

Kay Bailey Hutchison      Reception      (9-29-94)Full nameGuests

Mervin Albert, Jr.

Bill Archer

Marjorie Arsht

Lorne Bain

James Baker

Sue Barnam

Katherine Barnett

Raymond Bastianello

Victor Beghini

Nelda Benninger

Norman Berkman

Bill Book

Steve Brown

Randolph Bruton

Jan Burkhardt

Rocky Carroll

Mark Clark

Dawn Coleman

John Cook

Robert Corte

Cathy and Lewis Cutrer,

Betty Edwards, M.D.

Doug Elder

James Elder, Jr.

Mrs. Kirby Ellis

Monty Eubank

Jeff Fhulse

Lawrence Finder

Kathy Flanagan

Gene Fontenot

Mary Geda

Thad Gilliam

Frances Godley

Bill Greig

Sharon Archer, Don Carlson

Michelle Bain

Pamela Baker

Melinda Berkman

Susan Burkhardt

Stacy Clark

Judy Cook

Jackie

Reina Fontenot

Mary Greig, Peter Taaffe, Carol Taaffe, Peter Taaffe, Diane Johnson

SEP-17-1994 00:46

P.03

Kay bailey Hutchison VIP Reception (9-29-94)

| <u>Full name</u>   | <u>Guests</u>    |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Jerry Hall         |                  |
| Richard Harris     |                  |
| David Hedges       | Kay Hedges       |
| Forrest Hoglund    | Sally Hoglund    |
| Kyle Janek         |                  |
| Laura Jordan       | Greg Bockhalt    |
| Lisa Jordan        | Chris Cunningham |
| Don Jordan         | Barbara Jordan   |
| Marvin Kaplan      |                  |
| Cristy Kates       | Scott Forbes     |
| Edward King        |                  |
| Clifford Kitten    |                  |
| Mark Kuebler       | Jennifer Kuebler |
| Allen Lackey       |                  |
| Earl Lairson       | Virginia Lairson |
| Betsy Lake         | Lloyd Lake       |
| David Lang         |                  |
| James Livsay, M.D. |                  |
| Jeff Love          | Kathy Love       |
| Robert MacLean     |                  |
| Humboldt Mandell   |                  |
| Bryant Manning     | Marcelia Manning |
| Charles Matthews   |                  |
| Pat McCall         | Bill Hagerman    |
| Richard McCarter   | Karen McCarter   |
| Charles Miller     | Host Committee   |
| Albert Myres       | Angela Myres     |
| Eddie Nettles      | Junc Nettles     |
| Dino Nicandros     | Tassie Nicandros |
| Janie Northrop     |                  |
| John Olson         | Lynnwood Olson   |
| Nancy Palm         |                  |
| Kaye Patterson     | Jim Patterson    |
| Mary Ann Payne     | John Perel       |

Kay bailey Hutchison VIP Reception (9-29-94)

Full name

Guests

Jerry Payne

Caroline Pierce

Michael Plato

Power PAC

Liz Quisenberry

John Reese

Mike Reinecker

Chris Reinecker

Hugh Roff, Jr.

Virginia Sanders

Gail Garfield Schwartz

Kent Sullivan

Fred Swain

Earl Thomas

Bill Thompson

Greg Travis

George White

Ron Woods

Beverly Woolley

Suzanne Ziotz

David Pierce

Richard Plato

Kevin Quisenberry, Virgil Waggoner, Junc Waggoner

Carolyn Reinecker

Rencé Reinecker

Ann Roff

Connce Sullivan

Rosalyn Thomas

Lynn Woolley

Murray Ziotz

**SENATOR BOB DOLE**

**HUTCHISON EVENT**

**SEPTEMBER 17, 1994**

**I'VE BEEN TO QUITE A FEW STATES  
IN THE PAST THREE WEEKS. NEW YORK.  
MICHIGAN. OHIO. COLORADO. OREGON.  
WASHINGTON. NEBRASKA. IOWA.  
KANSAS. VIRGINIA. NORTH CAROLINA.  
TENNESSEE. MISSISSIPPI. OKLAHOMA.  
AND NOW TEXAS.**



**AND IN EACH OF THESE STATES  
I'VE SEEN REMARKABLE POLL NUMBERS.  
REPUBLICANS AHEAD IN AREAS WE'VE  
NEVER BEEN AHEAD IN BEFORE.  
REPUBLICANS COMPETITIVE IN RACES  
THAT WERE THOUGHT TO BE SURE  
THINGS FOR THE DEMOCRATS.**

**I'VE BEEN SKEPTICAL OF POLLS  
EVER SINCE MY POLLSTER TOLD ME I  
WAS 20 POINTS UP ON GEORGE BUSH IN**

**NEW HAMPSHIRE, SO I TAKE ALL THOSE  
NUMBERS WITH A GRAIN OF SALT.**

**BUT MORE IMPORTANT THAN POLL  
NUMBERS IS WHAT I'VE SEEN WITH MY  
OWN EYES IN THE PLACES I VISIT. I SEE  
GOOD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES WITH  
GOOD IDEAS AND GOOD ORGANIZATIONS.  
I SEE RECORD CROWDS AT REPUBLICAN  
EVENTS. AND I SEE A NEW ENTHUSIASM  
FOR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.**

**THAT'S CERTAINLY THE CASE HERE  
IN <sup>TEXAS</sup> ~~CALIFORNIA~~. KAY HUTCHISON'S  
HISTORIC VICTORY LAST YEAR SENT A  
SHOCK WAVE ACROSS TEXAS AND ONE  
CLEAR ACROSS AMERICA.**

**AND ANOTHER SHOCK WAVE WILL  
BE SENT WHEN YOU ELECT GEORGE  
BUSH AS YOUR NEXT GOVERNOR.**

**KAY IS WINNING FOR A SIMPLE  
REASON. BECAUSE SHE'S DONE AN**

**OUTSTANDING JOB IN A VERY SHORT  
TIME, AND BECUASE NO ONE WORKS  
HARDER FOR THE PEOPLE OF TEXAS  
THAN SHE DOES.**

**AND GEORGE BUSH IS ON THE  
MOVE BECAUSE HE'S TALKING ABOUT  
IDEAS THAT MATTER TO TEXANS. IDEAS  
LIKE GOOD SCHOOLS AND SAFE STREETS.**

**NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, REPUBLICANS  
ARE ON THE RIGHT TRACK. BUT THERE'S**



**A LONG WAY TO GO BETWEEN NOW AND  
NOVEMBER 8. AND AS WILL ROGERS  
ONCE SAID, "EVEN IF YOU'RE ON THE  
RIGHT TRACK, YOU'LL GET RUN OVER IF  
YOU JUST SIT THERE."**

**SO REPUBLICANS AREN'T GOING TO  
JUST SIT THERE UNTIL THE ELECTION.**

**COUNTING TODAY, THERE ARE 52  
DAYS LEFT UNTIL NOVEMBER 8. AND IT  
STRIKES ME THAT THERE ARE ALSO 52**



**CARDS IN A DECK. THERE HAVE ALWAYS  
BEEN A LOT OF CARD ANALOGIES IN  
POLITICS. FDR HAD THE DEAL. TRUMAN  
HAD THE FAIR DEAL. AND, AS RUSH  
LIMBAUGH SAID, THE CLINTON  
ADMINISTRATION HAS GIVEN AMERICANS  
THE RAW DEAL.**

**WELL, COME NOVEMBER,  
CALIFORNIANS AND AMERICANS ARE  
GOING TO DO WHAT THEY SHOULD HAVE**

**DONE A LONG TIME AGO--AND THAT'S TO  
SHUFFLE THE CARDS AND TO PUT  
REPUBLICANS IN CONTROL OF THE  
HOUSE AND SENATE.**

**AND HERE'S WHAT REPUBLICANS  
WILL BE DOING IN THE NEXT 52 DAYS TO  
ENSURE THAT HAPPENS.**

**HEALTH CARE: THERE ARE STILL A  
FEW IN WASHINGTON, D.C. WHO THINK  
THAT AMERICANS ARE STILL DEMANDING**

**A PLAN TO TURN HEALTH CARE OVER TO  
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.**

**AND THERE ARE THOSE IN THE  
CLINTON ADMINISTRATION WHO ARE  
WRINGING THEIR HANDS AND ASKING  
"WHAT WENT WRONG" WITH THE  
HEALTH CARE DEBATE.**

**MY VIEW IS THAT INSTEAD OF  
ASKING "WHAT WENT WRONG," THEY**

**SHOULD REALIZE THAT FOR THE  
AMERICAN PEOPLE, THE DEBATE WENT  
RIGHT.**

**THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAD TIME  
TO STUDY THE ISSUE. THEY HAD ALL  
THE FACTS. THEY HEARD FROM  
EVERYBODY INVOLVED. AND THEY  
DECIDED THAT THEY DIDN'T LIKE THE  
CLINTON PLAN, AND THEY DIDN'T LIKE**



**THE MITCHELL PLAN--OR ANY PLAN THAT INVOLVED MORE GOVERNMENT AND LESS CHOICE. AND THAT'S HOW DEMOCRACY IS SUPPOSED TO WORK.**

**AND YES, THERE STILL IS A WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY TO DO WHAT I'VE BEEN ADVOCATING ALL ALONG--TO AGREE ON A FEW ISSUES WHERE THERE IS UNIVERSAL AGREEMENT--LIKE PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND**



**PORTABILITY--AND TO HELP MILLIONS OF  
AMERICANS.**

**BUT THAT WINDOW CLOSES A  
LITTLE BIT MORE EACH DAY, AND  
THERE'S NOT MUCH TIME LEFT BEFORE  
IT CLOSES COMPLETELY.**

**CRIME: IN THE NEXT 52 DAYS,  
REPUBLICANS CAN TAKE PRIDE IN  
TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE TRIED TO DO  
WITH THE CRIME BILL. WE DIDN'T TRY**

**TO EMBARRASS THE PRESIDENT. WE  
TRIED TO TAKE A BAD BILL AND MAKE IT  
BETTER. WE TRIED TO MAKE THE BILL  
'100% FAT FREE.' WE TRIED TO PUT BACK  
SOME TOUGH PENALTIES AND  
PROVISIONS THAT HAD BEEN REMOVED.**

**AND IF NEWT GINGRICH IS  
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE AND BOB DOLE  
IS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER THEN YOU  
CAN EXPECT A CRIME BILL THAT**

**RESTORES THE PENALTIES, AND  
REMOVES THE PORK.**

**WE THE PEOPLE: IN THE NEXT 52  
DAYS, REPUBLICANS MUST CONTINUE TO  
TALK ABOUT THE FUNDAMENTAL  
DIFFERENCES IN PHILOSOPHY THAT WE  
HAVE WITH PRESIDENT CLINTON AND  
THE DEMOCRATS.**

**AND THAT DIFFERENCE CAN BE  
SUMMED UP IN JUST THREE WORDS--**

**"WE, THE PEOPLE." THEY ARE THE FIRST  
THREE WORDS OF OUR CONSTITUTION,  
AND THEY ARE WHAT THE REPUBLICAN  
PARTY IS ALL ABOUT.**

**WE BELIEVE IN THE AMERICAN  
PEOPLE--NO MATTER THEIR ECONOMIC  
BACKGROUND, THEIR RELIGION, OR THEIR  
RACE. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PEOPLE  
KNOW BEST. WE BELIEVE THAT**



**GOVERNMENT SHOULD TAKE AWAY LESS  
OF YOUR HARD EARNED MONEY. WE  
BELIEVE YOU SHOULD MAKE DECISIONS  
LIKE WHERE YOUR CHILDREN SHOULD GO  
TO SCHOOL, AND WHAT HEALTH PLAN IS  
BEST FOR YOUR FAMILY.**

**WE BELIEVE IN SMALL  
BUSINESSMEN AND WOMEN, FARMERS,  
LABORERS, AND MANAGERS. WE WANT  
TO REWARD PERSONAL INITIATIVE AND**



**RISK TAKING IN THE MARKETPLACE. WE  
BELIEVE THAT IF YOU ARE ALLOWED TO  
CREATE AND BUILD AND DREAM, THEN  
AMERICA AND HER PEOPLE WILL BECOME  
MORE DYNAMIC AND PROSPEROUS.**

**"WE, THE PEOPLE." THAT'S THE  
REPUBLICAN PHILOSOPHY. AND THE  
DEMOCRAT PHILOSOPHY IS VERY VERY  
DIFFERENT. THEIR PHILOSOPHY IS "WE,  
THE GOVERNMENT."**

**PRESIDENT CLINTON AND THE  
DEMOCRATS DON'T SEE PEOPLE AS  
UNIQUE INDIVIDUALS--THEY LUMP THEM  
TOGETHER AS SPECIAL INTEREST  
GROUPS.**

**THEY BELIEVE THAT GOVERNMENT  
KNOWS BEST. WHO SHOULD DECIDE  
WHERE YOUR CHILDREN SHOULD GO TO  
SCHOOL? THE GOVERNMENT. WHO  
SHOULD DECIDE WHAT TYPE OF CHILD**

**CARE IS BEST FOR YOUR CHILDREN?  
THE GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD  
DECIDE WHAT'S INCLUDED IN YOUR  
HEALTH CARE PLAN? THE GOVERNMENT.  
WHO SHOULD SET THE LEAVE POLICIES  
FOR YOUR EMPLOYER? THE  
GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD DECIDE  
THE LAND AND WATER POLICIES FOR THE  
WESTERN STATES? THE FEDERAL  
GOVERNMENT.**

**PRESIDENT CLINTON RAN FOR  
OFFICE SAYING HE WAS A "NEW  
DEMOCRAT." BUT WHAT WE'VE SEEN  
FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS--MORE  
TAXES, MORE SPENDING, AND MORE  
BUREAUCRACY, IS MORE OF THE SAME  
OLD PHILOSOPHY OF "WE, THE  
GOVERNMENT."**

**FOREIGN POLICY: BUT THE MOST  
IMPORTANT EVENT OF THE NEXT 52**



**DAYS MAY NOT HAPPEN HERE IN  
AMERICA--IN MAY HAPPEN IN HAITI.**

**MY FEELINGS ABOUT HAITI ARE  
WELL KNOWN. I DON'T THINK  
RESTORING JEAN-BERTRAND ARISTIDE TO  
THE PRESIDENCY OF HAITI IS WORTH  
ENDANGERING ONE AMERICAN LIFE. AND  
MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT, AMERICAN  
LIVES WILL BE LOST DURING AN  
INVASION.**



**I HAVE NO DOUBT ABOUT THE  
EXCELLENCE AND SUPERIORITY OF THE  
AMERICAN MILITARY. AND ANY  
MILITARY BATTLES WILL BE SHORT  
ONES. BUT ACCIDENTS HAPPEN.**

**IF AMERICAN TROOPS ARE SENT  
INTO HAITI, THEN THE RESPONSE OF  
REPUBLICANS AND ALL AMERICANS IS  
CLEAR. WHILE THE TROOPS ARE IN  
BATTLE, WE MUST SUPPORT THEM AND**

**WE MUST SUPPORT THE PRESIDENT.**

**BUT WHEN OUR TROOPS ARE OUT  
OF HARM'S WAY, THEN OUR DUTY IS TO  
DO WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING--AND  
THAT'S TO QUESTION A POLICY WHICH  
WE BELIEVE IS MISGUIDED.**

**PRESIDENT CLINTON HAS TALKED A  
LOT ABOUT AMERICAN CREDIBILITY IN  
THE LAST FEW DAYS. AND IT STRIKES  
ME THAT WHEN HE WAS SWORN INTO**

**OFFICE, AMERICAN CREDIBILITY WAS AT  
AN ALL-TIME HIGH. THE SOVIET UNION  
WAS DEFEATED. GERMANY WAS RE-  
UNITED PEACEFULLY. SADDAM  
HUSSEIN'S LAND GRAB IN THE PERSIAN  
GULF WAS REVERSED. OPERATION  
DESERT STORM STOOD AS LESSON TO  
ANY WOULD-BE TYRANTS. AMERICAN  
LEADERSHIP HAD BEEN DEMONSTRATED  
TO THE WORLD, AND AMERICAN RESOLVE**

**WAS NOT DOUBTED.**

**LESS THAN TWO YEARS LATER,  
THE SITUATION HAS DRAMATICALLY  
CHANGED. REVERSALS, NOT RESOLVE,  
NOW CHARACTERIZE AMERICAN FOREIGN  
POLICY. FLIP-FLOP, INDECISION, AND  
APPEASEMENT ARE NOW THE  
WATCHWORDS OF AMERICAN FOREIGN  
POLICY. AMERICAN INTERESTS ARE  
CHALLENGED AROUND THE GLOBE, AND**



**REGARDLESS OF WHAT HAPPENS IN  
HAITI, AMERICAN CREDIBILITY IS AT AN  
ALL-TIME LOW.**

**AND ALONG WITH ALL THIS, THE  
PRESIDENT'S BUDGET PLAN--PASSED  
OVER THE UNANIMOUS OBJECTION OF  
REPUBLICANS--SLASHED \$127 BILLION  
FROM AN ALREADY SCALED DOWN  
MILITARY. IT CAUSED A SHORTFALL IN  
OUR READINESS ACCOUNTS, AND IT**

**FORCES OVER 600,000 PEOPLE OUT OF  
OUR MILITARY, AS WELL AS MAKING  
DRASTIC CUTS IN OUR NATIONAL GUARD.**

**CONGRESS DID INCREASE THE  
READINESS ACCOUNTS, BUT BECAUSE  
THE PRESIDENT'S PLAN CUT DEFENSE SO  
DEEP, THE PENTAGON MUST CANCEL  
NEARLY EVERY NEW PROGRAM JUST TO  
COVER ADEQUATE PAY AND TRAINING  
FOR THE TROOPS.**

**AMERICA CAN ONLY HAVE ONE  
PRESIDENT AT A TIME. BUT WITH  
REPUBLICAN MAJORITIES IN THE HOUSE  
AND SENATE, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT  
THE GUTTING OF AMERICA'S MILITARY  
WILL STOP, AND CREDIBILITY WILL BE  
RESTORED TO OUR FOREIGN POLICY.**

**REPUBLICAN ARE ON THE RIGHT  
TRACK. AND WE'VE GOT 52 DAY TO  
KEEP MOVING FORWARD, TO MAKE OUR**

**CASE TO AMERICANS, AND TO STAND UP  
FOR OUR PHILOSOPHY AND OUR IDEAS. I  
THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR ALL YOUR  
WORK OVER THE NEXT 52 DAYS, AND I  
HOPE TO SEE YOU NEXT YEAR AS  
MAJORITY LEADER OF THE UNITED  
STATES SENATE.**



TO: Senator Dole  
FR: Kerry

*Robin Bull*

RE: Brian Lungren reviewed and approved remarks. He also suggested the following points:

\*Be sure and praise Tirso Del Junco, the State Chairman. Brian said he is trying to recruit him for 96.

