT, WITHOUT

PLANNING, OR WITHOUT LEADERSHIP.

MY ANSWER TO THE QUESTION IS THAT AMERICA MUST REMAIN A SUPERPOWER INTO THE NEXT CENTURY. FOR ONLY AS A SUPERPOWER CAN WE ENSURE THAT OUR INTERESTS AND IDEALS ARE FULLY PROMOTED AND PROTECTED.

AMERICA'S COLD WAR VICTORY HAS NOT CHANGED WHAT I **BELIEVE ARE OUR SIX CORE INTERESTS. THEY ARE:** 1. PREVENTING THE DOMINATION OF EUROPE BY A SINGLE POWER; 2. MAINTAINING A BALANCE OF **POWER IN EAST ASIA;** 3. PROMOTING SECURITY AND

STABILITY IN OUR HEMISPHERE;

4. PRESERVING ACCESS TO NATURAL RESOURCES, ESPECIALLY IN THE ENERGY HEARTLAND OF THE PERSIAN GULF;

5. STRENGTHENING INTERNATIONAL FREE TRADE AND EXPANDING U.S. ACCESS TO GLOBAL MARKETS; AND 6. PROTECTING AMERICAN CITIZENS AND PROPERTY OVERSEAS.

THESE INTERESTS CANNOT BE **PROTECTED WITHOUT AMERICAN INVOLVEMENT IN THE WORLD.** IN ADDITION TO OUR INTERESTS, **AMERICA HAS CORE IDEALS THAT** WE HAVE SUPPORTED **THROUGHOUT OUR HISTORY:** FREEDOM, DEMOCRACY, THE RULE OF LAW, THE OBSERVANCE OF HUMAN RIGHTS, AND DETERRING AND RESPONDING TO AGGRESSION.

CONTRARY TO SOME, I BELIEVE THAT OUR INTERESTS AND IDEALS, CAN AND SHOULD CONVERGE. BY PREVENTING SOVIET EXPANSION IN **EUROPE DURING THE COLD WAR WE** STOPPED THE DOMINATION OF THE **CONTINENT BY A HOSTILE POWER --**AND PREVENTED THE **ENSLAVEMENT OF MILLIONS OF** MORE EUROPEANS.

DOES AMERICA WANT TO ENTER THE NEXT CENTURY AS A SUPERPOWER? UNFORTUNATELY, THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION'S **ANSWER TO THIS QUESTION IS** "NO." THE ADMINISTRATION --FROM DAY ONE -- HAS BEEN UNCOMFORTABLE AND **APOLOGETIC ABOUT AMERICA'S** LONELY SUPERPOWER STATUS.

AS SUCH, IT IS NOT SURPRISING THAT U.S. FOREIGN POLICY UNDER THIS ADMINISTRATION HAS BEEN MARKED BY A LACK OF **ASSERTIVENESS, A LACK OF** CREDIBILITY, AND A LACK OF **RESOLVE -- IN SUM, A LACK OF** LEADERSHIP.

FROM DAY ONE, THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION HAS BEEN CONTENT TO HAVE THE UNITED

NATIONS IN THE DRIVER'S SEAT. WHILE I HAVE LONG BEEN A SKEPTIC OF THE ADMINISTRATION'S HAITI POLICY, JUST AS TROUBLING WAS THE FACT THAT THE **ADMINISTRATION SOUGHT U.N. APPROVAL TO ACT IN PURSUIT OF** WHAT IT TERMED OUR NATIONAL SECURITY INTEREST.

THE UNITED STATES CONTINUES TO ADHERE TO AN ILLEGAL AND

UNJUST ARMS EMBARGO ON THE SOVEREIGN COUNTRY OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA. WHILE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THE ARMS EMBARGO HAS ONLY PUNISHED THE VICTIMS OF AGGRESSION, THE ADMINISTRATION'S DEFENSE IS THAT THE U.N. SECURITY COUNCIL SUPPORTS THE EMBARGO AND THE UNITED STATES IS ONLY ONE OF FIVE PERMANENT MEMBERS.

