TO: Senator Dole

FR: Kerry

RE: YPO Conference May 3, 1995

\*Audience of approximately 900 members of YPO and spouses attending week long public policy seminar in Washington.

\*YPO is organization of those who become President or CEO of a substantial business before the age of 40. They must leave YPO upon turning 50.

\*They're looking for 15-20 minutes on issues on Capitol Hill, followed by Q&A.

Chairman of Washington Conference is Michael Collins. His father was former Texas Congressman Jim Collins, who served in the House from 1968-1982.

THANK YOU. I'VE SPOKEN TO YPO GATHERINGS SO MANY TIMES, I ALMOST FEEL LIKE I'M A MEMBER. IN FACT, WHILE I KNOW YOU LIMIT YOUR MEMBERSHIP TO INDIVIDUALS WHO BECOME PRESIDENT BEFORE THE AGE OF 40, I'M HOPING THAT IF I BECOME PRESIDENT, YOU MIGHT BE WILLING TO MAKE AN EXCEPTION. **ACTUALLY, I FEEL RIGHT AT HOME** HERE. WHEN YOU SAY "MR.

PRESIDENT" IN THE SENATE CLOAKROOM, EVERYONE THERE TURNS AROUND.

THIS IS AN INTERESTING TIME IN WASHINGTON, D.C. I KNOW THAT QUITE A FEW OF YOU HERE ARE UNDER 40. THAT MEANS THAT THIS JANUARY WAS THE FIRST TIME IN YOUR LIFE THAT REPUBLICANS HAD MAJORITIES IN BOTH THE HOUSE AND THE SENATE.

AND IF WE FUMBLE THIS
OPPORTUNITY, THEN IT MIGHT BE
THE LAST TIME IN YOUR LIFE THAT
YOU'LL SEE THAT.

BUT I DON'T THINK WE'RE
FUMBLING OPPORTUNITY. AND,
MORE IMPORTANTLY, THE AMERICAN
PEOPLE DON'T THINK SO, EITHER.

IN FACT, THERE WAS AN NBC
NEWS/WALL STREET JOURNAL POLL
LAST WEEK THAT HAD A LOT OF

INTERESTING NUMBERS. ONE OF WHICH WAS THAT I WAS FAVORED FOR PRESIDENT BY 58% OF REPUBLICANS, BUT THAT'S ANOTHER MATTER.

THE MOST STRIKING NUMBER WAS
THAT FOR THE FIRST TIME IN THE
HISTORY OF THE POLL, MORE THAN
HALF THOSE ASKED APPROVED OF
THE JOB THAT CONGRESS WAS
DOING.

AND I HAPPEN TO THINK THERE
WAS A LOT TO APPROVE IN THE
FIRST 100 DAYS.

WE SAID THAT CONGRESS HAD TO COMPLY WITH THE LAWS WE IMPOSE ON EVERYONE ELSE.

WE PUT AN END TO UNFUNDED MANDATES.

WE PASSED LINE ITEM VETO LEGISLATION.

WE CAME WITHIN A VOTE OF SENDING A BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT TO THE STATES.

AND WE LEFT NO DOUBT THAT WE HAD NO INTEREST IN THE STATUS QUO. OUR MISSION IS TO REIN IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND TO RESTORE THE 10TH AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION.

WE KNOW THAT GOVERNMENT
DOES GOOD THINGS. WE KNOW

THERE'S A ROLE FOR GOVERNMENT. BUT WE ALSO KNOW THAT **GOVERNMENT IS TOO BIG AND TOO** INTRUSIVE. WE KNOW THERE ARE TOO MANY RULES AND REGULATIONS. AND WE KNOW IT'S TIME TO RETURN POWER TO THE STATES AND TO THE PEOPLE.

AND THE NEXT HUNDRED DAYS

MAY WELL DETERMINE HOW

SUCCESSFUL WE'LL BE IN MEETING THAT GOAL.

LET ME TALK FOR JUST A FEW MINUTES ABOUT SOME OF THE BIG DEBATES WE'LL BE ENGAGING IN DURING THE COMING WEEKS, AND THEN I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE YOUR QUESTION, OR ANY ADVICE YOU HAVE ON HOW TO BECOME PRESIDENT.