\*All the state office candidates will be there, and you might want to mention them by name.

LT. GOVERNOR: Kathy Wright

ATTORNEY GENERAL: Dan Lungren

TREASURER: Matt Fong (His mother is Marge Fong Eu, Former Secretary of State (A Democrat) and current Ambassador to Micronesia. He wrote you a complimentary letter after the Nixon funeral.

CONTROLLER: Tom McLintock

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER: Chuck Quackenbush

SECRETARY OF STATE: Bill Jones

\*The current California Congressional delegation is 30 Democrats and 22 Republicans...You may want to set a goal of turning those numbers around--giving Republicans 1/5 of the gains they need to get control.

**SENATOR BOB DOLE  
REMARKS PREPARED FOR DELIVERY  
CALIFORNIA GOP CONVENTION  
SEPTEMBER 17, 1994**

I'VE BEEN TO QUITE A FEW STATES IN THE PAST THREE WEEKS. NEW YORK. MICHIGAN. OHIO. COLORADO. OREGON. WASHINGTON. NEBRASKA. IOWA. KANSAS. VIRGINIA. NORTH CAROLINA. TENNESSEE. MISSISSIPPI. OKLAHOMA. TEXAS. AND NOW CALIFORNIA

AND IN EACH OF THESE STATES I'VE SEEN REMARKABLE POLL NUMBERS. REPUBLICANS AHEAD IN AREAS WE'VE NEVER BEEN AHEAD IN BEFORE. REPUBLICANS COMPETITIVE IN RACES THAT WERE THOUGHT TO BE SURE THINGS FOR THE DEMOCRATS.

I'VE BEEN SKEPTICAL OF POLLS EVER SINCE MY POLLSTER TOLD ME I WAS 20 POINTS UP ON GEORGE BUSH IN NEW HAMPSHIRE, SO I TAKE ALL THOSE NUMBERS WITH A GRAIN OF SALT.

BUT MORE IMPORTANT THAN POLL NUMBERS IS WHAT I'VE SEEN WITH MY OWN EYES IN THE PLACES I VISIT. I SEE GOOD REPUBLICAN CANDIDATES WITH GOOD IDEAS AND GOOD ORGANIZATIONS. I SEE RECORD CROWDS AT REPUBLICAN EVENTS. AND I SEE A NEW ENTHUSIASM FOR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY.

THAT'S CERTAINLY THE CASE HERE IN CALIFORNIA. IT WASN'T ALL THAT LONG AGO WHEN THE ONLY PEOPLE WHO THOUGHT PETE WILSON AND MIKE HUFFINGTON HAD A CHANCE WERE PETE WILSON AND MIKE HUFFINGTON.

BUT NOW PETE'S AHEAD IN THE POLL'S AND MIKE'S NUMBERS HAVE GONE UP AND UP AND UP.

PETE IS WINNING FOR A SIMPLE REASON. BECAUSE HE'S DONE AN OUTSTANDING JOB UNDER VERY TRYING CIRCUMSTANCES, AND HE DESERVES A SECOND TERM TO FINISH THE JOB. AND HE'S WINNING BECAUSE CALIFORNIANS UNDERSTAND THAT HE'S GOT THE RIGHT IDEAS ON CRIME, ON ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION, AND ON CONTINUING THE CALIFORNIA COMEBACK.

AND MIKE HUFFINGTON IS ONE THE MOVE BECAUSE HE'S TALKING ABOUT IDEAS THAT MATTER TO CALIFORNIANS. IDEAS LIKE THE BALANCED BUDGET AMENDMENT, THE LINE ITEM VETO, AND TAX CUTS FOR AMERICA'S FAMILIES.

NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, REPUBLICANS ARE ON THE RIGHT TRACK. BUT THERE'S A LONG WAY TO GO BETWEEN NOW AND NOVEMBER 8. AND AS WILL ROGERS ONCE SAID, "EVEN IF YOU'RE ON THE RIGHT TRACK, YOU'LL GET RUN OVER IF YOU JUST SIT THERE."

SO REPUBLICANS AREN'T GOING TO JUST SIT THERE UNTIL THE ELECTION.



COUNTING TODAY, THERE ARE 52 DAYS LEFT UNTIL NOVEMBER 8. AND IT STRIKES ME THAT THERE ARE ALSO 52 CARDS IN A DECK. THERE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN A LOT OF CARD ANALOGIES IN POLITICS. FDR HAD THE DEAL. TRUMAN HAD THE FAIR DEAL. AND, AS RUSH LIMBAUGH SAID, THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION HAS GIVEN AMERICANS THE RAW DEAL.

WELL, COME NOVEMBER, CALIFORNIANS AND AMERICANS ARE GOING TO DO WHAT THEY SHOULD HAVE DONE A LONG TIME AGO--AND THAT'S TO SHUFFLE THE CARDS AND TO PUT REPUBLICANS IN CONTROL OF THE HOUSE AND SENATE.

AND HERE'S WHAT REPUBLICANS WILL BE DOING IN THE NEXT 52 DAYS TO ENSURE THAT HAPPENS.

**HEALTH CARE:** THERE ARE STILL A FEW IN WASHINGTON, D.C. WHO THINK THAT AMERICANS ARE STILL DEMANDING A PLAN TO TURN HEALTH CARE OVER TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

AND THERE ARE THOSE IN THE CLINTON ADMINISTRATION WHO ARE WRINGING THEIR HANDS AND ASKING "WHAT WENT WRONG" WITH THE HEALTH CARE DEBATE.

MY VIEW IS THAT INSTEAD OF ASKING "WHAT WENT WRONG," THEY SHOULD REALIZE THAT FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, THE DEBATE WENT RIGHT.

THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAD TIME TO STUDY THE ISSUE. THEY HAD ALL THE FACTS. THEY HEARD FROM EVERYBODY INVOLVED. AND THEY DECIDED THAT THEY DIDN'T LIKE THE CLINTON PLAN, AND THEY DIDN'T LIKE THE MITCHELL PLAN--OR ANY PLAN THAT INVOLVED MORE GOVERNMENT AND LESS CHOICE. AND THAT'S HOW DEMOCRACY IS SUPPOSED TO WORK.

AND YES, THERE STILL IS A WINDOW OF OPPORTUNITY TO DO WHAT I'VE BEEN ADVOCATING ALL ALONG--TO AGREE ON A FEW ISSUES WHERE THERE IS UNIVERSAL AGREEMENT--LIKE PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS, AND PORTABILITY--AND TO HELP MILLIONS OF AMERICANS.

BUT THAT WINDOW CLOSES A LITTLE BIT MORE EACH DAY, AND THERE'S NOT MUCH TIME LEFT BEFORE IT CLOSES COMPLETELY.

**CRIME:** IN THE NEXT 52 DAYS, REPUBLICANS CAN TAKE PRIDE IN TALKING ABOUT WHAT WE TRIED TO DO WITH THE CRIME BILL. WE DIDN'T TRY TO EMBARRASS THE PRESIDENT. WE TRIED TO TAKE A BAD BILL AND MAKE IT BETTER. WE TRIED TO MAKE THE BILL 100% FAT FREE. WE TRIED TO PUT BACK SOME TOUGH PENALTIES AND PROVISIONS THAT HAD BEEN REMOVED.

AND IF NEWT GINGRICH IS SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE AND BOB DOLE IS SENATE MAJORITY LEADER THEN YOU CAN EXPECT A CRIME BILL THAT RESTORES THE PENALTIES, AND REMOVES THE PORK.



**WE THE PEOPLE:** IN THE NEXT 52 DAYS, REPUBLICANS MUST CONTINUE TO TALK ABOUT THE FUNDAMENTAL DIFFERENCES IN PHILOSOPHY THAT WE HAVE WITH PRESIDENT CLINTON AND THE DEMOCRATS.

AND THAT DIFFERENCE CAN BE SUMMED UP IN JUST THREE WORDS-- "WE, THE PEOPLE." THEY ARE THE FIRST THREE WORDS OF OUR CONSTITUTION, AND THEY ARE WHAT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS ALL ABOUT.

WE BELIEVE IN THE AMERICAN PEOPLE--NO MATTER THEIR ECONOMIC BACKGROUND, THEIR RELIGION, OR THEIR RACE. WE BELIEVE THAT THE PEOPLE KNOW BEST. WE BELIEVE THAT GOVERNMENT SHOULD TAKE AWAY LESS OF YOUR HARD EARNED MONEY. WE BELIEVE YOU SHOULD MAKE DECISIONS LIKE WHERE YOUR CHILDREN SHOULD GO TO SCHOOL, AND WHAT HEALTH PLAN IS BEST FOR YOUR FAMILY.

WE BELIEVE IN SMALL BUSINESSMEN AND WOMEN, FARMERS, LABORERS, AND MANAGERS. WE WANT TO REWARD PERSONAL INITIATIVE AND RISK TAKING IN THE MARKETPLACE. WE BELIEVE THAT IF YOU ARE ALLOWED TO CREATE AND BUILD AND DREAM, THEN AMERICA AND HER PEOPLE WILL BECOME MORE DYNAMIC AND PROSPEROUS.

"WE, THE PEOPLE." THAT'S THE REPUBLICAN PHILOSOPHY. AND THE DEMOCRAT PHILOSOPHY IS VERY VERY DIFFERENT. THEIR PHILOSOPHY IS "WE, THE GOVERNMENT."

PRESIDENT CLINTON AND THE DEMOCRATS DON'T SEE PEOPLE AS UNIQUE INDIVIDUALS--THEY LUMP THEM TOGETHER AS SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS.

THEY BELIEVE THAT GOVERNMENT KNOWS BEST. WHO SHOULD DECIDE WHERE YOUR CHILDREN SHOULD GO TO SCHOOL? THE GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD DECIDE WHAT TYPE OF CHILD CARE IS BEST FOR YOUR CHILDREN? THE GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD DECIDE WHAT'S INCLUDED IN YOUR HEALTH CARE PLAN? THE GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD SET THE LEAVE POLICIES FOR YOUR EMPLOYER? THE GOVERNMENT. WHO SHOULD DECIDE THE LAND AND WATER POLICIES FOR THE WESTERN STATES? THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

PRESIDENT CLINTON RAN FOR OFFICE SAYING HE WAS A "NEW DEMOCRAT." BUT WHAT WE'VE SEEN FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS--MORE TAXES, MORE SPENDING, AND MORE BUREAUCRACY, IS MORE OF THE SAME OLD PHILOSOPHY OF "WE, THE GOVERNMENT."

**FOREIGN POLICY:** BUT THE MOST IMPORTANT EVENT OF THE NEXT 52 DAYS MAY NOT HAPPEN HERE IN AMERICA--IN MAY HAPPEN IN HAITI.

MY FEELINGS ABOUT HAITI ARE WELL KNOWN. I DON'T THINK RESTORING JEAN-BERTRAND ARISTIDE TO THE PRESIDENCY OF HAITI IS WORTH ENDANGERING ONE AMERICAN LIFE. AND MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT, AMERICAN LIVES WILL BE LOST DURING AN INVASION.



I HAVE NO DOUBT ABOUT THE EXCELLENCE AND SUPERIORITY OF THE AMERICAN MILITARY. AND ANY MILITARY BATTLES WILL BE SHORT ONES. BUT ACCIDENTS HAPPEN.

IF AMERICAN TROOPS ARE SENT INTO HAITI, THEN THE RESPONSE OF REPUBLICANS AND ALL AMERICANS IS CLEAR. WHILE THE TROOPS ARE IN BATTLE, WE MUST SUPPORT THEM AND WE MUST SUPPORT THE PRESIDENT.

BUT WHEN OUR TROOPS ARE OUT OF HARM'S WAY, THEN OUR DUTY IS TO DO WHAT WE HAVE BEEN DOING--AND THAT'S TO QUESTION A POLICY WHICH WE BELIEVE IS MISGUIDED.

PRESIDENT CLINTON HAS TALKED A LOT ABOUT AMERICAN CREDIBILITY IN THE LAST FEW DAYS. AND IT STRIKES ME THAT WHEN HE WAS SWORN INTO OFFICE, AMERICAN CREDIBILITY WAS AT AN ALL-TIME HIGH. THE SOVIET UNION WAS DEFEATED. GERMANY WAS RE-UNITED PEACEFULLY. SADDAM HUSSEIN'S LAND GRAB IN THE PERSIAN GULF WAS REVERSED. OPERATION DESERT STORM STOOD AS LESSON TO ANY WOULD-BE TYRANTS. AMERICAN LEADERSHIP HAD BEEN DEMONSTRATED TO THE WORLD, AND AMERICAN RESOLVE WAS NOT DOUBTED.

LESS THAN TWO YEARS LATER, THE SITUATION HAS DRAMATICALLY CHANGED. REVERSALS, NOT RESOLVE, NOW CHARACTERIZE AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY. FLIP-FLOP, INDECISION, AND APPEASEMENT ARE NOW THE WATCHWORDS OF AMERICAN FOREIGN POLICY. AMERICAN INTERESTS ARE CHALLENGED AROUND THE GLOBE, AND REGARDLESS OF WHAT HAPPENS IN HAITI, AMERICAN CREDIBILITY IS AT AN ALL-TIME LOW.

AND ALONG WITH ALL THIS, THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET PLAN--PASSED OVER THE UNANIMOUS OBJECTION OF REPUBLICANS--SLASHED \$127 BILLION FROM AN ALREADY SCALED DOWN MILITARY. IT CAUSED A SHORTFALL IN OUR READINESS ACCOUNTS, AND IT FORCES OVER 600,000 PEOPLE OUT OF OUR MILITARY, AS WELL AS MAKING DRASTIC CUTS IN OUR NATIONAL GUARD.

CONGRESS DID INCREASE THE READINESS ACCOUNTS, BUT BECAUSE THE PRESIDENT'S PLAN CUT DEFENSE SO DEEP, THE PENTAGON MUST CANCEL NEARLY EVERY NEW PROGRAM JUST TO COVER ADEQUATE PAY AND TRAINING FOR THE TROOPS.

AMERICA CAN ONLY HAVE ONE PRESIDENT AT A TIME. BUT WITH REPUBLICAN MAJORITIES IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT THE GUTTING OF AMERICA'S MILITARY WILL STOP, AND CREDIBILITY WILL BE RESTORED TO OUR FOREIGN POLICY.

REPUBLICAN ARE ON THE RIGHT TRACK. AND WE'VE GOT 52 DAY TO KEEP MOVING FORWARD, TO MAKE OUR CASE TO AMERICANS, AND TO STAND UP FOR OUR PHILOSOPHY AND OUR IDEAS. I THANK YOU IN ADVANCE FOR ALL YOUR WORK OVER THE NEXT 52 DAYS, AND I HOPE TO SEE YOU NEXT YEAR AS MAJORITY LEADER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE.



1020 12TH STREET, STE 300  
SACRAMENTO, CA 95814  
TELEPHONE 916 565.1994

**Remarks by Governor Pete Wilson to  
the California Republican Party Convention**

**San Diego, California  
Saturday, September 17, 1994**

**(as prepared)**

Thank you very much, Cathie. You know, it's gonna be nice, when we elect Cathie in November, to have a lieutenant governor you don't have to go in and dust off every morning.

I want to congratulate you all on choosing this wonderful city for our convention. It's a great pleasure for Gayle and me to be back home in San Diego and back with so many long-time friends and supporters.

Gayle has been just wonderful, campaigning all over the state. And it's really getting to Kathleen Brown. She's complained bitterly about Gayle -- even calling her an attack dog! But I'd have to say she's the most charming attack dog I've ever known.

Gayle's in such demand on the campaign trail these days that to have dinner with her now, I have to buy a ticket to one of her fund-raisers.

Thanks in large part to her, and thanks to the support and work that all of you have put in, this campaign has moved steadily forward and upward.

Kathleen Brown has flung more mud than anybody since Woodstock II. But it's not sticking. Her false charges just aren't selling. The voters aren't fooled. To employ a football metaphor: When this game started, we were 23 points behind, now heading into the fourth quarter, the L.A. Times poll tells me we're 9 points ahead. I say: Let's run up the score!

This is going to be a great year for Republicans on the campaign trail. We've got a great chance -- have one of the best opportunities in years -- to win sweeping victories in November. Californians are looking to our party for leadership, and we've got the ticket to deliver it. We have candidates running for every statewide office, who can not only win in November, but who can work as leaders and partners in making the change California needs.

Paid for by Governor Pete Wilson Committee



Surveys have revealed that people no longer think it's smart to split their ticket. They've come to realize that ticket-splitting leads to gridlock. The voters want to vote for a ticket this fall, and this Republican ticket is the winning ticket for California.

Of course, we know the Democrats will stop at nothing to try and turn their losing campaign around. Kathleen Brown has already had most of the Clinton cabinet out to campaign for her. We've been exposed to the sheer excitement of Vice President Al Gore. First Lady Hillary Clinton was here last week and is coming back tomorrow, and I'm sure President Clinton will be out here before long. In fact, if he'll come before the election, I'll pay for his airfare.

I keep thinking of that poor Democratic candidate in Tennessee who got a call from the White House this summer asking if he wanted President Clinton to come campaign in his district. The candidate's answer was: "Only if he's coming to endorse my opponent."

The fact is, the only Clinton who won't be a millstone for the Democrats this year is Socks the Cat.

Voters are looking to the Republican Party this fall, because they know we are the party of change, the party that is addressing the real issues that affect the quality of life in California. I came home from that pleasant academic cloister, the U.S. Senate to make change. And I'm running for a second term as governor to continue fighting for the change we need to improve our jobs climate and overhaul our welfare system, to improve the quality of our schools and reclaim our streets from violent crime.