ACCORDING TO U.S., BRITISH AND FRENCH OFFICIALS, THE ARMS EMBARGO WAS SUPPOSED TO LIMIT THE CONFLICT. IN REALITY, IT PROLONGED THE WAR BY GIVING THE SERBS A MILITARY **ADVANTAGE SO GREAT THAT NEGOTIATIONS WERE MEANINGLESS** -- ESSENTIALLY A PUBLIC RELATIONS EXERCISE FOR THE **BOSNIAN SERBS, AS WELL AS**

WESTERN LEADERS. FOR THOSE OF US NOT PARTICIPATING IN THE SO-CALLED CONTACT GROUP, IT WAS NO SURPRISE THAT DIPLOMACY DIVORCED FROM THE **CREDIBLE THREAT OR USE OF** FORCE YIELDED NO RESULTS. THE ADMINISTRATION **CONTINUES TO SAY THAT THIS** WAR WILL NOT BE WON MILITARILY, BUT AT THE NEGOTIATING TABLE.

YET, THE RECENT NATO AIR **STRIKES -- ALTHOUGH EXTREMELY** LIMITED IN THEIR MILITARY IMPACT -- TOGETHER WITH BOSNIAN AND CROAT ADVANCES DEMONSTRATE THAT DIPLOMACY ONLY SUCCEEDS WITH LEVERAGE. WITH NO DISRESPECT TO OUR U.S. **NEGOTIATORS, THE FACT THAT THE BOSNIANS AND THEIR CROATIAN** ALLIES ARE FINALLY REGAINING

LOST TERRITORY HAS MORE OF AN IMPACT ON PROSPECTS FOR A SETTLEMENT THAN THE SKILLS OF OUR DIPLOMATS.

THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IF THE ARMS EMBARGO HAD BEEN LIFTED THREE YEARS AGO -- THIS WAR WOULD HAVE BEEN OVER BY NOW -- WITH FAR LESS SUFFERING. BEFORE I LEAVE THE SUBJECT OF BOSNIA, I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE ABOUT THE RECENT NATO AIR STRIKES -- BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT THE MANNER IN WHICH THIS OPERATION HAS BEEN EXECUTED PROVIDES CONSIDERABLE INSIGHT INTO THE CLINTON FOREIGN AND DEFENSE POLICY.

IN AN UNPRECEDENTED MOVE --WHOSE FULL CONSEQUENCES ARE STILL UNKNOWN -- THE ADMINISTRATION FACILITATED THE SUBORDINATION OF THE WORLD'S STRONGEST MILITARY ALLIANCE --NATO -- TO THE DICTATES OF THE U.N. BUREAUCRACY.

LAST WEEK, NATO SUSPENDED ITS LONG OVERDUE AIR CAMPAIGN IN BOSNIA. ALTHOUGH THE SO-CALLED DUAL KEY ARRANGEMENT WAS MODIFIED, IT IS STILL IN PLACE, AND HAS ENSURED THAT OVER THE SKIES OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA, THE U.N. IS LEADING NATO. THE ZONE OF OPERATION, THE TARGETS, AND THE TIMING OF ANY AIR STRIKES CANNOT BE DETERMINED WITHOUT THE **APPROVAL OF U.N. COMMANDERS** OR THE U.N. SECURITY COUNCIL. AS SUCH, THE AIR STRIKES WERE LIMITED LARGELY TO NON-STRATEGIC TARGETS IN THE SARAJEVO AREA AND EASTERN

BOSNIA. THE AIRFIELD, MILITARY AIRCRAFT, AND WEAPONS FACTORIES AROUND BANJA LUKA ALL ESCAPED THE WRATH OF NATO AIR POWER. EVER IN THE PURSUIT OF EVENHANDEDNESS BETWEEN AGGRESSOR AND VICTIM, THE U.N. **DID NOT WANT TO TIP THE** MILITARY BALANCE IN FAVOR OF THE LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENT OF BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA. IF BY

WEDNESDAY, THE BOSNIAN SERBS DO NOT MEET NATO'S CONDITIONS AND A MORE AGGRESSIVE AIR CAMPAIGN AGAINST STRATEGIC **TARGETS WOULD BE DESIRED, A** DECISION FROM THE NORTH ATLANTIC COUNCIL WOULD NOT SUFFICE -- BOUTROS BOUTROS-**GHALI WOULD HAVE TO GRANT HIS** APPROVAL.