FIRST, LET ME MENTION MEDICARE.

IF SOME OF YOUR MOST TRUSTED **EMPLOYEE CAME TO YOU AND SAID** THAT STARTING NEXT YEAR YOUR COMPANY WOULD BE GOING BROKE, AND IN SEVEN YEARS IT WOULD BE BANKRUPT, YOU'D PROBABLY SIT UP AND TAKE NOTICE. AND YOU'D PROBABLY WANT TO CORRECT THE SITUATION.

WELL, PRESIDENT CLINTON IS ACTING A BIT DIFFERENTLY. HIS OWN TRUSTEES--THREE OF THEM ARE CABINET MEMBERS--ISSUED A REPORT LAST MONTH THAT SAID MEDICARE WAS GOING BROKE, AND WOULD BE BANKRUPT IN SEVEN YEARS.

AND HIS RESPONSE SO FAR HAS
BEEN TO PLAY POLITICS. HE HAS NO
NEW IDEAS AND NO THOUGHTS ON

HOW TO PREVENT MEDICARE FROM GOING BANKRUPT. INSTEAD, HE WANTS REPUBLICANS TO MOVE FORWARD, SO HE CAN SCORE POLITICAL POINTS BY ACCUSING US OF WANTING TO HARM AMERICA'S SENIORS.

I HAPPEN TO BELIEVE WE CAN PROTECT, IMPROVE, AND PRESERVE MEDICARE--AND WE CAN DO IT WITHOUT REDUCING SPENDING.

YESTERDAY, SPEAKER GINGRICH AND I INVITED PRESIDENT CLINTON TO COME MEET WITH US ON THE HILL, AND TO WORK TOGETHER ON A BI-PARTISAN BASIS--AS WE ARE ON TERRORISM AND WELFARE REFORM. REPUBLICANS AND DEMOCRATS WORKED TOGETHER IN 1983 TO SAVE SOCIAL SECURITY, AND WE NEED TO DO THE SAME THING AGAIN.

THE MEDICARE ISSUE IS SEPARATE FROM OUR EFFORT TO BALANCE THE BUDGET. AND THAT'S ANOTHER AREA WHERE THE PRESIDENT IS MROE THAN HAPPY TO POINT FINGERS. HE HAS GONE AWOL FROM THE BUDGET DEBATE, AND IS HAPPY TO POINT FINGERS AT REPUBLICANS, **ACCUSING US OF BEING ANTI-SENIOR** CITIZEN OR ANTI-CHILDREN.

THE MEDIA WILL REPORT THESE ACCUSATIONS, AND NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, SOME AMERICANS WILL BE CONVINCED.

BUT WHAT THE MEDIA WON'T
REPORT IS THAT IF WE SIMPLY
INCREASE THE GROWTH OF OVERALL
FEDERAL SPENDING BY 3% A YEAR
INSTEAD OF THE 5% PRESIDENT
CLINTON PROPOSES--AND DO
NOTHING ELSE--WE WILL REACH A

BALANCED BUDGET BY THE YEAR 2002.

AND WHAT THE AMERICAN PUBLIC MUST REMEMBER IS WHAT'S AT STAKE. THIS IS NOT JUST A BATTLE ABOUT A GOVERNMENT AGENCY OR PROGRAM. IT IS A BATTLE FOR THE STANDARD OF LIVING FOR ALL AMERICANS, AND FOR THE FUTURE LEAVE OUR CHILDREN AND WE GRANDCHILDREN.

THERE'S ANOTHER BATTLE I THINK
WE'LL WIN. AND THAT'S A BATTLE
TO GIVE AMERICA'S FAMILIES A TAX
CUT.

THIS SATURDAY, MAY 6, IS "TAX FREEDOM DAY." IT'S THE DAY WHEN AMERICANS FINALLY BEGIN TO WORK FOR THEMSELVES AND THEIR FAMILY.