In making that change, I'm guided by fundamental principles and core values. I believe that it's time to give a break to those people who work hard, pay taxes and raise their children to obey the law.

It's time for us to teach our kids that their actions have consequences and that society must hold individuals accountable for their actions. I want California to be a state of unlimited opportunity. I want our kids to learn more -- and earn more -- than we have.

But opportunity must begin with responsibility. And the greatest responsibility is that of parent to child. It's time that parents start accepting that responsibility. Today, too many parents don't -- or can't -- and by failing to provide love, discipline and direction, consign their children to material and spiritual poverty.

Young men have no right to casually father a child and then walk away, no strings attached. So, we're cracking down on dead-beat dads, sending them a clear message: You can run, but you cannot hide from responsibility. If you lack the decency to send love to your child, you'll at least send money -- or we'll track you down and dock your pay for child support.



Teenage girls have no right to have a child out of wedlock and then expect the taxpayers to support their child. We can no longer tolerate the California epidemic of illegitimacy. We've got to stop children from having children. Not only is it unfair to taxpayers, it is unfair to the children of child-mothers. It's also unfair to society . . . to the potential victims of the 14-year-old predators who were the sons of 14-year-old unwed mothers.

Teenage thugs have no right to commit a crime and then expect to be coddled by the youth authority just because they're juveniles. So, last week, I signed a new law: From now on, the most dangerous juvenile criminals will be treated as adults. If you're 14 or older and do adult crime, you will be prosecuted as an adult and do adult time.

And no able-bodied adult has a right to refuse honest work and expect the taxpayers to continue supporting him on welfare. Welfare should be a safety net, not a hammock. So, we're going to set time limits and make work pay more than welfare.

But just as individuals have responsibilities, so too do governments. And one of the federal government's first responsibilities is defending our nation's borders and enforcing our nation's immigration laws. Today, it has flunked that test. Washington has lost control of our borders, but it is California that is paying the price.

In Florida, President Clinton deployed Coast Guard cutters and Navy air and sea forces -- all told, some 3,000 military personnel -- to stop illegal immigration from Cuba. But President Clinton has turned his back on California. He continues to ignore an on-going crisis on our border of a magnitude that dwarfs the illegal immigration from Cuba.

I've made a simple, straight forward appeal to President Clinton: Secure our borders . . . now. It can be done. In Texas, the Border Patrol deployed Operation Hold the Line and cut illegal crossings at the border near El Paso by 75 percent.

For far too long California's demands for action in Washington have fallen on deaf ears -- not only in the White House, but in the Congress. We've fought for action in Washington. We've written letters, lobbied Congress, joined other states in filing lawsuits, and even run television ads demanding reform.

As I speak, Attorney General Janet Reno is giving a speech up in L.A. announcing the new action on illegal immigration that we have pressed them to take. They are desperately trying to apply bandages to the lacerated and hemorrhaging credibility of the White House and the Kathleen Brown campaign on the issue of illegal immigration. But even officials in the administration expressed disappointment in this morning's paper that these new steps aren't as bold as what's needed.

Ms. Reno, tinkering on the edges won't do it.



Anything that falls short of securing our border and providing full reimbursement for California's costs for illegal immigration, just ain't enough.

To the Clinton Administration, I say: You are shamefully in arrears. You have made Uncle Sam a dead-beat dad. You have stalled through almost another entire session of Congress without making good on what you owe California.

In the guise of verifying the number upon which we base our claims, you've commissioned the Urban Institute study. Well, though it is flawed and understates the magnitude of illegal immigration in California, the Urban Institute study does concede that the federal debt to California is at least \$1.8 billion. So pay up. Now. The Administration should sponsor a dire supplemental appropriation to pay what it owes before Congress goes home for the year.

To Californians, I say: We must not just claim reimbursement for past costs, but take action ourselves -- action we can't expect from Washington -- to put an end to continuing costs. We must end the services which steal from our taxpayers, from our schoolchildren, from our needy elderly, blind and disabled. And to do that, we must send Washington a message it can't ignore. This November we have a chance to do just that.

Sixteen years ago, Californians sent politicians the message to roll back property taxes by passing Prop. 13. This fall, we can send the message to stop illegal immigration by passing SOS.

Prop. 187, the Save Our State initiative, is the two-by-four we need to make them take notice in Washington and provoke a legal challenge that will go all the way to the Supreme Court, a legal challenge to the federal mandates that keep in place the incentives to illegal entry. It will finally force Washington to accept its responsibility for illegal immigration. That's why I support SOS and urge all of you to work for its passage on Election Day.

It's also why Kathleen Brown is so vehemently opposed: Kathleen Brown is fundamentally opposed to taking the action required to stop illegal immigration, as is the White House. They want to keep in place the services to illegals which steal from Californians. They give lip service to seeking reimbursement, but refuse to confront the need to reverse the incentives. And Kathleen Brown excuses the Clinton Administration's drift and delay on this issue.

In fact, just this week in San Francisco, Kathleen Brown actually praised President Clinton for his response to illegal immigration. She must be the only person left in America -- with the possible exception of Roger Clinton -- who thinks the Clinton presidency is a success. But that doesn't come as a surprise. Kathleen Brown has become President Clinton's chief apologist in California.



We need a governor who will be a fighter for California -- not an apologist for Bill Clinton at the expense of California. Kathleen Brown is Washington's woman in Sacramento -- not ours.

How safe would Bill Clinton be from criticism or even disagreement from a Brown Administration? As safe as a death row inmate in a Brown Administration.

Like Bill Clinton, she now talks tough on illegal immigration, but still fails to support the solutions that will really make a difference . . . still fails to support an end to the services to illegals without which there will be no end to illegal immigration. And lacking the courage to do so, she seeks to discredit SOS and to vilify the vast majority of Californians who support SOS by calling them mean-spirited, racist, immigrant-bashers.

I want to know: Is she calling the 52% of Latinos who responded to the L.A. Times poll in favor of Prop. 187 racist immigrant-bashers?

All that name-calling by Kathleen Brown and Hillary Clinton -- the Employer Mandate Sisters -- seems somehow unbecoming of two election-year champions of the middle class.

But what even better demonstrates how out of touch Kathleen Brown is with middle class concerns is her response to Californians' concerns about crime. I've spent the better part of three decades fighting for tougher laws and longer sentences for dangerous thugs. Kathleen Brown, on the other hand, despite ignoring the issue for years, has had the chutzpah to portray herself as a serious crimefighter. But she had a serious lapse into candor when she told a reporter the other day about her views on crime. She said that crime would be a "so what" issue in the fall campaign. Kathleen Brown saying "so what" to crime, is like the Titanic saying "so what" to the iceberg.

So, don't be surprised on Election Day, Ms. Brown, when the voters say "so what" to your campaign. The voters want a governor who will fight crime, not someone who fudges on crime.

Of course, Kathleen Brown has an excuse for everything. She explains away her opposition to the death penalty by saying that even though she opposes the death penalty, she'll enforce the law. The funny thing is, I've heard that line before.

It was the same claim made by Jerry Brown's appointee as Chief Justice of the California Supreme Court -- Rose Bird. Rose Bird said she'd enforce the law even though she personally opposed the death penalty. But once on the court, she overturned every death penalty sentence that reached her desk -- delaying the death penalty 62 times.

Now, Kathleen Brown is offering us the same line. You might say Kathleen Brown sees the death penalty through Rose Bird-colored glasses.

Californians want a governor who's willing to stand up for what he or she believes, not one who stands on both sides of the issues.

In the past four years, people haven't agreed with every decision I've made. But that's not why they sent me to Sacramento. They sent me there because they know I'd fight for what I believe and fight like a junkyard dog to turn this state around. And that's what I've done.

We're turning California's jobs climate from a job-killer into a job-creator.

After the earthquake, we rebuilt the world's busiest freeways in record time.

With "Three Strikes," "One Strike," and our new law to try dangerous juveniles as adults, we've passed the toughest package of crime legislation in the history of California.

And we're forcing Washington to face up to the crisis of illegal immigration.

In short, we're making the change that can make California better. We're turning this state around and restoring the hope and confidence of California.

But to continue that change, we need more Republican support up in Sacramento.

Attorney General Dan Lungren is a good friend of mine, but frankly, when the Republican Constitutional officers get together for lunch next year, I want to have a few more people at the table.

And after November, we're going to have to set places for the entire ticket of Republicans on the ballot this fall. We're going to elect the whole slate of Republicans to statewide office. We're going to send Michael Huffington to fight for us in the Senate. We're going to elect more Republicans to Congress and to the state Legislature to defend California there. And we're going to restore the shine of the Golden State.

With your hard work and support, we have the chance to make this the best Republican year in decades. For the sake of our children's future, let's get to it.

Thank you very much.



SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994

PAGE FIFTEEN

1:20 pm ARRIVE Los Angeles  
FBO:

1:25 pm DEPART airport for Fundraising Lunch for Susan Brooks

Driver: John Perkins, Sr  
Drive time: 15 minutes  
Location: Manhattan Country Club  
1330 Park View Avenue  
Manhattan Beach, CA

1:40 pm ARRIVE Fundraising Lunch for Susan Brooks

2:15 pm Location: Ballroom  
Attendance: 200 @ \$250 per person  
Event runs: 12:00 - 2:15 pm  
Press: Open  
Facility: No riser, seated in rounds, podium and mic  
Format: To be determined  
Contact: Johnny Perkins  
310/534-5505  
310/534-3711 fax

2:15 pm- Press Avail

2:30 pm Location: Dining Room

2:35 pm DEPART Lunch for airport

Driver: John Perkins, Sr.  
Drive time: 15 minutes

2:50 pm ARRIVE airport

FBO: Mercury Air  
310/215-5745



SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994

PAGE SIXTEEN

2:55 pm DEPART Los Angeles for San Diego, CA

FBO: Jimsair Aviation  
Aircraft: Challenger (Fed Ex)  
Tail number: N3FE  
Flight time: 35 minutes  
Pilots: Mark Casillas  
Bill Hornbostel  
Seats: 12-14  
Manifest: Senator Dole  
Mike Glassner  
Rick Rodgers  
Contact: Sherry Campbell  
901/369-3304  
901/345-5827 fax

3:30 pm ARRIVE San Diego, CA/Lindbergh Field

FBO: Jimsair  
619/298-7704

3:35 pm DEPART airport and proceed to Town and Country Hotel

Driver: Mark Sheppard  
Drive time: 15 minutes  
Location: 500 Hotel Circle North

3:50 pm ARRIVE Town & Country Hotel

619/291-7131  
619/291-3584 fax

4:30 pm OPTIONAL Meeting with Ken Khachigian

Location:

PRIVATE

6:50 pm DEPART hotel room for Photo-op with Golden Bears (\$10,000 donors)

Location:

6:55 pm- Photo-op with Golden Bears

7:00 pm Location:  
Attendance: 6

**SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994**

**PAGE SEVENTEEN**

- 7:00 pm- ATTEND California State Party VIP Reception  
7:30 pm           Location:     Sunset Room  
                  Attendance:  100 major donors (Golden Circle & Golden Bears)  
                  Event runs:  6:30 - 8:00 pm  
                  Press:       Closed  
                  Facility:     None  
                  Format:     Mix and mingle  
                  Contact:     Anna Blishak  
                                  818/841-5210  
                                  619/291-7131
- 7:30 pm     DEPART VIP Reception for Holding Room  
                  Location:     Cabinet Room
- 7:35 pm     Holding Room
- 7:50 pm     DEPART Holding Room for California State Republican Convention  
                  Dinner  
                  Location:     Atlas Ballroom
- 8:00 pm     ATTEND California State Republican Convention Dinner  
                  Location:     Atlas Ballroom  
                  Attendance:  600  
                  Event runs:  8:00 - 10:00 pm  
                  Press:       Open  
                  Facility:     Riser, podium and mic, headtable on riser  
                  Format:     8:00 pm Welcome by Tirso del Junco, MD  
                                  8:05 pm Invocation by Rev. Lisa Bridges  
                                  8:10 pm Pledge of Allegiance by Attorney General  
  Dan Lungren  
                                  8:40 pm Michael Huffington introduces Senator  
  Dole  
                                  8:45 pm Senator Dole gives remarks  
                                  9:15 pm Closing remarks by Tirso del Junco  
                  Contact:     Anna Blishak  
                                  818/841-5210  
                                  619/291-7131
- 9:15 pm     DEPART Dinner for hotel room

SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994

PAGE EIGHTEEN

9:20 pm     ARRIVE hotel room for private  
                 619/291-7131  
                 619/291-3584 fax

RON----San Diego, CA

SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 18, 1994

8:00 am     DEPART hotel for Airport  
                 Driver:  
                 Drive time:    15 minutes

8:15 am     ARRIVE airport and proceed to departing aircraft  
                 FBO:                Jimsair  
                                        619/298-7704

8:20 pm     DEPART San Diego for Santa Fe, NM/County Municipal  
                 FBO:                Capital Aviation  
                 Aircraft:        Challenger    (Fed Ex)  
                 Tail number:   N3FE  
                 Flight time:    1 hour 40 minutes  
                 Pilots:             Mark Casillas  
                                     Bill Hornbostel  
                 Seats:             12-14  
                 Manifest:        Senator Dole  
                                     Mike Glassner  
                                     Rick Rodgers  
                 Contact:         Sherry Campbell  
                                     901/369-3304  
                                     901/345-5827 fax

Time change: + 1 hour

11:00 am     ARRIVE Santa Fe, NM  
                 FBO:                Capital Aviation  
                                     505/471-2525

11:05 pm-    Press Avail  
11:25 pm     Location:        To be determined at the FBO



10th Congressional District  
Bill Baker (Rep.)

# TARGET

## LEANS REPUBLICAN

**LOCATION:** An East Bay district encompassing central and southern Contra Costa County and eastern Alameda County.

### April 1994 Registration

|            |     |
|------------|-----|
| Republican | 44% |
| Democrat   | 42% |
| Other      | 14% |

### Ethnic Composition

|                  |    |
|------------------|----|
| African-American | 2% |
| Latino           | 7% |
| Asian            | 3% |

### 1992 General Election Results

#### Congress

|              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| Baker (R)    | 52% |
| Williams (D) | 48% |

#### President

|         |     |
|---------|-----|
| Clinton | 42% |
| Bush    | 35% |
| Perot   | 22% |

#### U.S. Senate

|           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| Feinstein | 57% |
| Seymour   | 38% |

#### U.S. Senate

|              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| Boxer        | 46% |
| Herschensohn | 43% |

### 1994 Primary Election Results

|                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Bill Baker (R-Inc.)      | 42,598 (76.7%) |
| Dave Williams            | 12,966 (23.3%) |
| Total Rep. ballots cast: | 55,564 (100%)  |

|                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Ellen Schwartz (D)       | 29,559 (61.9%) |
| Tim Horan                | 18,156 (38.1%) |
| Total Dem. ballots cast: | 47,715 (100%)  |

**POLITICAL HISTORY:** Republicans always dreamed of a redistricting plan that placed all the eastern Contra Costa County suburbs into a single district, since this is the only area of Republican growth in the entire San Francisco Bay Area. They got their wish from the Supreme Court masters with this newly created district in 1991, and in the process elected Bill Baker, in 1992. Baker is different from the moderate to liberal GOP Congressmen that populated the Bay Area in significant numbers at one time, being a strong social and economic conservative. That gave him problems in his first election because of the disastrous showing of the Republican state and national ticket, which lost every Bay Area district in 1992.

**POLITICAL ANALYSIS:** A classic liberal/conservative contest in a district that prefers moderates. Conservative Incumbent GOP Congressman Bill Baker will face off against liberal environmentalist Ellen Schwartz. The Secretary of State at first denied Schwartz a place on the Democratic Primary ballot because she was, until July 1993, registered in the Green Party and did not reregister as a Democrat in time to meet election law requirements. But she convinced a Sacramento judge that she meant to register as a member of an obscure radical party called Green Future and was put on the primary ballot. The good news for Baker is that more GOP voters cast ballots in the primary than Democrats; the bad news is that a perennial candidate received 23 percent against him. Baker has to get these votes back in November.



SEP-09-1994 09:42 FROM REP. BILL BAKER (CA-10) TO 929165815549006468 P.02

### **Profile of U.S. Rep. Bill Baker's 10th Congressional District**

California's Tenth Congressional District was created in 1992 as a result of dramatic population growth in the East Bay area. Comprised of most of Contra Costa County and northern Alameda County, Bill Baker carried the District with 52 percent of the vote. Republicans hold an edge in registration by about two percentage points.

The District is demographically diverse. While the fifth-wealthiest Congressional District in the Nation, much of this wealth is concentrated in the Walnut Creek-San Ramon area. Communities such as Antioch, Brentwood and Castro Valley are solidly middle class and, to a degree, blue-collar.

The principle employers are Lawrence Livermore and Sandia National Laboratories, both located in Livermore; USS-POSCO steel corporation in Pittsburg, area hospitals, schools and small businesses. Agriculture plays a declining role in the District, and is principally centered in the far western part of Contra Costa County.

Critical political issues include transportation (the I-680/24 interchange, for example, is used by 300,000 motorists each day) and infrastructure expansion; crime; job creation; and the future of the National Labs as defense budgets are reduced.

The District tends to be conservative on economic and foreign policy issues and more liberal on social matters. Rep. Baker receives high marks among constituents for his commitment to fiscal restraint, while his views on abortion (pro-life) and gun control (against the assault weapons ban) are less well received.

Rep. Baker is a fourth-generation Californian whose commitment to fiscal conservatism won him fame as one of the "Cavemen of Sacramento" during his tenure in the State Assembly. A graduate of San Jose State University and eight year veteran of the Coast Guard Reserve, he served as a budget analyst under then-Governor Ronald Reagan and later as Executive Vice-President of the Contra Costa County Taxpayers' Association. Elected in 1980 to the first of six terms in the State Assembly, he was lead Republican budget negotiator for seven years as Ways and Means Committee Whip.

In the House, he serves on the Public Works and Transportation Committee and the Science, Space and Technology Committee, each of which has direct relevance to the District (highway improvements and the Labs, specifically). Rep. Baker is a co-chairman of the House Republican Task Force on Health Reform, and at the behest of his freshmen colleagues served on the Committee on Committees for the 103rd Congress.