IN MY VIEW, THIS IS NOT THE WAY NATO SHOULD BE OPERATING. THIS IS NOT U.S. LEADERSHIP. THE PRIMACY OF **MULTILATERALISM IN THE CLINTON APPROACH IS ALSO REFLECTED IN** THE CHOICES MADE BY THIS **ADMINISTRATION ON HOW TO** ALLOCATE ITS DEFENSE AND FOREIGN POLICY RESOURCES.

FOR EXAMPLE, THE **ADMINISTRATION HAS SPENT** ALMOST \$3 BILLION FOR NATION-**BUILDING IN SOMALIA AND HAITI --**HARDLY STRATEGICALLY CRUCIAL **AREAS. THE ADMINISTRATION HAS** FUNDED U.N. PEACEKEEPING AT **RECORD LEVELS -- THE U.N. PROTECTION FORCES IN BOSNIA** ALONE COST THE AMERICAN

TAXPAYER ABOUT HALF A BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR.

SURE ENOUGH, BALANCING THE **BUDGET REQUIRES TOUGH DECISIONS. BUT, THE DEBATE OVER THE FOREIGN AID BUDGET IS** NOT JUST A QUESTION OF NUMBERS, IT IS ALSO A QUESTION OF PRIORITIES. IN ADDITION TO PUTTING AMERICAN INTERESTS

FIRST, WE NEED TO MAKE REFORMS IN OUR WAY OF DOING BUSINESS. **ELIMINATING DUPLICATION IN OUR FOREIGN POLICY** BUREAUCRACY IS A GOOD WAY TO STRETCH OUR LIMITED FOREIGN AID DOLLARS. THE CHAIRMEN OF THE FOREIGN RELATIONS AND INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS **COMMITTEES HAVE PROPOSED BUDGET-CONSCIOUS LEGISLATION**

THAT WOULD FOLD THE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL **DEVELOPMENT, THE UNITED** STATES INFORMATION AGENCY, AND THE ARMS CONTROL AND **DISARMAMENT AGENCY INTO THE** STATE DEPARTMENT. FURTHERMORE, REPUBLICANS **ARE PREPARED TO MAKE RADICAL**

REFORMS IN HOW AMERICA GIVES

FOREIGN AID. STUDY AFTER

STUDY, FOR EXAMPLE HAS **IDENTIFIED WASTE AND** INCOMPETENCE IN THE AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT. IN HIS ATTACK ON THE SENATE MARK-UP OF THE STATE, COMMERCE, JUSTICE **APPROPRIATIONS BILL -- WHICH** CUT FUNDING FOR U.N. **ACTIVITIES, INCLUDING**

PEACEKEEPING -- SECRETARY CHRISTOPHER SAID THAT "AMERICA CAN'T LEAD ON THE CHEAP." I AGREE WHOLEHEARTEDLY. AS I'VE SAID MANY TIMES, LEADERSHIP ISN'T FREE. IT COMES WITH A PRICE. AMERICA CANNOT LEAD ON THE CHEAP. BUT LET ME ALSO SUGGEST THAT THE

ADMINISTRATION'S ACTIONS SPEAK LOUDER THAN ITS WORDS. WHILE ACCUSING REPUBLICANS OF ISOLATIONISM, THE CLINTON **ADMINISTRATION HAS SOUGHT TO** SLASH AMERICAN DEFENSE SPENDING BY \$127 BILLION OVER FIVE YEARS. ALTHOUGH THIS IS NOT THE ONLY TEST OF THE **ADMINISTRATION'S COMMITMENT TO INTERNATIONALISM, IT SEEMS**

TO ME THAT IT IS A BETTER MEASURE THAN THE NUMBER OF FOREIGN AID GRANTS OR SUBSIDIES FOR QUESTIONABLE MULTILATERAL ACTIVITIES, SUCH AS THE U.N.'S CONFERENCE ON WOMEN IN BEIJING.