THE NATIONAL TAX FOUNDATION
ESTIMATES THAT ALL MONEY

EARNED BY THE AVERAGE AMERICAN FROM THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR UNTIL MAY 6 WILL BE USED TO PAY LOCAL, STATE, AND FEDERAL TAXES. I HAPPEN TO BELIEVE IT'S HIGH TIME MOVED THAT DATE BACK WF CLOSER TO THE BEGINNING OF THE CALENDAR.

OUR SHORT-TERM PRESCRIPTION
FOR HELPING AMERICA'S FAMILIES IS
A DOSE OF TAX CUTS--AND WE CAN

START BY PROVIDING A TAX CREDIT FOR CHILDREN, AND BY LOOKING AT REDUCING THE ESTATE TAX. AND WE SHOULD ALSO CUT THE CAPITAL GAINS TAX TO STIMULATE ECONOMIC GROWTH, CREATE NEW JOBS, AND EXPAND OPPORTUNITY FOR ALL OUR PEOPLE.

BUT THAT'S JUST A START.

BECAUSE OUR LONG-TERM

PRESCRIPTION IS TO TOTALLY

REFORM A TAX SYSTEM THAT IS
MORE COMPLEX AND CONFUSING
THAN PRESIDENT CLINTON'S HEALTH
CARE PLAN OF LAST YEAR--AND
THAT'S SAYING A LOT.

TO DEAL WITH THIS PROBLEM,
SPEAKER GINGRICH AND I HAVE
FORMED THE NATIONAL
COMMISSION ON ECONOMIC
GROWTH AND TAX REFORM, AND WE

NAMED JACK KEMP TO LEAD THE COMMISSION.

AS YOU KNOW, JACK'S AN OLD QUARTERBACK, AND HE'S USED TO CALLING THE SIGNALS. BUT HE IS IN COMPLETE AGREEMENT WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS THAT NEWT AND I GAVE HIM--WE WANT THE COMMISSION TO RECOMMEND HOW WE CAN MAKE THE ENTIRE TAX

SYSTEM LOWER, FAIRER, FLATTER, AND SIMPLER.

THERE ARE TWO FINAL ISSUES I WANT TO MENTION.

THE FIRST IS TORT REFORM.

THERE ARE ALWAYS A LOT OF
LAWYERS IN WASHINGTON. IN
FACT, I'M ONE AND SO IS MY WIFE.

WE'RE THE ONLY TWO ATTORNEYS
IN WASHINGTON WHO TRUST EACH
OTHER.

**BUT THERE ARE MORE ATTORNEYS** HERE THAN USUAL THIS WEEK. THAT'S BECAUSE THE SENATE IS **DEBATING PRODUCTS LIABILITY AND** TORT REFORM, AND THE ENTIRE AMERICAN TRIAL LAWYER ASSOCIATION IS HERE FIGHTING TO PREVENT CHANGE.

IT'S GOING TO BE A CLOSE FIGHT,
BUT SO FAR, WE'RE WINNING THE
VOTES.

FINALLY, IF YOU'VE BEEN READING THE NEW YORK TIMES LATELY, THEN YOU KNOW THAT THEY'RE UNHAPPY WITH ME. THEY THINK MY BILL TO REFORM THE REGULATORY PROCESS GOES TOO FAR. AND HAVING THEM EDITORIALIZE AGAINST THE LEADS ME TO BELEIVE I'M ON THE RIGHT TRACK.

MY BILL SIMPLY SAYS THAT
MAJOR REGULATIONS--THOSE WITH

A \$50 MILLION IMPACT ON THE ECONOMY--WOULD UNDERGO A COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.

SECOND, WE WOULD STOP USING VERY IMPROBABLE AND EXTREMELY COSTLY SCENARIOS WHEN EVALUATING RISKS TO HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY.

I WANT TO ASK FOR YOUR HELP
HERE. I'M SURE ALL OF YOU KNOW
OF A FEDERAL REGULATION THAT IS

PARTICULARLY HARSH ON YOUR BUSINESS, THAT IS COUNTER PRODUCTIVE, OR THAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.

WHAT I WANT YOU TO DO IS TO WRITE THEM DOWN, AND SEND THEM TO BOB DOLE, CARE OF THE UNITED STATES CAPITOL.

THANKS FOR YOUR INVITATION,
AND I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
QUESTIONS OR SUGGESTIONS.