Bill and Joann Baker have four children, and reside in Danville.

BOB DOLE

ID:202-408-5117

SEP 15 '94 14:46 No.010 P.06

List Format:

RSVPs

September 17, 1994

Rep. Baker event

| <u>Name</u>             | <u>Membership</u>           | <u>Paid Status</u>   | <u>Attended</u> |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| Alexander, Dale         | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
| Alexander, June         | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
| Allen, Dick             | Representative's Roundtable | paid                 |                 |
| Allen, Shirley          |                             | paid                 |                 |
| Alter, Carole           |                             | paid                 |                 |
| Alter, Donald           | Capitol Club                | comp                 |                 |
| Anderson, Bill          | Representative's Roundtable | comp-2 pay \$20 each |                 |
| Anderson, Hubbard       | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
| Armstrong, Jon          | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
|                         | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
|                         |                             |                      | Extended Page   |
| Baker, Joanne           |                             | comp                 |                 |
| Baker, Rob              |                             | comp                 |                 |
| Ball, Gordon            | Guest                       | paid-3               |                 |
| Bennett, Will           | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid-2             |                 |
| Bill Baker, Congressman |                             | comp                 |                 |
| Blake, Elizabeth        |                             | unpaid               |                 |
| Blake, Igor             | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid               |                 |
| Blue, Chuck             | Representative's Roundtable | paid                 |                 |
| Blue, Molly             |                             | paid                 |                 |
| Bolman, Robert          | Guest                       | unpaid               |                 |
| Brahen, Thelma          | Capitol Club                | comp                 |                 |
| Brahen M.D., Lew        | Capitol Club                | comp                 |                 |
| Braine, Raymond         |                             | paid-paid for 2      |                 |
| Branden, Jim            | Guest                       | unpaid               |                 |
| Branden, Judy           | Guest                       | unpaid               |                 |
| Brodie, Earl            | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
| Brown, George           | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |
| Burke, Mark             | Guest                       | paid-paid for 3      |                 |
| Burris, James           | Guest                       | paid                 |                 |

BOB DOLE

ID:202-408-5117

SEP 15 '94

14:47 No.010 P.07

Event: Dole Breakfast

September 17, 1994

List Format: RSVPs

Rep. Breakfast

| <u>Name</u>              | <u>Membership</u>                  | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Burris, Lois             | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Cambier, Jim             | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Carlston, Nancy          | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Carlston, Ron            | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Carson, Jay              | Representative's Roundtable Knight | paid               |                 |
| Cochran, Ron             | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Coleman, Daniel          | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Color, Jane Ellen        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Cremata, Ed              |                                    | unpaid             |                 |
| Cremata, Eileen          | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid             |                 |
| Cressman, Jolin          | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Cressman, Phoebe         | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Davidson, Harry          | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Davidson, Mary           | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Davidson, Richard        | Representative's Roundtable        | paid               |                 |
| DeSafey, Frank           |                                    | comp               |                 |
| Deutscher, Irvin         | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Dhont, Asta              | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Donohue Jr., Bill        | Representative's Roundtable        | paid               |                 |
| Donohue Sr., Bill        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Downer III, Edward       | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Duffy, Tad               | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Dyer III, William        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Dyer Jr., William        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Ehlers III M.D., Charles | Representative's Roundtable        | paid               |                 |
| Ehrlich, Barbara         | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Ehrlich, Karl            | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Eiselo, Leona            | Guest                              | paid               |                 |



BOB DOLE

ID:202-408-5117

SEP 15 '94

14:47 No.010 P.08

|                       |                                    |          |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|----------|
| Erickson, JoAnne      | Capitol Club                       | comp     |
| Erle-Schaffer, Edna   | Guest                              | paid     |
| Farrell, Brian        | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Ferris, Susie         | Guest                              | paid     |
| Fiorentino, Fran      | Representative's Roundtable        | paid     |
| Fish, Bob             | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-2 |
| Freeman, Peter        | Capitol Club                       | comp     |
| Glueck, Bill          | Guest                              | paid-2   |
| Goerl, John           | Representative's Roundtable Squire | comp     |
| Golden-Florance, Mary | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Grittani, Frank       | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Gronning, Randy       | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Gronning, Sandra      | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Gronowski, Stephen    | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Grossman, Nancy       | Guest                              | paid     |
| Grossman, Samuel      | Guest                              | paid     |
| Hanalt, Mary Ann      | Guest                              | unpaid   |
| Hanson, Dianne        |                                    | paid     |
| Hanson, Fred          | Capitol Club                       | paid     |
| Harper, Edith         | Guest                              | paid     |

Baker - Cr

BOB DOLE

ID:202-408-5117

SEP 15 '94 14:48 No.010 P.09

|                       |                                    |        |
|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--------|
| Hastings, Doug        | Representative's Roundtable        | paid   |
| Heinz, Jack           | Representative's Roundtable        | paid   |
| Heisler M.D., Stephen | Guest                              | paid   |
| Herrmann, Dave        | Representative's Roundtable Squire | unpaid |
| Hoffinger, Scott      | Guest                              | paid   |
| Hohnsbein, Roger      | Guest                              | paid-2 |

Page 1

09/14/94

Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994  
 List Format: RSVPs

| <u>Name</u>          | <u>Membership</u>           | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Holt, Albert         | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Holt, Edith          | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Hornbeck, Hulet      | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Humphrey, Edna       |                             | comp               |                 |
| Humphrey, William    | Capitol Club                | comp               |                 |
| Hunt, Grant          | Capitol Club                | comp               |                 |
| Hunt, Susan          |                             | comp               |                 |
| Huntzinger, Carl     | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Inderbitzen, Barbara |                             | paid               |                 |
| Inderbitzen, Martin  | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Inderkuin, Joseph    | Guest                       | unpaid             |                 |
| Jordan, Ann          |                             | comp               |                 |
| Kalthoff, Stephen    | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Kaplan, Al           | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Karn, Peggy          |                             | comp               |                 |
| Karn, Richard        | Capitol Club                | comp               |                 |
| Kemp, Dick           | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Kemp, Jackie         | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Kent, Richard        | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Kent, Virginia       | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Kinley, David        | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid             |                 |

BOB DOLE

ID:202-408-5117

SEP 15 '94 14:48 No.010 P.10

|                       |                             |          |
|-----------------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Kisner, Ned           | Guest                       | unpaid-4 |
| Klass, Mark           |                             | comp     |
| Kleinsmith, J. J.     | Guest                       | unpaid   |
| Knowlton M.D., Edward | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid   |
| Kyle, Michael         | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid   |
| Lang M.D., Douglas    | Guest                       | unpaid   |
| Larmore, Steve        | Guest                       | unpaid   |

Baker CA

**Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994**  
**List Format: RSVPs**

| <u>Name</u>              | <u>Membership</u>           | <u>Paid Status</u>          | <u>Attended</u> |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| LaViolette, Sandee       | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid                      |                 |
| Lehman, Ron              | Guest                       | paid                        |                 |
| Lew, Robert              | Guest                       | paid                        |                 |
| Lickness, Tim            | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid-2                    |                 |
| Lively, Don              | Representative's Roundtable | paid                        |                 |
| Long, Howard             | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Luengo, Christopher      | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Luengo, Clifford         | Capitol Club                | comp                        |                 |
| Luengo, Kimberley        | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Lyle, Carl               | Guest                       | paid                        |                 |
| Maccabee, Howard         | Representative's Roundtable | paid for one, 3 need to pay |                 |
| Magoffin, Morton         | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Mahallich, Norm          | Guest                       | comp                        |                 |
| Malian, Dr. Michael      | Guest                       | unpaid-2                    |                 |
| Malmquist, Gus           | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid                      |                 |
| Malmquist, Phyllis       | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Martin, Carole           | Guest                       | paid-4                      |                 |
| Martin, Lawrence         | Representative's Roundtable | Squire paid                 |                 |
| Martin, Paul             | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| McCanu, Bill             | Guest                       | unpaid-4                    |                 |
| McConnell, Sam           | Guest                       | paid                        |                 |
| McRae, Bud               | Capitol Club                | comp                        |                 |
| Merodith, Jean           |                             | comp                        |                 |
| Mitchell M.D., Alexander | Guest                       | unpaid                      |                 |
| Monighetti, Georgie      | Representative's Roundtable | comp                        |                 |
| Monighetti, Jim          |                             | comp                        |                 |
| Monroe, Jim              | Guest                       | unpaid-4                    |                 |
| Moriarty, Ann            | Guest                       |                             |                 |



**Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994**  
**List Format: RSVPs**

| <u>Name</u>        | <u>Membership</u>           | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Moseley, Jerry     | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Murdock, Steve     | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Nadler, Mark       | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Nagle, William     | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Nashashibi, Alice  | Guest                       | unpaid             |                 |
| Nienow, Edward     | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Nienow, Helen      | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Nolen, Heflin      | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| O'Neill, Susan     | Representative's Roundtable | comp               |                 |
| Olsen, Harvey      | Capitol Club                | comp               |                 |
| Ord, Eleanor       | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Ord, Isabelle      | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Osmundson, Robert  | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Parady, Bodo       | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Pennell, Jeanne    | Guest                       | unpaid             |                 |
| Peppercorn, Claire | Capitol Club                | comp               |                 |
| Peppercorn, John   |                             | comp               |                 |
| Picton, Bill       | Representative's Roundtable | comp               |                 |
| Picton, Sue        | Representative's Roundtable | comp               |                 |
| Plummer, Louis     | Representative's Roundtable | unpaid             |                 |
| Plummer, Phyllis   |                             | unpaid             |                 |
| Porter, Bob        | Guest                       | unpaid             |                 |
| Racioppo, Frank    | Guest                       | unpaid-See Ann     |                 |
| Ramirez, Valerie   | Representative's Roundtable | comp               |                 |
| Randolph, Bob      | Representative's Roundtable | paid               |                 |
| Randolph, Tanny    |                             | paid               |                 |
| Rath, James        | Guest                       | paid               |                 |
| Ratto, Jerry       | Guest                       | unpaid             |                 |

**Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994**  
**List Format: RSVPs**

| <u>Name</u>          | <u>Membership</u>                  | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Ratto, Marie         | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Ratto, T. J.         | Guest                              | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Ringlee, Elsie       | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Ringlee, Norman      | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Romine, Ernest       | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Rouse, Mel           | Representative's Roundtable Squire | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Rubay, Joseph        | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Rubicam, Jane        | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Russell, Bill        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Ryan, Jim            | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Sandifur, Betty      | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Sandifur, Don        | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Savage, Angela       | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Savage, Hal          | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Schaffer, Carolyn    |                                    | comp               |                 |
| Schaffer, Eric       | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Schenone, Dean       | Capitol Club                       | comp-2             |                 |
| Schenone, Shirley    |                                    | comp               |                 |
| Schrader, Barry      | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Schumann, Hans       | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Schumann, Jacqueline | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Schumann, Nell       | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Scott, Donald        | Capitol Club                       | comp               |                 |
| Segger, Joyce        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Silva, Robert        | Representative's Roundtable Knight | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Skou, Rachelle       | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Smith, Carol         | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Smith, Gary          | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |

**Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994**  
**List Format: RSVPs**

| <u>Name</u>          | <u>Membership</u>                  | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Smith, Lucien        | Representative's Roundtable Squire | paid-2             |                 |
| Stevenson, Kenneth   | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Stevenson, Margie    | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Strong, Edward       | Guest                              | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Sutak, Steven        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Sutak, Terri         | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Sutak, Tom           | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Swanson, Richard     | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid             |                 |
| Swanson, Ruth        | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Swingley, Robert     | Representative's Roundtable        | paid               |                 |
| Taylor, Glen         | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Taylor, Hazel        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Terrill, Tom         | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-4           |                 |
| Thompson, Suzanno    | Representative's Roundtable        | comp               |                 |
| Tiedemann, John      | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Tivnan, James        | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Tooliatos, Joni      | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Tooliatos, Nick      | Guest                              | unpaid-2           |                 |
| Tuck, Joyce          | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Ujdur, Anthony       | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Ujdur, Sonya         | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| von Kachnitz, Egon   | Guest                              | unpaid             |                 |
| Walker, Franklin     | Guest                              | paid               |                 |
| Wall, Mike           | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid             |                 |
| Wat, Kathleen        |                                    | paid               |                 |
| Wat, Norman          | Representative's Roundtable        | paid               |                 |
| Williscroft, Beverly | Representative's Roundtable        | unpaid             |                 |
| Witt, Barbara        |                                    | comp               |                 |



**Event: Dole Breakfast September 17, 1994**  
**List Format: RSVPs**

| <u>Name</u>      | <u>Membership</u> | <u>Paid Status</u> | <u>Attended</u> |
|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Witt, Carter     | Capitol Club      | comp               |                 |
| Yates M.D., Lyle | Guest             | unpaid             |                 |

SENATOR BOB DOLE LUNCHEON  
FOR 36TH CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATE  
SUSAN BROOKS  
PAGE TWO

### 36TH DISTRICT:

The 36th Congressional District covers the "South Bay" area of Los Angeles County from Venice in the north to San Pedro in the south (see attached map of district). Voter registration is equally divided among Republican and Democrats with each garnering 43% of the electorate. The district has a very heavy concentration of declined to state voters (14%) and is economically driven by defense, aerospace, tourism and port activities. In 1992, Ross Perot received 25% of the vote in the 36th while President Bush got 33% and Bill Clinton 41%.

Susan Brooks' opponent, Democrat incumbent Congresswoman Jane Harman is well-financed and is not afraid to spend her own personal wealth to assist in her reelection effort. In 1992, she spent over \$1 million to BUY the 36th seat.

Her record, however, is what will be her downfall. She voted with Bill Clinton to raise taxes on the middle class people in the 36th and her votes against defense have cost the district 45,000 jobs in two years.

Susan's campaign theme is "Bringing Government Home" and that is the central message of her effort against Harman. Jane Harman never lived in the district before moving in two years ago to run for office. She is a true Washington, DC insider having worked for Jimmy Carter and the Democratic National Committee. She has a 90% rating from the ACLU and every major business group has given her an "F" grade for her anti-business votes in Congress.

This campaign is winnable and with our message of taxes and spending, the economy, public safety and quality of life, we will offset the lies and deception Jane Harman has brought to the 36th.

Should you have any questions or need any additional information, please let me know.

NOTE: I still need to know the exact time of the Senator's arrival and the FBO. Thanks.

# The 36th Congressional District



## REGISTRATION

---

|            |        |
|------------|--------|
| REPUBLICAN | 42.3 % |
| DEMOCRAT   | 42.6 % |
| OTHER      | 15.1 % |

---





## Susan Brooks For Congress

August 31, 1994

The Honorable Jane Harman  
Congresswoman, 36th District  
1308 Sartori Avenue  
Torrance, CA 90501

Dear Congresswoman Harman:

I am writing to challenge you to a series of debates to discuss the issues before the voters of the 36th Congressional District. As public servants, we have a responsibility to the people of our district to provide them with the opportunity to make an informed decision on November 8th.

I believe it's important for the voters to observe an exchange of ideas and policies between us such as: your support for the largest tax increase in history and my opposition to it; your support of cuts in the defense budget and my support of our nation's armed forces; and your opposition to NAFTA and my support of free trade.

Specifically, I ask you to debate me in the Lincoln-Douglas style, wherein there are no handlers, no corner persons, no friendly (or unfriendly) media asking questions -- just you and I, one on one, discussing our records and the issues. Perhaps three debates would be worthwhile, although I am willing to participate in as many as you want.

I hope that you accept my challenge and I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible. You may reach me at (310) 534-5505.

Sincerely,

SUSAN BROOKS

36th Congressional District



Contact: Johnnie Perkins  
September 8, 1994

Release: Immediate

## Susan Brooks For Congress

### SENATOR BOB DOLE TO CAMPAIGN FOR SUSAN BROOKS

Torrance -- The SUSAN BROOKS for Congress campaign is pleased to announce that Senator Bob Dole, a probable candidate for President in 1996, will be coming to the South Bay to campaign for SUSAN. On Saturday, September 17, Senator Dole will be the special guest during a fundraiser at the Manhattan Beach Country Club, hosted by Jeff and Elaine Lovell.

Dole, the minority leader in the Senate, is considered a frontrunner for the 1996 Republican nomination. During the recent health care debate, Dole led the opposition to the Clinton and Mitchell health care plans.

Said BROOKS, "Bob Dole is a very special man. His leadership and commitment to the fight higher taxes and pork-barrel spending is an inspiration to the Republican party. Bob Dole has kept the interest of our community at hand while standing up for us before Bill Clinton and the liberal establishment."

The BROOKS campaign has also confirmed that Jack Kemp, former Secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development and another probable presidential candidate, will be the special guest during a fundraiser on October 18.

###

**36th Congressional District**  
***Bring Government Home!***

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy. , Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS: I.D. #C00283-081





## Susan Brooks For Congress

Contact: Johnnie Perkins  
September 7, 1994

Release: Immediate

### ATTORNEY GENERAL LUNGREN ENDORSES SUSAN BROOKS

Torrance -- Today Attorney General Dan Lungren endorsed the candidacy of SUSAN BROOKS, who is running for the 36th Congressional District. "The endorsement of Attorney General Lungren is evidence of the support I have in the law enforcement community," said BROOKS. "As California's Chief law enforcement officer, Dan Lungren has led the fight for implementation of the death penalty and for stronger laws to protect our community and children. I stand ready to support him as we fight to increase officers on the street and to ensure that the rights of victims are protected."

BROOKS announced her commitment to lead the battle in our nation's capitol for tougher sentencing laws. As a member of Congress, BROOKS pledges to make funding for local law enforcement a priority. "There is nothing more important than protecting our community and its future. My top priority is public safety, and I will dedicate my tenure in Congress to making sure that law enforcement receives the necessary resources to serve and protect."

BROOKS joined Lungren at a luncheon for the South Bay Rotarians. Lungren, who is in the midst of his re-election campaign, represented much of the district during his ten years in Congress. BROOKS proudly adds Lungren's endorsement to a long list of elected officials who presently and formerly represented the district, including over eighty local leaders.