INDEED, A STRONG MILITARY IS FAR MORE IMPORTANT TO OUR NATION'S ABILITY TO PROTECT OUR GLOBAL INTERESTS AND TO

MAINTAIN OUR LEADERSHIP ROLE. A STRONG MILITARY IS A MEASURE OF THE U.S. COMMITMENT TO FREEDOM. A STRONG MILITARY IS ESSENTIAL TO RETAINING AMERICA'S SUPERPOWER STATUS. JUST AS OUR FOREIGN AID SPENDING MUST BE LINKED TO **AMERICAN INTERESTS, OUR** DEFENSE SPENDING SHOULD **PROTECT THESE INTERESTS, AND**

KEEP AMERICA PREPARED TO CONFRONT NOT ONLY THE THREATS OF TODAY, BUT THE THREATS OF TOMORROW. THE MILITARY FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES HAVE PROTECTED **OUR GLOBAL INTERESTS WHEN** THEY HAVE BEEN THREATENED. **OUR ARMED FORCES DID WHAT** NEEDED TO BE DONE IN IRAQ.

DESERT STORM CONFIRMED THAT OUR MILITARY IS SECOND TO NONE. BUT AS WE APPROACH THE NEXT CENTURY, WE HAVE TO RECOGNIZE THAT SOME OF THESE THREATS ARE COMING CLOSER TO HOME.

ONLY A FEW WEEKS AGO, THE IRAQIS ADMITTED THAT THEY HAD FILLED NEARLY 200 BOMBS AND WARHEADS FOR BALLISTIC

MISSILES WITH GERM AGENTS. IN ADDITION TO THE SHOCKINGLY ADVANCED NUCLEAR WEAPONS PROGRAM DISCOVERED EARLIER, **IRAO NOW SAYS IT RAN A SECOND PROGRAM TO DEVELOP A NUCLEAR** WEAPON BY APRIL 1991 WITH MATERIAL DIVERTED FROM NUCLEAR POWER REACTORS. THESE REVELATIONS SHOULD SERVE AS A WAKE-UP CALL. WE

MUST PREPARE TO DEFEND

AMERICA AT HOME.

IN JUST THREE TO FIVE YEARS, THE NORTH KOREAN TAEPO-DONG II INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE COULD REACH AMERICAN SOIL.

LET US RECALL THE WORDS OF SADDAM HUSSEIN, "OUR MISSILES CANNOT REACH WASHINGTON. IF THEY COULD REACH WASHINGTON, WE WOULD STRIKE IF THE NEED AROSE."

IN MY VIEW, IT IS TIME TO CHANGE THE COLD WAR ERA **REGIME ESTABLISHED BY THE ABM TREATY -- WHICH CURRENTLY** LEAVES THE AMERICAN PEOPLE **VULNERABLE TO MISSILE ATTACK** FROM ANY COUNTRY CAPABLE OF **DEVELOPING OR BUYING A LONG-RANGE MISSILE.**

HOWEVER, INSTEAD OF **RESPONDING TO THIS GROWING** THREAT, THE CLINTON **ADMINISTRATION IS STILL CLINGING** TO THE 1972 ABM TREATY -- IN THE DESPERATE HOPE THAT THE DOCTRINE OF "MUTUAL ASSURED **DESTRUCTION" OR MAD, WILL** PROTECT AMERICA. THE SENATE AND THE HOUSE **ARE WORKING TO CONFRONT THIS**

DANGEROUS CHALLENGE. DESPITE STAUNCH ADMINISTRATION **OPPOSITION, THE SENATE AND** HOUSE-PASSED DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION BILLS MAKE SIGNIFICANT STRIDES TOWARDS THIS CRITICAL GOAL. THE SENATE BILL REQUIRES -- AS U.S. POLICY --THAT THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE DEVELOP FOR DEPLOYMENT BY 2003, A MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM

THAT IS COMPOSED OF GROUND-BASED INTERCEPTORS -- AT MULTIPLE SITES -- FIXED GROUND-BASED RADARS, AND SPACED-BASED SENSORS FOR A DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES.

ONCE THE TWO BILLS ARE RECONCILED AND SENT TO THE WHITE HOUSE, IT WILL BE UP TO THE PRESIDENT TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT HE SUPPORTS DEFENDING AMERICA.

I FIRMLY BELIEVE THAT THERE IS **NO GREATER TASK AHEAD IN TERMS OF OUR NATIONAL** SECURITY THAN DEFENDING OUR CITIZENS AND OUR SOIL. INDEED, THIS IS THE DEFINING TASK FOR THE UNITED STATES AS THE WORLD'S ONLY SUPERPOWER. SHOULD WE FAIL IN THIS

ENDEAVOR, OUR CHILDREN AND OUR CHILDREN'S CHILDREN WILL PAY THE PRICE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.