###

### 36th Congressional District *Bring Government Home!*

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS; LD. #C00283481



# Susan Brooks For Congress

Contact: Johnnie Perkins  
September 13, 1994

Release: Immediate

## BROOKS OPPOSES HAITI INVASION

Torrance -- Today, Republican congressional candidate SUSAN BROOKS sent letters to Bill Clinton and Congresswoman Jane Harman strongly opposing US intervention in Haiti. "The United States has no vital interest in Haiti, and nothing the US could accomplish in Haiti is worth the life of even one American soldier," said BROOKS. "Before committing American lives to such an endeavor, Congress should take the opportunity to debate the foreign policy interests of the country."

"It's a shame that Bill Clinton, who never served in our armed forces, would think so little of the men and women of the United States military as to commit them to an exercise for purely partisan reasons," said BROOKS. "The President is playing politics with American lives to boost his own standing just weeks before an election. The abuse of power displayed by Clinton is an attempt to cover a directionless foreign policy. Bill Clinton's mistakes in Somalia show that he has no understanding of the foreign policy debate."

BROOKS also challenged Jane Harman to support the will of the people of the 36th District by standing up to Bill Clinton and opposing the invasion of Haiti. In June, Harman voted against an amendment opposing use of military force in Haiti, and voted to place US troops under UN command. An invasion of Haiti would leave American troops dangling in a precarious situation, with no defined objectives. The UN has failed to protect Bosnian lives; we must question how capable its leadership is to create a peaceful government in Haiti.


"If Jane Harman feels so strongly about invading Haiti, will she tell the families of Americans who may be killed that their loved ones were lost due to election year politics? The American people and the residents of the 36th District deserve a strong, determined leader who will oppose back-room political pay-offs and who will instead represent the interests of the people."

###

**36th Congressional District  
Bring Government Home!**

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS: I.D. #C00283481



# Susan Brooks For Congress

September 13, 1994

The Honorable Jane Harman  
Congresswoman, California 36th District  
325 Cannon House Office Bldg.  
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Harman,

I am writing to express my opposition to an American invasion of Haiti. The United States has no vital interest in Haiti, and nothing the US could accomplish in Haiti is worth the life of even one American soldier.

In May, Congress voted to require the President to certify a clear and present danger to US citizens or interests before military intervention. As far as I know, the President has not yet certified that a clear and present danger exists, nor, in my opinion, can he find a threat compelling enough to justify action. Before committing American lives to such an endeavor, Congress should take the opportunity to debate the foreign policy interests of the country.

Certainly the establishment of long-term, stable democracies just off of our coast is important. Even more important, however, are the lives of American soldiers. I am asking you not to vote to sacrifice the lives of American soldiers for the sake of politics and the President's popularity. To throw away American lives would be an abuse of power so shameful, that American politics may never recover.

I further ask you to vote against placing American soldiers under the command of the United Nations. The UN has failed the Bosnian people miserably. Hundreds of thousands of innocent Bosnians have died while the UN stood hopeless, powerless, and directionless. The UN has proven its ineptness; do not lend credibility to weak UN leadership by placing American lives at their disposal.

The economic sanctions have increased the repression and failed to end the reign of the elites. The sanctions have starved the weak to death while hardly impacting the wealthy. American foreign policy has failed the Haitians, don't worsen the problem by adding American casualties to the lives which have been lost.

Sincerely,

Susan Brooks

**36th Congressional District  
Bring Government Home!**

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS: LD. #C00283481





September 13, 1994

## Susan Brooks For Congress

The Honorable Bill Clinton  
President of the United States  
1600 Pennsylvania Avenue NW  
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear President Clinton,

I am writing to express my opposition to an American invasion of Haiti. The United States has no vital interest in Haiti, and nothing the US could accomplish in Haiti is worth the life of even one American soldier.

In May, Congress voted to require you to certify a clear and present danger to US citizens or interests before military intervention. As far as I know, you have not yet certified that a clear and present danger exists, nor, in my opinion, can you find a threat compelling enough to justify action. Before committing American lives to such an endeavor, Congress should take the opportunity to debate the foreign policy interests of the country.

Certainly the establishment of long-term, stable democracies just off of our coast is important. Even more important, however, are the lives of American soldiers. I am asking you not to sacrifice the lives of American soldiers for the sake of politics and your own popularity. To throw away American lives would be an abuse of power so shameful, that American politics may never recover.

I further ask you not to place American soldiers under the command of the United Nations. The UN has failed the Bosnian people miserably. Hundreds of thousands of innocent Bosnians have died while the UN stood hopeless, powerless, and directionless. Do not lend credibility to weak UN leadership by placing American lives at their disposal.

The economic sanctions have increased the repression and failed to end the reign of the elites. The sanctions have starved the weak to death while hardly impacting the wealthy. American foreign policy has failed the Haitians, don't worsen the problem by adding American casualties to the lives which have been lost.

Sincerely,

Susan Brooks

**36th Congressional District  
Bring Government Home!**

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS I.D. #C00283481



A6 The Daily Breeze Wednesday, Sept. 14, 1994

**3 strikes**

FROM PAGE A1

Besides the 25 years to life sentence, Judge William R. Hollingsworth imposed an additional five years under previous sentencing guidelines for habitual offenders.

"This is the type of activity that the Legislature has set its mind on curtailing," Canales told the judge.

Torrance police Lt. Wally Murker said Brown, who also uses the name Daniel Antoine Baker, was one of two men arrested after the doughnut shop robbery.

Brown, a transient, walked into the store about 1:15 a.m. and asked for a dozen glazed doughnuts. Another man, Stephen Otis, 24, of Los Angeles, walked into the shop moments earlier and asked the clerk for a cup of water, Murker said.

**Vaulted counter**

When the clerk put the box of doughnuts on the counter, Brown reached into his jacket pocket, pulled out a gun, vaulted the counter and demanded the clerk open the register.

Outside, Otis allegedly stood at a telephone. A sheriff's deputy on patrol passed by and watched Otis suddenly drop the telephone and walk away briskly, Murker said. Brown then ran from the shop.

**Haiti**

FROM PAGE A1

against them very soon."

In Haiti, the army-installed government protested what it called the "scandalous and unacceptable behavior" of the United States but gave no indication of stepping aside.

Lawmakers on Capitol Hill were taking the threats at face value.

"My view is that the cake has been baked," said Senate Minority Leader Bob Dole, R-Kan., who was briefed Tuesday by Perry and Joint Chiefs of Staff Chairman Gen. John Shalikashvili.

Lawmakers in both chambers said there should be votes in Congress before the administration commits any U.S. soldiers to Haiti. But White House spokeswoman Dee Dee Myers said there was no need and that any action to restore Haiti's elected leaders would be "minor compared to what was needed" in the Persian Gulf War.

Democratic leaders in both houses, Senate Majority Leader George Mitchell and House Speaker Thomas Foley, both said they preferred the president come to Congress before any invasion. But Mitchell said, "No president in my lifetime has agreed" that congressional approval is necessary for military action.

Dole said he was pressing for a chance to bring the Haiti is-

**Harman, election foe  
Brooks against invasion**By Kathleen Dougherty  
POLITICAL WRITER

The Clinton administration hasn't yet made the case for a U.S. invasion of Haiti and will be hard-pressed to do so, South Bay Rep. Jane Harman said Tuesday.

The first-term Democrat joined co-sponsors of a House resolution declaring President Clinton should get approval from Congress before leading a United Nations force against the Caribbean nation.

"Before Americans are asked to sacrifice their lives, we must know why the current Haitian government represents a threat to the national security interests of the United States," said Harman, whose district stretches along the coast from San Pedro to Venice. "So far the administration has yet to make that case."

Harman said the adminis-

tration hasn't fully pursued options short of invasion, such as sealing the Dominican Republic's border with Haiti. She said she doubts a military invasion would translate into a lasting peace in Haiti. Harman's office in recent days has received "hundreds" of calls and letters opposing an invasion.

Harman's Republican opponent in the Nov. 8 general election also issued a statement opposing an invasion of Haiti.

Rancho Palos Verdes City Councilwoman Susan Brooks said there is no U.S. interest in Haiti "worth the life of even one American soldier."

"The president is playing politics with American lives to boost his own standing just weeks before an election," Brooks said. "The abuse of power displayed by Clinton is an attempt to cover a directionless foreign policy."

barring intervention in Haiti without congressional approval.

Despite pleas from Secretary of State Warren Christopher for unity, few Democrats stood publicly behind Clinton's

itary's overthrow of Haiti's president, Jean-Bertrand Aristide, in 1991.

But Bush, speaking in Santa Monica on Tuesday


"A  
arou  
right  
Bro  
fence  
sisted  
later  
Trie  
Oti  
tween  
Bro  
ent d  
"He  
ter sa  
The  
ments

**Pil**

test,  
blood  
times  
follow  
at an  
Inv  
prised  
didn't  
had a  
"or b  
land,"  
tion o  
Inve  
most  
Corde  
House  
Not b  
Ada  
up the  
operat  
aircraf  
tapes  
As a  
House  
stricted  
just se  
not kn  
time ti  
crash  
The  
corded  
radar  
An  
gent of  
time of  
Secret  
liminar  
seen or  
White

Page 67 of 199





# Susan Brooks For Congress

Contact: Johnnie Perkins  
September 14, 1994

Release: Immediate

## "DEBATE CHICKEN" JOINS BROOKS SUPPORTERS

Torrance - "Calamity Jane" the Debate Chicken today joined Republican congressional candidate SUSAN BROOKS in calling for Jane Harman to debate BROOKS. Numerous local television stations and non-partisan organizations have called for a debate, but so far Harman's campaign has refused to acknowledge them.

BROOKS and a group of supporters demonstrated outside of Harman's Congressional Office while BROOKS delivered another letter challenging Harman to a debate. "The people of the 36th district deserve the opportunity to make a knowledgeable decision," the letter says. "Two weeks ago I sent you a letter challenging you to a series of debates... neither you nor your staff has had the courtesy to respond to my challenge."

"Jane Harman is running from her constituents and from the issues," said BROOKS. "She must be questioned about her vote for the largest tax increase in US history. She must be questioned about her votes to cut defense, which have cost our local economy 45,000 jobs. She must be questioned about her unfaltering support for Bill Clinton's foreign policy. She absolutely must be asked why she has voted for so many unfunded mandates."

The Brooks campaign sent the last challenge on August 31, and to date they have received no reply nor acknowledgement of the challenge.

###

**36th Congressional District  
Bring Government Home!**

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711  
PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS: I.D. #C00283481



## Susan Brooks For Congress

September 14, 1994

The Honorable Jane Harman  
Congresswoman, 36th District  
3031 Torrance Blvd.  
Torrance, CA 90503

Dear Congresswoman Harman,

Two weeks ago I sent you a letter challenging you to a series of debates to discuss the issues affecting the people of the 36th Congressional District. As of this writing, neither you nor your staff has had the courtesy to respond to my challenge, either in writing or verbally.

The voters of the 36th district deserve better, and today I reissue my challenge and encourage you to debate me in the tradition of Lincoln-Douglas.

The people of the 36th district deserve the opportunity to make a knowledgeable decision as to who they want to represent them in Washington. If you have properly represented the district, then your record will stand the challenge.

Give the voters a chance, and debate me. Accept the challenge, and don't treat this election as just another decision. It is far more important to the future of this country than you seem to understand.

Sincerely,

  
Susan Brooks

**36th Congressional District**  
***Bring Government Home!***

3525 Pacific Coast Hwy., Torrance, California 90505 - Telephone (310) 534-5505 Fax (310) 534-3711

PAID FOR AND AUTHORIZED BY SUSAN BROOKS FOR CONGRESS: LD. #C00283481



# GOP to Aid Brooks' Race for House

■ Dole, Gingrich, Kemp will campaign for Rancho Palos Verdes challenger. Democratic incumbent Harman downplays the impact.

By TED JOHNSON  
TIMES STAFF WRITER

Rancho Palos Verdes Councilwoman Susan Brooks has insisted all year that the national Republican Party would come to her aid in her race against Rep. Jane Harman (D-Rolling Hills).

This Saturday, she will have her proof: Senate Minority Leader Bob Dole (R-Kan.) plans to campaign for her at a fund-raiser in Manhattan Beach. And House Minority Whip Newt Gingrich (R-Ga.) and Jack Kemp, former secretary of the Department of Housing and Urban Development, are scheduled to stump for her in October.

Brooks' campaign says the parade of Republican stalwarts shows that national party officials have clearly targeted Harman for defeat.

"The national Republican Party is taking this race very seriously,"



Susan Brooks

said John Perkins, Brooks' campaign manager. "We're on their top five list [of targeted races] in the state."

A spokesman for the National Republican Congressional Committee confirmed that the group views the 36th Congressional District contest as one of the most competitive of 52 House races in California. But the spokesman, Dan

Leonard, added that committee officials won't decide until the end of September how much time and money to invest in Brooks' campaign.

Democrats, meanwhile, contend that national Republicans are exaggerating their interest in the race and in the end will invest their energies in other districts across the country. Brooks—who is campaigning for her first elective office beyond the Palos Verdes Peninsula—is merely a "sacrificial candidate," said Bob Mulholland, campaign adviser for the state Democratic Party.

The national GOP "will pretend they are [targeting the race], and the Republican candidate's campaign will think they are, but in the last week the candidate's campaign won't get their phone calls returned," he said.

Harman has had a much larger campaign fund than Brooks. At the end of June, she had a little more than \$400,000. Brooks had \$2,600 when she emerged from a bruising primary battle with former Palos Verdes Estates Councilman Ron Florence. She has raised about \$50,000 since then, Perkins said.

Each party's national political committees can contribute \$5,000

Please see BROOKS, 5

## BROOKS

Continued from 3

in cash to a candidate. The parties can provide other campaign help for a candidate, but joint expenses such as campaign mailers and advertisements cannot exceed \$29,300 in California.

Instead, candidates often depend on national personalities to boost their stature and raise individual contributions.

Some Republicans also predict that Brooks should benefit if Republican Gov. Pete Wilson does well in the South Bay in his reelection campaign.

"If Pete Wilson can carry the district by a significant margin, as long as a Republican [like Brooks] runs a decent campaign, I think the Republican can win," said Republican consultant Allan Hoffenblum, who worked for Florence in the primary.

By contrast, he said, Harman cannot depend on any Democratic coattails to help her race. Many freshman Democratic House members have tried to distance themselves from President Clinton,

whose popularity has declined in much of the nation.

Harman "ran an independent campaign two years ago, and she will run an independent campaign again this year," said her campaign spokesman, Roy Behr.

Brooks has attacked Harman for backing most of Clinton's legislative proposals, especially his budget plan that narrowly passed in the House last year. Republicans say that the plan has ended up raising taxes for the middle class.

"Those are the kinds of things voters in the district will look at," Perkins said.

Brooks "has an advantage in that she can bring in the Republican heavyweights," Hoffenblum said. "Jane Harman has her hands tied. She is representing a political party whose President a majority disapprove of. She has to wage a maverick campaign, independent of the Democratic Party."

Harman might be doing just that. For instance, Harman is hosting a fund-raiser Friday in Marina del Rey that will include eight other congresswomen elected in 1992 but no members of the Clinton Administration.

LA Times

THURSDAY

SEPT. 15<sup>TH</sup>



36th Congressional District  
Jane Harman (Dem.)

# TARGET

## LEANS DEMOCRATIC

**LOCATION:** Los Angeles coastal communities of Venice, Marina del Rey and Westchester and the South Bay communities of El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Hermosa Beach, Torrance, Lomita, Lawndale, and the Palos Verdes Peninsula.

### April 1994 Registration

|            |     |
|------------|-----|
| Republican | 43% |
| Democrats  | 42% |
| Other      | 15% |

### Ethnic Composition

|                  |    |
|------------------|----|
| African-American | 3% |
| Latino           | 8% |
| Asian            | 8% |

### 1992 General Election Results

#### Congress

|            |     |
|------------|-----|
| Harman (D) | 48% |
| Flores (R) | 42% |

#### President

|         |     |
|---------|-----|
| Clinton | 41% |
| Bush    | 35% |
| Perot   | 23% |

#### U.S. Senate

|           |     |
|-----------|-----|
| Feinstein | 50% |
| Seymour   | 42% |

#### U.S. Senate

|              |     |
|--------------|-----|
| Boxer        | 44% |
| Herschensohn | 48% |

### 1994 Primary Election Results

|                          |                |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Susan Brooks (R)         | 22,617 (51.5%) |
| Ron Florence             | 21,315 (48.5%) |
| Total Rep. ballots cast: | 43,932 (100%)  |

|                 |               |
|-----------------|---------------|
| Jane Harman (D) | 37,027 (100%) |
|-----------------|---------------|

**POLITICAL HISTORY:** For decades Republicans represented the South Bay beach communities forming this district, so much so that Democratic reapportioners often used it as a dumping ground for excess Republicans. The Supreme Court masters appeared to continue the tradition in 1991, when they concentrated white and Republican neighborhoods in this district to increase chances for minority Democrats in neighboring districts. But in 1992, high unemployment in the defense and aerospace industry, as well as George Bush's abandonment of California, caught up with the GOP, and liberal Democrat Jane Harman, with a \$2.2 million war chest, scored an upset win here, defeating Republican Los Angeles City Councilwoman Joan Milke Flores.

**POLITICAL ANALYSIS:** Rancho Palos Verdes Councilwoman Susan Brooks, hitting the mail boxes with a flurry of late negative mail attacking her opponent, businessman Ron Florence, pulled a mild upset in winning the GOP Primary. She accused Florence of being the defendant in numerous law suits and having a "tainted" business background. It was a bitter contest, and Florence has shown no interest in supporting Brooks in the fall. Brooks' win sets up the second all-woman general election battle in a row. Republicans slightly outpolled Jane Harman, but her primary total is impressive, and she can dip into deep pockets ... which Brooks cannot.

TOTAL ATTENDING AS OF  
12:00pm 91

AS OF 9150  
9/15

SENATOR BOB DOLE EVENT  
SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994

| <u>NAME</u>            | <u># of RSVP</u> | <u>\$ RECEIVED</u> | <u>DONATION \$</u> | <u>TICKET \$</u> |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Thomas Bailey          | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Luba Bak-Boyчук        | -- 1 --          | \$                 | -----              | \$ 125.00        |
| Polly Benson           | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Gardner Bickford       | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Nona Bradford          | -- 1 --          | \$                 | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Dick & Winnie Brubaker | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Tom & Kathy Campbell   | -- 4 --          | \$1,000.00         | -----              | \$1000.00        |
| L. Douglas Carlson     | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Gilma A. Castro        | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Sandy Comstock         | -- 1 --          |                    | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Frank Consalo          | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Lisa Correa            | -- 1 --          | \$                 | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Tom Cooper             | -- 1 --          | \$                 | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| James W. Farrell       | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Jan Fleming            | -- 2 --          | \$                 | -----              | \$ 250.00        |



**SENATOR BOB DOLE EVENT**  
**SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994**

| <u>NAME</u>  | <u># of RSVP</u> | <u>\$ RECEIVED</u> | <u>DONATION \$</u> | <u>TICKET \$</u> |
|--|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Elizabeth Frudenberg<br>(Laurie Johnson)<br>(Stuart Johnson) | -- 3 --          | \$ 750.00          |                    | \$ 750.00        |
| Heinz Gruber   | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Bryan/Denise Hardwick  | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          |                    | \$ 500.00        |
| Rosalind M. Hewsenian  | -----            | \$ 250.00          | \$ 250.00          | -----            |
| Bob Holmes   | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Drake Kennedy  | -----            | \$                 | \$ 250.00?         | -- No --         |
| Larry/Susan Kneisley<br>Leon Hevel                           | -- 2 --<br>2     | \$ 500.00          |                    | \$ 500.00        |
| Bob Lane   | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Carlos Lops  | -----            | \$                 | \$ ??????          |                  |
| Jonathan T. Lusk   | -----            | \$ 100.00          | \$ 100.00          |                  |
| Jeff & Elaine Lovell   | -- 2 --          | \$                 |                    | \$2000.00        |
| Elizabeth Mann   | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |

**SENATOR BOB DOLE EVENT**  
**SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994**

| <u>NAME</u>               | <u># of RSVP</u> | <u>\$ RECEIVED</u> | <u>DONATION \$</u> | <u>TICKET \$</u> |
|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Bill Meyer                | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Chuck Moine               | -----            |                    | \$ ??????          | -----            |
| James Mollenkamp          | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Ralph Morrow              | -----            | \$ 25.00           | \$ 25.00           | -----            |
| Ann Nickoll               | -- 1 --          | \$ MAX--           | \$ MAX--           | -----            |
| Paris Nourafchan          | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Dolores Olson             | -----            | \$ 10.00           | \$ 10.00           | -----            |
| Lyle Quatrochi            | -- 1 --          | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Angie Papadakis           | -----            | \$ 250.00          | \$ 250.00          | -----            |
| Ray/Jil Scalabrino        | -- 2 --          | \$ 500.00          | -----              | \$ 500.00        |
| Yarda Scudder             | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 125.00        |
| Steve Schlesinger         | -- 1 --          | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Verna + Grace Settleman 2 | -----            | \$ 20.00           | \$ 20.00           | -----            |
| Mitchell J. Steinberger   | -----            | \$ 20.00           | \$ 20.00           | -----            |

SENATOR BOB DOLE EVENT  
SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17, 1994

| <u>NAME</u>                | <u># of RSVP</u>    | <u>\$ RECEIVED</u> | <u>DONATION \$</u> | <u>TICKET \$</u> |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| Joel Swanson               | -----               | \$ 25.00           | \$ 25.00           | -----            |
| Tony J. Todora             | -- 1 --             | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| Matt or Ray Vand           | -- 1 --             | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |
| Bob Virtue<br>(Bob Virtue) | -- 1 --<br>Donation | \$ MAX--           | \$ MAX--           |                  |
| Jane Walsh                 | -- 1 --             | \$                 |                    | \$ 125.00        |
| Glenn R. Watson            | -- 1 --             | \$ 250.00          | -----              | \$ 250.00        |
| David White                | -- 1 --             | \$                 |                    | \$ 250.00        |

56  
Zuckerman, Ken & Peggy 2



## SENATOR BOB DOLE ROSTER FOR SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17 STAFF & VOLUNTEERS

Scott Basinger

David Bohline

Aaron Brooks

Jim Brooks

Meredith Brooks

Susan Brooks

Nancy Comaford

Carter Comaford

BOB DOLE + 3 staff

Yarida Guzman ???

Devlon Mallin

Ron Malin

Johnnie Perkins

Perkins

TO: Sen. Dole  
FR: Suzanne Hellmann

RE: Susan Brooks talking points

Points to stress:

- o Taxes and spending -- Brooks' opponent voted for the Clinton tax increase; Brooks signed a "no new taxes" pledge
- o Jobs
- o Unfunded mandates -- Brooks' opponent continues to vote for unfunded mandates
- o Brooks favors term limits
- o Brooks is pro-choice as is her opponent
- o Heavy defense/aerospace district
- o Registration in district is 43 % Dems and 43% GOP, so we can win this one -- urge people to give money as her opponent has personal wealth and put in \$2 million of own money to win
- o Brooks' opponent will not debate her so they have been sending a "debate chicken" to her headquarters to push the issue.
- o They will be in the field next week for a poll.



# NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
JUNE 2, 1993 RELEASE #12

CONTACT: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG  
LIZANNE SADLER  
818-841-5210

## **BTU ENERGY TAX TO COST 948 JOBS IN CALIFORNIA'S 36TH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT**

TORRANCE, CALIFORNIA -- At least 948 jobs could be lost in California's 36th Congressional District due to Congresswoman Jane Harman's vote for President Clinton's regressive BTU energy tax according to California Republican Party Chairman, Dr. Tirso del Junco.

Dr. del Junco, citing a study released last week by the non-partisan Tax Foundation in Washington, DC, said that California could lose a total of 54,399 jobs because of the \$74 billion energy tax that was approved by Congresswoman Harman and her fellow tax and spend Democrats in the House of Representatives last Thursday.

"Besides costing 948 jobs right here in the 36th Congressional District of California, the BTU energy tax will add roughly \$400 a year to families' energy bills," del Junco said. "Four hundred dollars may only buy two haircuts for Bill Clinton, but to the working people of California, it means a lot, especially if the BTU energy tax costs you your job," del Junco stated. He added, "apparently Congresswoman Harman was influenced more by partisan politics than by what was in the best interest of the people of her district."

The analysis referred to by Dr. del Junco was assembled by Tax Foundation Senior Economist Dr. Arthur Hall and combined the results from two economic models which have been used by the United States Department of Agriculture and American Electric Power Service Corporation to study the economic effect of the BTU energy tax.

The BTU energy tax passed by the Democrats was part of President Clinton's 1994 budget package which has been called the largest tax increase in the nation's history. The plan contains \$272 billion in new taxes, including increases in corporate income taxes and social security benefits of middle class elderly Americans. The budget does not eliminate one federal program. The two minor federal programs Clinton proposed to eliminate were rejected by the Democrat controlled committees which have jurisdiction over the programs.

The budget has a 3 to 1 ratio of tax increases to spending cuts and will add more than \$1 trillion to the deficit.

1903 West Magnolia Boulevard □ Burbank, California 91506 □ (818) 841-5210



LIST OF GOLDEN BEARS FOR PHOTO OPPORTUNITY:

|   |                                    |
|---|------------------------------------|
| *DR. TIRSO AND SALLY DEL JUNCO          | STATE PARTY CHAIRMAN AND WIFE      |
| *JOHN AND LOIS HERRINGTON               | STATE PARTY VICE-CHAIRMAN AND WIFE |
| DANIELLE MADISON                        | ALLIED BUSINESS PAC                |
| R.H. MAY                                | CHEVRON                            |
| ALI RAZI                                | STATE PARTY SECTY. AND MAJ. DONOR  |
| DOUG ELMETS                             | ARCO                               |
| MARGIE MCALLISTER AND GUEST             | ARCO                               |
| GUS AND MYRA PRADO                      | MAJOR DONORS                       |
| CARLOS AND ROSA BARCELO                 | MAJOR DONORS                       |
| GORDON "ELIOT" NESS AND GINNY LAURITSEN | CHAIRMAN OF GOLDEN BEARS           |
| MICHAEL AND CATHY WEINTRAUB             |                                    |
| MIKE SCHROEDER                          | STATE PARTY TREASURER              |
| BOB NAYLOR                              |                                    |

\*NO PICTURE

I AM GOING TO TRY TO WHITTLE THIS LIST DOWN IN TERMS OF PICTURES AS BEST I CAN.

HEAD TABLE:

BEYOND THE ADDITIONS THAT I GAVE YOU OVER THE PHONE, THE HEAD TABLE HAS NOT CHANGED.

NAMES FOR V.I.P. RECEPTION:

STACEY MCKINLEY (STAFF)  
JANE CARROLL (STAFF)  
CARLA GULLERSSARIAN (STAFF)  
MARY KHAYAT (STAFF)  
GORDON "ELIOT" NESS (CHAIRMAN, GOLDEN BEARS)  
GINNY LAURITSEN  
SHIELA LECKER (STAFF)  
ELEANOR ASHMORE  
EMILY WULLBRANDT  
MARGARET BAKER  
WAYNE BARTHOLOMEW  
DONALD BEST  
SOOK BOWER  
KARNA BOYER  
MIKE CHANGARIS  
RICHARD COLBERT  
JANE CROSBY  
WILLIAM DAVIS  
LINDA DAVIS  
TIRSO AND SALLY DEL JUNCO (STATE PARTY CHAIR AND WIFE)  
ANN EDMONSTON  
ELAINE KLOCK  
DOUGLAS ELMETS  
MARGIE MCALISTER  
YVONNE NIX AND GUEST (ARCO)  
NANCY EUGENIO  
ROBERT EVANS  
BONNIE EVANS  
ROXANA FOXX  
RICHARD FRIEDLAND  
SHERYL GRAHYN  
RUSSELL GRAY (C.R.P. BOARD MEMBER)  
DR. VICTOR GURA  
ALAN GURA  
JACK HARRIMAN  
MARCIA TOOKEY  
JAMES HENDERSON  
BUCK JOHNS  
BETH JOHNS  
JAMES JONES  
JEANNIE JONES  
JOAN JOSEFF  
BALDO KRISTOVICH  
WALTER LULL

YVONNE RICH  
DANIELLE MADISON AND GUEST  
CAROLE MATCHETTE  
BILL MAY (CHEVRON)  
SYLVIA SUN MINNICK  
BOB NAYLOR  
ANN NICKOLL  
ANGIE PAPADAKIS  
AUGUSTIN PRADO  
MAYRA PRADO  
ALI RAZI (C.R.P. AST. SECRETARY)  
ANOUSHEN RAZI  
MIKE SCHROEDER (C.R.P. TREASURER)  
JUDY SYMCOX  
ERNIE SPOKES  
BOB TRAPP  
DOROTHY STILLWELL  
GLEN STILLWELL  
ROBERT BEAVER  
DOROTHY BEAVER  
MICHAEL CROW  
VICKI CROW  
CAROL STRAYER  
VIRGINIA BRAUN  
GERTI THOMAS (C.R.P. BOARD MEMBER)  
WILLIAM THORNBURY  
MICHAEL WEINTRAUB  
CATHY WEINTRAUB  
SHIRLEY WEST  
MIKE WILLIAMS (VICE-CHAIRMAN, GOLDEN BEARS)  
ROB GUZMAN (RUNNING FOR CONGRESS)  
MIKE GUZMAN  
LINDA KASEM  
JOHN HUTTO  
BRIAN BILBRAY (RUNNING FOR CONGRESS)  
DIANNE NELSON  
HON. MARLENE KRISTOVICH  
ROSA BARCELO  
CARLOS BARCELO  
CATHIE WRIGHT (RUNNING FOR SECRETARY OF STATE)  
BILL BLAKENSHIP  
TOM MCCLINTOCK AND GUEST (TOM RUNNING FOR STATE CONTROLLER)  
STANLEY WEISS AND GUEST  
LINDA DULANEY  
RICHARD DULANEY  
BEN BRINK (RUNNING FOR CONGRESS)



JANET ZERBE  
BETH AHLF AND GUEST (MEMBHER OF C.R.P. BOARD)  
DAVID ANDERSON  
DEE BELL  
JOHN A. BILLHEIMER  
SHARON BRAKEBILL  
CYNTHIA COAD  
LYNNE DAVIDSON  
TERRY DAVIES  
LUCINDA DUSTIN  
ALAN GUGGENHEIM  
ANDREW HOPWOOD AND GUEST  
LADDIE HUGHES  
DOROTHY JOHNSON  
TOM JONES  
DANIEL WAI-KIN KWONG  
FREDERICK LOWELL AND GUEST  
MARILYN MARKHAM  
ANDRE MINUTH  
DIANNE REUSSER NELSON  
LOUIS P. SHELDON  
CAROLYN SMITH  
TED SMITH  
MARGARET STEWART  
ALLEN THOMAS  
BETTY TURNER AND GUEST  
TIM WHIPPLE  
AL WILSON  
VIRGINIA YERXA

SEP>  
SIMON RAYHANABAD AND GUEST  
LILLIAN SCHAEFER  
ROYCE BAKER  
KITTE BAKER  
KATHY BURGEN  
MAUREEN MADRANO  
EDUARDO CASTRO  
DAVID AHLQUIST  
ALAN GAZZANIGA AND GUEST  
MIKE SPLITSTONE AND GUEST  
CLAIRE NETZ AND GUEST  
CONGRESSMAN RON PACKARD AND GUEST

TOTAL (NOT INCLUDING HEAD TABLE OR ADDITIONAL STATEWIDE  
CANDIDATES): 145

**CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY  
TOWN & COUNTRY HOTEL, SAN DIEGO  
FALL 1994 CONVENTION  
SEPTEMBER 16 - 18  
SCHEDULE**

**FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 16**

| <u>Time</u> | <u>Event</u>   | <u>Location</u>   |
|-------------|--|-------------------|
| 10:00am     | Board of Directors Meeting   | Padre             |
| 11:00am     | Registration Opens   | Atlas Foyer       |
| 12:00pm     | Executive Committee Lunch  | Sierra            |
| 4:00pm      | County Chairmen's Association Meeting  | Mesa              |
| 6:00pm      | County Chairmen's Association Dinner   | Adobe/El Camino   |
| 6:00pm      | Welcome Reception hosted by San Diego<br>County Republican Party,<br>R.L.E.O.C. and the C.R.P. | Tiki Hut/Poolside |
| 7:00pm      | Vice Chairman John Herrington's<br>"Cruise to Victory"   | San Diego Bay     |
| 7:30pm      | Republicans for Israel Shabbat Dinner  | Cabinet/Forum     |

**SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 17**

| <u>Time</u> | <u>Event</u>   | <u>Location</u>  |
|-------------|--|------------------|
| 7:00am      | California Lincoln Club Board Meeting                                    | De Anza          |
| 7:30am      | Registration Opens   | Atlas Foyer      |
| 7:30am      | Press Room Opens   | Senate/Committee |
| 7:30am      | California Lincoln Club Breakfast  | Friars           |
| 7:30am      | Associates Breakfast with Special Guest,<br>Attorney General Dan Lungren | Sierra           |
| 8:00am      | C.R.P. Chairman's Breakfast Honoring the<br>County Chairs                | Adobe/El Camino  |
| 8:00am      | Ernie Dronenburg Coffee Reception  | Atlas Foyer      |
| 9:00am      | California Lincoln Club Meeting  | De Anza          |
| 9:00am      | California College Republicans Board Mtg.                                | Garden North     |
| 9:00am      | California TARS Meeting  | Sportec          |
| 10:00am     | Candidates Forum with Republican<br>Statewide Candidates                 | Golden West      |
| 10:00am     | Proxy Deadline   | Atlas Foyer      |
| 10:00am     | C.R.P. Central Region Meeting  | Forum            |
| 10:00am     | YRFC meeting   | Esquire          |
| 10:00am     | Association of Republican Elected Officials                              | Garden South     |
| 11:15am     | Golden Circle Reception  | Sunrise          |



**SATURDAY, SEPT. 17, continued**

|            |   |  |
|------------|---|--|
| 11:30am    | General Reception   | Atlas Foyer                                |
| 12:15pm    | Luncheon Saluting Governor Pete Wilson                      | Atlas Ballroom                             |
| 2:00pm     | Precinct Walk   | bus/Atlas Foyer                            |
| 2:00pm     | Governor Wilson Youth Comm. Mtg.                            | Parliament                                 |
| 2:00pm     | California Black Republican Council                         | Chamber                                    |
| <br>2:00pm | <br><b>CRP Standing Committee Meetings</b>                  | <br><b>SEE COMMITTEE<br/>MTG. SCHEDULE</b> |
| <br>4:00pm | <br>Congressional Committee Meeting                         | <br>Council                                |
| 5:00pm     | Governor Wilson Reception                                   | Tiki Hut/Poolside                          |
| 5:00pm     | California Indian Economic Development<br>Council Reception | Sierra                                     |
| 5:30pm     | Catholic Mass   | Friars                                     |
| 5:30pm     | Attorney General Dan Lungren Reception                      | De Anza                                    |
| 5:45pm     | "Huffington for Senate" Reception                           | Chamber                                    |
| 6:30pm     | VIP Reception   | Sunset                                     |
| 7:00pm     | General Reception   | Atlas Foyer                                |
| 7:30pm     | Doors Open to Banquet                                       | Atlas Ballroom                             |
| 8:00pm     | Banquet with Special Guest,<br>Senator Bob Dole             | Atlas Ballroom                             |
| 9:00pm     | Congressman Duke Cunningham Reception                       | Dover                                      |
| 9:00pm     | California Indian Economic<br>Development Council Reception | Sierra                                     |

**SUNDAY, SEPTEMBER 18**

| <u>Time</u> | <u>Event</u>  | <u>Location</u> |
|-------------|---|-----------------|
| 7:00am      | Registration Opens  | Atlas Foyer     |
| 7:00am      | Proxies Open (until 1pm)                                      | Atlas Foyer     |
| 7:00am      | Prayer Breakfast with Special Guest,<br>Bruce Herschensohn    | Golden West     |
| 8:00am      | Proxies & Credentials Meeting                                 | Council         |
| 9:00am      | General Session with Keynote Address<br>by Senator Phil Gramm | Atlas Ballroom  |
| 12:00pm     | Tentative Adjournment   |                 |

\* In order to facilitate early check-out on Sunday, the hotel is providing storage for your luggage. As check-out is 12 noon, we encourage you to utilize Express Check-out.



**CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY  
BANQUET HONORING SEN. BOB DOLE  
HEADTABLE  
7:30 PM, SEPT. 17, 1994  
CABINET ROOM, TOWN & COUNTRY HOTEL**

Senator Bob Dole

Dr. & Mrs. Tirso del Junco

Mr. & Mrs. John Herrington

Attorney General & Mrs. Dan Lungren

Congressman & Mrs. Michael Huffington

Senator & Mrs. Rob Hurt

Assemblyman Jim Brulte

Mrs. Charlotte Mousel

Mr. & Mrs. Ernie Dronenburg

Mr. & Mrs. Matt Fong

Reverend Lisa Bridges

Chairman of C.R.P. (Sally)

Vice Chairman of C.R.P.

A.G. & Nat'l. Committeeman (Bobbi)

Candidate for U.S. Senate (Arianna)

Minority Floor Leader

National Committee Woman

State Board of Equalization

Member of Board of Equalization

and Candidate for Treasurer (Paula)


**ALSO:**

**Frank Visco**

**GORDON NESS**

**FIN. Chair, CA GOP**

**CHR. OF GOLDEN BEARS**



## California Republican Party

1903 West Magnolia Blvd. • Burbank, California 91506 • (818) 841-5210

### MEMORANDUM TO POLITICAL REPORTERS

FROM: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG

DATE: 18 AUGUST 1994

#### CLINTON CRIME BILL CALIFORNIA UPDATE #1

As you may know, the Clinton White House has again ginned up its taxpayer-financed public relations machine, and is deploying Cabinet members and White House staff around the country to lobby for the so-called "crime" bill that stalled in the House of Representatives last week.

Like so many elements of the Clinton agenda, this bill is much less than meets the eye. Much of what the White House has faxed to California media outlets and other partisan advocates of the bill is deceptive.

#### Four Out of Five New Police Officers Won't Arrive

\*The Clinton White House says this bill funds 100,000 cops for local police forces over the next 5 years, but in fact the bill only funds 20,000. The bill provides only "seed money" to local governments for community policing.

\*California will receive only \$5,514 per police officer annually over the next 6 years, according to a Heritage Foundation analysis of the bill. Do you think your community can train, equip, and pay a police officer with only \$5,514 per year? Of course not.


\*According to the Heritage Foundation, the State of California and its local governments will have to pick up \$3.1 billion in unfunded costs for these new police officers, at a total cost of \$47,751 per cop per year.

\*The crime bill already costs each taxpayer in California \$ 193 in new federal taxes. In addition, California taxpayers will have to pay on average of \$310 each for the unfunded cost of these "free" police officers.

For more information, please contact John Peschong or John Romero at CRP HQ: (818) 841-5210.

###





## California Republican Party

1903 West Magnolia Blvd. • Burbank, California 91506 • (818) 841-5210

### MEMORANDUM TO POLITICAL REPORTERS

FROM: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG

DATE: 19 AUGUST 1994

#### CLINTON CRIME BILL

#### CALIFORNIA UPDATE #2

Yesterday, we showed how the Clinton crime bill provides a total of \$5,514 per new police officer per year.

The total cost of each new police officer in California is \$375,013 over 6 years, according to The Heritage Foundation.

Therefore, the Clinton crime bill doesn't pay for 10,000 new police officers in California. The bill actually provides funding for only 1,378 officers per year, not the 10,000 that Clinton promised us.

As the editorial in today's Wall Street Journal shows (see below) California's net share of the crime bill amounts to -\$246 billion.

For more information, please contact John Peschong or John Romero at the CRP HQ: 818/841-5210.

### Crime Bill Losers

President Clinton is attempting to sell his crime bill by noting how much each state will gain from its \$9 billion in earmarked funds. But consider:

The money each state gets will come of course largely from its taxpayers. But not all states will get back from this bill what they have given in federal taxes. Some states are likely to be net losers. Stephen Moore of the Cato Institute notes that California will get \$900 million in earmarked funds from the crime bill or 10% of the total earmarked funding. But California typically accounts for 14% of total federal tax payments. But 14% of the \$9 billion would be \$1.147 billion. It will therefore pay \$247 million more in taxes than it will get back in earmarked funds.

Meanwhile, some states will get a net benefit from the crime bill. Arkansas is a \$37 million winner. The top 10 losing states, which proportionately will give more than they will receive, are listed below. We can't help noticing that the losers are states with the most well-known crime problems:

|               | In millions |
|---------------|-------------|
| California    | -\$246.6    |
| New York      | -224.0      |
| New Jersey    | -137.7      |
| Illinois      | -106.1      |
| Pennsylvania  | -57.5       |
| Florida       | -61.3       |
| Massachusetts | -49.8       |
| Texas         | -48.6       |
| Connecticut   | -41.3       |
| Michigan      | -33.0       |

###





# NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
MAY 24, 1994  
RELEASE # 69

CONTACT: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG  
818-841-5210

## SENATOR DIANNE FEINSTEIN ACCUSED OF HYPOCRISY - "TOUGHNESS" ON ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION IS QUESTIONED

BURBANK, CALIFORNIA - Dr. Tirso del Junco, Chairman of the California Republican Party and himself an immigrant, today blasted Dianne Feinstein for her hypocritical stance on illegal immigration, citing her record of loosening illegal immigration enforcement during her tenure as Mayor of San Francisco.

"Senator Feinstein cannot expect Californians to believe her empty rhetoric about controlling illegal immigration," Dr. del Junco stated, "considering her appalling record in San Francisco. Far from facilitating her city's efforts to solve this problem, Feinstein chose to obstruct the efforts of both the city police and the INS."

Dr. del Junco cited a San Francisco Chronicle article dated 12/28/85 stating that Mayor Dianne Feinstein signed a resolution that declared her city a sanctuary for illegal immigrants from El Salvador and Guatemala. The resolution, which was in defiance of U.S. foreign policy, stated that city employees, including police, need not report illegal immigrants from El Salvador or Guatemala or cooperate with immigration authorities in arresting such people. An INS (Immigration and Naturalization Service) official called the measure "illegal" and "irresponsible" as well as noting the thousands of dollars this would cost taxpayers in welfare benefits.

Now, realizing that illegal immigration is a hot issue, Senator Feinstein has decided to "jump on the bandwagon." According to the Congressional Record of 6/30/93, Feinstein went so far as to develop a detailed plan to stop the flow of illegal immigration. Her plan called for the hiring of more Border Control agents, increased penalties for alien smugglers, and overhauling the overwhelmed asylum process (such as the Guatemalan and Salvadoran asylum of San Francisco).

"Does Ms. Feinstein really support tough new immigration laws or does she believe that the United States is a safe haven for all immigrants, legal or illegal?" asked Dr. del Junco. "I guess it depends on which way the political wind is blowing at the time."

###

1903 West Magnolia Boulevard □ Burbank, California 91506 □ (818) 841-5210



# NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
MARCH 29, 1994  
RELEASE #64

CONTACT: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG  
818-841-5210

## CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY CHAIRMAN, DR. TIRSO DEL JUNCO SLAMS SENATOR BOXER'S IMMIGRATION MEASURE AS BEING A LEGISLATIVE MIRAGE

BURBANK, CALIFORNIA - Dr. Tirso del Junco, Chairman of the California Republican Party, in accordance with the Department of Immigration and Naturalization and the Pentagon, today agreed with the Justice Department's assessment of Senator Barbara Boxer's measure to use the National Guard to augment the Border Patrol as being unsubstantial and illegal.

Boxer's highly publicized provision, which was passed as a paragraph in a legislative report accompanying the 1994 Defense Funding Bill has received harsh criticism from the Pentagon because it takes away \$2 million dollars from the Pentagon's anti-drug budget. The left-wing White House itself, has not embraced the Democrat Senator's plan. One official stated, "This administration is never going to slam a Democratic Senator's idea. But if we loved it, you'd know about it. The fact that nothing seems to be happening ought to tell you something."

"Under Sen. Boxer's proposal, the only way the Governor could receive assistance from the National Guard, is if it was preceded by an approval from the Secretary of Defense." Dr. del Junco stated, "Senator Boxer knows very well that this will never happen." In fact, in a recent San Diego Union-Tribune article, the Pentagon's Brian Sheridan blazed that "the Defense Secretary isn't going to approve any plan that calls for the Guard to get involved in enforcing immigration laws."

Also in question is the legality of the measure. A Defense Department official stated in the San Diego Union-Tribune article that the provision simply and directly does not "have the force of law."

"Why does Sen. Boxer continually squander valuable Congressional time by formulating and promoting useless measures?" Dr. del Junco concluded. "It does the state of California no good to submit a proposal that cannot be enacted due to its ineffectiveness and illegality. Ms. Boxer is once again demonstrating her shallowness in regards to California's concerns and her ineptitude as a Senator."

###

1903 West Magnolia Boulevard □ Burbank, California 91506 □ (818) 841-5210





# NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
AUGUST 12, 1993 RELEASE #33

CONTACT: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG  
LIZANNE SALDIER  
818/841-5210

## CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY CHAIRMAN PRAISES GOVERNOR'S IMMIGRATION REFORM PROGRAM

Burbank-- California Republican Party Chairman Dr. Tirso del Junco today expressed his total support for Governor Wilson's new immigration reform proposal.

"Governor Wilson's new plan is the first truly workable solution I've seen," said Dr. del Junco. "Unlike plans proposed by the liberal late-comers, who for years blocked Republican attempts to solve this problem, this plan makes an honest effort to rectify the causes of illegal immigration. For the sake of California and the nation, I sincerely hope that the President and Congress move quickly to adopt all of the Governor's provisions."

Outlined in an August 9th letter to President Clinton, Governor Wilson's plan would deny citizenship to the children of illegal parents, restrict federal health and education benefits to illegal residents, and use NAFTA as a tool to secure the cooperation of Mexico in curbing the current wave of immigration. Dr. del Junco stated that these measures, combined with the ratification of NAFTA, would remove the economic incentives that people have for illegally entering the United States.

Pointing to a statement made by California Democratic Chairman Bill Press criticizing Governor Wilson's position, Dr. del Junco declared, "Bill Press has engaged in one of the most blatant distortions of the truth I've ever seen. The Governor has always fought for California, and has pledged to continue to fight for the economic well-being of the state. Bill Press and the Democrats should stop playing politics and cooperate with Republicans on an issue as vital to the state as this one."

Dr. del Junco concluded by stating that this plan, if implemented, will bring untold benefits to California, and he has every confidence in the Governor's ability to do the job.

-30-

1903 West Magnolia Boulevard □ Burbank, California 91506 □ (818) 841-5210





# NEWS RELEASE

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE  
APRIL 27, 1993 RELEASE #6

CONTACT: JOHN ALLAN PESCHONG  
818-841-5210

**STATEMENT BY CALIFORNIA REPUBLICAN PARTY CHAIRMAN**  
**DR. TIRSO DEL JUNCO**

Wake up America! The big lie of the Clinton Administration is beginning to surface very, very rapidly. April 30th marks the 100th day of the Clinton Administration. Ever since Franklin D. Roosevelt's first term the 100 day mark has been used to gauge the effectiveness of a new administration. All through his campaign, Mr. Clinton talked about his first 100 days. His liberal economic and social agenda was to be in place during this period. To the contrary, Mr. Clinton has shown he favors rhetoric and broken promises over substance and action. Some of his highlights are:

**Economy \*\*\*** Clinton's own budget projections call for \$300 billion in new spending in five years, (about 20 percent), \$1.5 trillion in new national debt and the largest tax increase in the nation's history.

**Taxes \*\*\*** Promised the middle class of the state of California and the Social Security recipients a tax cut and what he's done will make the middle class and the Social Security recipients an endangered species. Besides including everyone who makes over \$20,000 in the middle class, he will hit families with a \$471 per year energy tax. He is even including a "Marriage Tax" that would increase the marginal tax rate on higher incomes so well paid couples would get socked with a big tax increase.

**Jobs \*\*\*** Mr. Clinton's plan will increase unemployment by 0.6 percent by 1997, costing the nation 600,000 jobs and \$60 billion in output and result in a deficit that will be \$100 billion higher in 1997 than the administration projects.

Republicans will fight for what is right for America. We have a three pronged approach to increase economic growth and cut the deficit. First, eliminate Clinton's new spending. America doesn't need another \$200 billion's worth of federal government. Second, eliminate Clinton's new taxes. We don't have a \$300 billion deficit because we are taxed too little, it's because the government spends too much. Third, cut spending. Republicans want to reduce the deficit by cutting federal spending and not by a huge tax increase that would hurt the economy and cost jobs.

-30-

1903 West Magnolia Boulevard □ Burbank, California 91506 □ (818) 841-5210

HOTLINE 9/15/94

\*14 SENATE: FEINSTEIN UP 6 PTS BUT HAS NET NEGATIVE JOB RATING

An L.A. TIMES poll, conducted 9/8-11, surveyed 1,165 registered voters; margin of error +/- 3%. Subsample: 721 likely voters; +/- 4% (9/15). Tested: Sen. Dianne Feinstein (D), frosh Rep. Mike Huffington (R-22).

|            | RVs | LVs | MEN | WOM | DEM | GOP | IND | WHITE | LATINO |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|--------|
| Feinstein  | 49% | 49% | 46% | 52% | 71% | 21% | 43% | 45%   | 58%    |
| Huffington | 40  | 43  | 44  | 37  | 17  | 71  | 42  | 45    | 27     |
| Undec.     | 11  | 8   | 10  | 11  | 12  | 8   | 15  | 10    | 15     |

| FEINSTEIN JOB | NOW | 5/94 | 3/94 |            | FAV/UNFAV | DK  |
|---------------|-----|------|------|------------|-----------|-----|
| Approve       | 41% | 49%  | 53%  | Feinstein  | 42% / 37% | 21% |
| Disapprove    | 43  | 36   | 27   | Huffington | 23 / 23   | 54  |

WHAT ARE YOU LOOKING FOR IN THE RACE?

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| An experienced politician who know how to get things from DC to CA                  | 49% |
| A political outsider who thinks DC should play a smaller role in Californians lives | 31  |

FEINSTEIN IS ...

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Too close to Clinton and his policies        | 19% |
| Not close enough                             | 4   |
| Just about where she should be in re Clinton | 46  |

HUFFINGTON WILL SPEND MORE THAN \$15M OF HIS OWN MONEY ON THE RACE. SOME SAY THIS IS GOOD AS IT FREES HIM FROM DOMINATION BY SPECIAL INTERESTS AND BIG DONORS. OTHERS SAY IT IS BAD AS IT MEANS HE IS TRYING TO BUY THE ELECTION. HOW WILL HUFFINGTON'S SPENDING EFFECT YOUR VOTE?

|                             |     |
|-----------------------------|-----|
| More likely to vote for him | 15% |
| Less likely to vote for him | 20  |
| No effect                   | 62  |

DAUGHTER NOT OFF-LIMITS: Katherine Feinstein, Feinstein's daughter who is an atty and S.F. police commissioner, is "furious" at the Huffington camp for faxing reporters a statement she made in the ORANGE COUNTY REGISTER in '90, following her mother's gov. loss to Pete Wilson. The quote: "What worries me is that the entire state is going to get like Orange County ... with strip shopping centers and malls. But I guess some people are happy with that." K. Feinstein, now: "What on Earth does this have to do with anything? What are they trying to accomplish?" Asked about other recent Huffington attacks, K. Feinstein added, "If this guy is elected, I'm leaving California." Huffington spokesperson Jennifer Grossman said the



K. Feinstein comment was emblematic of her mother's "elitism":  
"It is snide. It's snobby and shows the elitist attitude of liberals like Dianne Feinstein. We sent it out to show the stark contrast to how we feel about the good, hardworking folks of Orange County" (Krieger, S.F. EXAMINER, 9/14).

\*15 "SAVE OUR STATE": "OVERWHELMING" LEAD FOR IMMIGRATION PROP

An L.A. TIMES poll, conducted 9/8-11, surveyed 721 likely voters; margin of error +/- 4% (9/14). Prop. 187 is a ballot initiative that would deny most government services to illegal immigrants. Prop. 184 calls for prison sentences of 25 years to life for third-time felony offenders. Prop. 186 calls for a single-payer, state-run health system. Prop. 188 is the Phillip Morris-backed initiative to establish statewide regulations on smoking restrictions.

| BALLOT ISSUES | PROP. 187 | PROP. 184 | PROP. 186 | PROP. 188 |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Vote For      | 62%       | 59%       | 23%       | 47%       |
| Vote Against  | 29        | 29        | 63        | 45        |
| Don't Know    | 9         | 12        | 14        | 8         |

PROP. 187: "Supported by virtually every segment of the electorate ... [Prop. 187] would win by an overwhelming margin if the November election were held today." However, poll dir. John Brennan "cautioned that the poll was taken before either side has begun an intensive ad campaign and noted that many voters remain unaware of the details." Brennan: "Much of the pro-187 momentum seems founded on sheer frustration rather than on specifics" (Feldman, L.A. TIMES, 9/13).

PROP. 13 REVISITED? POLITICAL PULSE's Smith writes Prop. 187, dubbed "Save our State" (SOS) by supporters, "could set off the kind of political earthquake in the state and in the nation that Prop. 13 triggered in 1978 when Californian voters overwhelmingly approved the initiative to dramatically slash their property taxes." Treas. Kathleen Brown (D) has come out against Prop. 187, while Gov. Pete Wilson (R) has not yet taken a clear stand on the issue. But "if the parallel with the Prop. 13 campaign is valid, the greater danger for a politician may lie in opposing the measure. In 1978, most 'responsible' figures -- including individuals as conservative as [GOP Gov.] George Deukmejian -- came out against Prop. 13 as too heavy handed. But the majority of voters went the other way, viewing higher property taxes as a major problem that elected leaders had refused to address" (9/9 issue).

\*16 GOVERNOR: BROWN HITS WILSON ON "SOS" IMMIGRATION PROP

Treas. Kathleen Brown (D), trailing Gov. Pete Wilson (R) "for the first time in public opinion polls," fought back 9/13 in a speech denouncing Wilson as a governor "who will say anything"



to get elected. Brown also challenged Wilson to take a stand on Proposition 187, a fall ballot question that would deny most state social services to illegal immigrants. Brown, who opposes Prop. 187, accused Wilson of "hypocritically exploiting our people and our fears" by "encouraging a mean-spirited and ill-advised statewide initiative that will only make the problem worse." Wilson, "who has turned illegal immigration into a leading statewide issue, has said he will vote for Proposition 187 -- but has refused to call that statement an endorsement." In a speech to the Commonwealth Club in San Francisco that advocated tightening immigration controls at the border rather than "scapegoating immigrants," Brown "was damning in her rhetoric" on Wilson: "He is a candidate who follows the polls and who will say anything and do anything to get your vote" (Yoachum, S.F. CHRONICLE, 9/14).

1-800-HEY-BILL: Wilson "landed a shot of his own on illegal immigration" 9/13 when he unveiled a new 10-second ad "that encourages people to call President Clinton before he arrives" in CA 9/18 for a fundraiser for Brown. From the ad, which includes the number for the WH switchboard: "Clinton helped Florida and Texas stop immigration, but he ignores California. Governor Wilson urges you to call the president before he's here and ask him to control our border" (CHRONICLE, 9/14). Wilson began airing another ad on immigration 9/12. Partial text -- ANNCR: "300,000 illegal immigrant children in public schools, and they keep coming. The cost is \$1.5 billion a year. ... Wilson wants to use the money spent on illegals to take care of California's kids. Kathleen Brown thinks differently. In a major policy speech, Brown said, 'Illegal immigration is wrongly seen as a cause' of problems in California" (Wilson release, 9/12).

REFRIED CHICKEN: Brown, repeating a tactic Bill Clinton used against George Bush in '92, has unleashed on the Wilson campaign "Chicken Pete" -- a "sweating Kathleen Brown campaign staffer in a chicken costume" that follows Wilson around challenging him to debate. Brown aide Bill Rukeyser, aka "Chicken Pete": "Most of us are born chickens, but Pete Wilson has become a chicken. I'm here to cry fowl" (Epstein, CHRONICLE, 9/14).

CRIME: NPR's Jaffe: "Many voters see Kathleen Brown as weak on crime partly because of her personal opposition to the death penalty. She had to use her T.V. spots to defend herself from Wilson's attacks" (NPR, 9/15).

BROWN: NPR's Jaffe: "Still a Wilson victory in November is far from a sure thing the voters' dissatisfaction with his performance leaves an opportunity for Kathleen Brown. One she might be able to capitalize on if she could meet Wilson face to face in a debate" (9/15).

September 15, 1994

Senator--

Earlier this year, Governor Wilson signed a number of tough anticrime laws. These laws include:

- \* a "three-strikes-and-you're-out" law for violent offenders;
- \* a "one-strike" law that imposes a 25 years-to-life prison sentence on child molesters and rapists; and
- \* a law that allows violent criminals aged 14 and older to be tried and sentenced as adults.

Dennis





## GOVERNOR PETE WILSON

### TALKING POINTS -- GOVERNOR WILSON'S EFFORTS TO FIGHT VIOLENT CRIME

- o Last December, Governor Wilson called a special session of the Legislature to focus California's lawmakers on the task of reforming California's dangerously lenient criminal justice system.
- o In February, to galvanize public support for the enactment of tough, new laws, the Governor convened a statewide crime summit in Los Angeles.
- o That summit produced Governor Wilson's crime package -- 18 pieces of legislation designed to give long overdue meaning to the word "justice" in the phrase "criminal justice system." The Legislature enacted thirteen of Governor Wilson's proposals -- sweeping changes that the Los Angeles Times called "unprecedented."
- o In March, Governor Wilson became the first governor to sign a "three strikes, you're out" bill into law, which will put the worst repeat offenders away for life. As a result, California will no longer be known as a permissive, dangerously lenient state. Instead, California will gain a reputation as a state that makes career inmates out of career criminals.
- o This month, Governor Wilson signed a "one-strike" law that targets sexual predators -- rapists and child molesters. The most vicious rapists and child molesters will now face 25 years to life in prison.
- o Last week, Governor Wilson signed a package of bills targeting another grave problem in California -- violent youth crime. One bill reduced to 14 the age at which violent criminals can be tried and sentenced as adults. This new law sends an important message to California's youth -- you do adult crime, you'll do adult time. The Governor also signed legislation to restrict juveniles' access to handguns, and will increase penalties for those who give and sell firearms to children.
- o Last week, Governor Wilson also signed into law legislation that dramatically increases penalties for arsonists who willfully destroy homes and threaten lives.



-- 2 --

- o And this week, Governor Wilson signed a package of bills designed to dismantle the "Inmate Bill of Rights." These new laws ensure that criminals are there for public protection and punishment -- not to make profits from their crime, not to become weight lifters, and not to enjoy the rights and pleasures that they have denied to their victims.
- o Thanks to Governor Wilson -- with the assistance of law enforcement, crime victims, and other concerned Californians -- California has the strongest package of crime fighting laws in the nation. Once again, California is leading the way for other states to follow.

September 14, 1994

TO: Senator Dole  
FROM: Janet Sena  
SUBJECT: California Update

FEDERAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (FIP) - EPA is under a court order to establish an emissions control plan for California because they did not comply with the Clean Air Act attainment standards. The proposed EPA plan would be finalized in February of 1995 and fully implemented by 1998 unless there is action by the State of California by November. EPA is currently working with the state and prefers that the state implement their own plan. Los Angeles, Sacramento and Ventura are the three affected areas. Although California has severe air pollution concerns, the actions outlined in the FIP propose severe controls by EPA. It is hopeful that the State will make an effort to resolve the issue before the EPA plans are finalized.



## Aviation

# EPA pollution plan threatening, GAMA says

By Dave Higdon

The Wichita Eagle

Just as Wichita prepares for Cessna Aircraft Co. to resume building piston airplanes, an Environmental Protection Agency plan to reduce air pollution in California is threatening the use of private aircraft.

At least, that's the point of view of the General Aviation Manufacturers Association — which includes as members Cessna, Beech Aircraft Corp., Learjet Inc. and scores of other small-plane suppliers — and several other general aviation groups.

The EPA has proposed levying a departure fee on private airplanes unless the planes meet zero emission standards, something that — given current engine technology — only electric vehicles can do.

GAMA, the National Business Aircraft Association, plus airline and airport groups are fighting the EPA plan. The groups claim that the EPA lacks the legal authority to charge the fees, that the EPA has no evidence that private airplanes contribute any measurable air pollution. The groups also say the agency has not charged any other transportation mode with meeting a zero-emission standard and that the standard cannot be met.

There is also an underlying concern that the EPA's ideas, left unchecked, could spread out of South-

**The EPA has proposed levying a departure fee on private airplanes unless the planes meet zero emission standards, something that — given current engine technology — only electric vehicles can do.**

ern California to other parts of the country, hampering general aviation use at a time when the industry is working to spur a recovery in private flying.

**Beech parts bargains** — Beech Aircraft Corp. is trying to make life a little easier for owners of its Beechcraft machinery by lowering its prices on spare parts.

Since the start of the year, Beech has cut prices by more than 10 percent on more than 7,400 spare parts, said Dave Jacobsen, general manager of spare parts and service at Beech.

High costs for spare parts is often cited as a factor contributing to the decline in private flying, as well as contributing to high maintenance costs.

"We want to be a leader in solving this industrywide problem," Jacobsen said. "Reducing our customers' cost of operation is one of the highest priorities at Beech."

The lower-cost parts are one element in Beech's ongoing effort to improve customer support across the board. Another element is a weekly breakfast Beech sponsors for Beechcraft pilots in Wichita for training at Flight Safety International's Beech Learning Center.

At the breakfast, executives from various Beech departments get an earful about operation, maintenance and use of Beech planes from the people who fly those planes.

**AWOL from Farnborough** — Cessna Aircraft Co. chairman Russ Meyer stayed in Wichita last week when most other chief executives were across the Atlantic Ocean attending the biennial Farnborough International Air Show about 50 miles southwest of London.

According to company insiders, Meyer opted to stay in Wichita to play host to a Pentagon team sent to evaluate Cessna as a possible manufacturer of the Joint Primary Air-

craft Training System airplane. The JPATS contract would be worth more than \$6 billion to the winner, which will have to supply 711 training planes, ground support and ground training materials.

Pentagon staff members involved in the JPATS program are to evaluate the manufacturing operations of all seven competitors, which includes Beech Aircraft Corp. Cessna's JPATS CitationJet has completed its two weeks of flight evaluations by Air Force and Navy pilots at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base near Dayton, Ohio.

**That's no convention** — The collection of about 80 planes crowding the ramp space at Augusta Municipal Airport are the same planes usually based in the airport hangars.

So why the outdoor parking?

Augusta is repaving all the taxiways leading to the hangars on the west side of the airport. Pilots who wanted access to their planes for the past few weeks had to have the planes out of the hangars before the preparation work started.

Airport manager Nancy Moody said crews were starting to pour the new concrete late last week; once the pavement sets, the planes' owners can put the crafts back under cover.

**New Beech biz** — Beech Air-

craft Corp. is getting a slice of the so-called time-share airplane business but from a different source than its corporate cousin Raytheon Corporate Jets and competitor Cessna Aircraft Co.

The Jet Network Co. Ltd. is a British firm that plans to sell shares of 12 planes it agreed to buy. Called "fractional shares" sales, the purchase gives the buyer a share of the airplane's ownership and a guaranteed number of flight hours each year.

For example, a quarter share in Jet Network's plan includes 150 flight hours a year. Positioning and ferrying flights are do not count against the 150 hours. The buyer also gets the tax advantages and reduced ownership and operating costs.

Jet Network borrowed the idea from Executive Jet Aviation, which pioneered airplane time-share sales in 1987 with a program called NetJets. NetJets is built on Cessna Citations and Raytheon's Hawker 1000s.

Jet Network will start by selling shares of four Beechjet 400As, four Super King Air 200 turboprops, and four Hawker 800 from Raytheon Corporate Jets. Deliveries of the 12 planes could start as early as next year. No price information was available.



# When in Trouble, Don't Call the Feds

By JOSEPH D. McNAMARA

During my 30-odd years in law enforcement, I watched in wonder as one presidential administration after another promised to reduce crime and drug use in America. The wonder is that after the failures of past federal wars against poverty, crime and drugs the public still believes that Washington politicians can cure local crime problems.

In 1967, in the Johnson administration, the Safe Streets and Crime Act carried a \$50 million budget. The Great Society programs, also held up as a way of reducing crime, cost billions. Under the Nixon administration, more billions were dispensed through the Law Enforcement Assistance Act and other legislation. Crime prevention funding continued in the Reagan administration and soared during the Bush administration. However, the crime bill being considered by the Senate this week is the biggest of all. And the chances that it will significantly reduce crime are small.

Federal programs are less effective than local programs in part because they receive less scrutiny. Mandates and federal cash come down from above in the form of "free" money that a city council is unlikely to turn down, regardless of its effect. Locally funded programs, on the other hand, have to compete for funds with schools, libraries and garbage collection, and so get looked at much more closely. If something doesn't work, the money is more likely to be diverted elsewhere.

Moreover, typical federal programs are evaluated only by people with a vested interest in them. Too often, funding continues despite manifest failure. One federally funded crime program I encountered as police chief of San Jose, Calif., shows how some celebrated anticrime programs can actually increase violent crime in the name of crime prevention.

The Alternatives to Incarceration project funded college educations for prison inmates at San Jose State University during the 1970s and 1980s. Only the few people running the program were aware that the project sent a number of rapists to live at the university instead of finishing their sentences in prison.

I learned that rapists had been relocated after a series of rapes around the university led us to deploy some very brave policewomen in a decoy operation. What we found raised the hair on the back of my neck. Night after night, convicted violent felons routinely stalked women on dark streets in the vicinity of the university in downtown San Jose.

When I complained, the project director

said the "clients" were screened and that California had declared it an exemplary program. Actually, we later found that the program screened applicants only on the basis of academic scores. Federal rules prevented consideration of their criminal records. And California had declared the program exemplary only because it submitted quarterly reports on time.

When my complaints about the program became public, I was censured by the students and faculty and advised by my superiors in city hall to go easy. After all, this was an exemplary, federally funded program to reduce recidivism.

All of that changed a few months later when we arrested an honor student in the program for brutally torturing, raping and murdering two women near the university. He was articulate and the project had often used him to show how wonderful it was that bright people could get a college education instead of languishing in prison. Ironically, during the project's 10-year existence, not one "client" ever graduated from the university, but a number had been arrested for crimes against women.

There is no telling how many similarly disastrous experiments are lurking in the crime bill being passed in such politically inspired haste.

The worst thing about the crime bill is that it subsidizes inefficient law enforcement. In a calmer environment, questions would be asked about priorities—about the wisdom of building more prisons when 60% of those in federal prison and almost as many in state institutions are locked up for nonviolent crime. Why is adding tens of thousands of new police necessary when so many of the existing police are wasted on desk duties, nonviolent drug arrests or other work not related to serious crime? How would law enforcement leaders explain the 535,000 arrests a year for marijuana crimes when the public fear of violence is unparalleled?

The bill's method of funding the additional police is sloppy in a manner characteristic of federal allocations—the money is to come from savings from a hoped-for reduction in the federal work force. Even that questionable source provides for only two or three years of seed money. Afterward, local funding will be required or the police forces will be cut back.

Another federalizing feature of the bill is the establishment of more than 50 federal death penalties. Few experts believe that federal death penalties will deter crime. I have spoken off the record to a number of federal judges, from the most liberal to the most conservative, and they dread the passage of the crime bill. Fe-

eral courts are already overburdened. Giving them the incredibly time-consuming and costly responsibility of new capital punishment cases will produce a gridlock that will inevitably affect local courts.

It would be much better for the nation to think more about the drug and crime problems and not simply throw money at them. While assault weapons should be banned, the ban shouldn't be at the price of a \$30 billion boondoggle. It would behoove the Senate to emulate the tough decision-making of local politicians who have to allocate a fixed sum of money among competing services. That process would eliminate many of the fanciful notions found in federal crime control.

Mr. McNamara, a novelist and former police chief, is now a research fellow at the Hoover Institution.

## THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

Peter R. Kann  
Chairman & Publisher

Kenneth L. Burenga  
President

Paul E. Steiger  
Managing Editor

Robert L. Bartley  
Editor

Byron E. Calame  
Deputy Managing Editor

Daniel Henninger  
Deputy Editor,  
Editorial Page

Danforth W. Austin  
Vice President,  
Circulation

Paul C. Atkinson  
Vice President,  
Advertising

F. Thomas Kull Jr.  
Vice President,  
Operations

Charles F. Russell  
Vice President,  
Technology

Published since 1889 by

DOW JONES & COMPANY, INC.

Editorial and Corporate Headquarters:  
200 Liberty Street, New York, N.Y. 10281.  
Telephone (212) 416-2000

Peter R. Kann, Chairman & Chief Executive  
Officer;

Kenneth L. Burenga, President & Chief Operating  
Officer;

James H. Ottaway Jr., Peter G. Skinner,  
Carl M. Valentí, Senior Vice Presidents.

Vice Presidents: William R. Clabby, Richard J. Levine, Dorothea Concoli Palsho, Information Services; Bernard T. Flanagan, Marketing; Karen Elliott House, International; Donald L. Miller, Employee Relations; David E. Moran, Law; Kevin J. Roche, Finance; Richard Myers, President, Ottaway Newspapers; Julian B. Childs, Executive Vice President, Telerate.

### SUBSCRIPTIONS AND ADDRESS CHANGES

call 1-800-JOURNAL or write to The Wall Street Journal, 200 Burnett Road, Chicopee, Mass. 01020, giving old and new address. For subscription rates see Page A2.

Senator -

A good piece about the crime bill by a former police chief of San Jose, California.

Dennis



September 15, 1994

## IMMIGRATION--California

### Facts

- \* The INS estimates that there are currently 1.4 million illegal aliens now living in the State of California. California has the highest concentration of illegal aliens, 43 percent of the national total.
- \* There are currently more than 16,000 illegal aliens incarcerated in California state prisons. Incarcerating these illegal aliens costs the State of California approximately \$348 million annually.

**Note:** The crime bill signed by the President authorized \$1.8 billion over six years to reimburse States for the costs of incarcerating illegal aliens. The 1995 Commerce, State, Justice Appropriations bill provided \$130 million for this purpose.

**Note:** You may want to mention how the Democrats prevented Senate Republicans from offering an amendment that would have expedited the deportation of criminal aliens. Under the Simpson amendment, federal judges could enter deportation orders at the time of sentencing. Once the sentence is served, the criminal alien would be immediately deported without having to go through a time-consuming and expensive deportation proceeding.

- \* 392,000 students, the children of illegal aliens, are currently attending California public schools (primary and secondary). The California state government estimates that the annual cost of educating the children of illegal aliens is \$2.1 billion. In a recent study, the Urban Institute had a lower estimate--\$1.3 billion.

In the 1982 Plyer v. Doe decision, the Supreme Court ruled that the children of illegal aliens may not be barred from public elementary and secondary schools.

### Save Our State Initiative

In November, California voters will be considering the "Save Our State" initiative. If passed, the initiative would 1) deny access to public education to the children of illegal aliens (which undoubtedly will give rise to a slew of lawsuits), 2) deny health care services (except for emergencies) to illegal aliens, 3) require state and local agencies to report illegal aliens to the INS, and 4) make it a felony under California law to produce or purchase false citizenship documents.

The initiative was authored by Alan Nelson, former

Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service during the Reagan Administration. The initiative is also closely identified with the Federation of Americans for Immigration reform ("FAIR"), the restrictionist immigration group.

The initiative has the support of the California State Republican Party, the Los Angeles County Republican Party, and many chapters of United We Stand.

At this weekend's State Party convention, Governor Wilson intends to endorse the initiative. It won't be an overwhelmingly supportive endorsement. Governor Wilson will say that the initiative is "better than doing nothing."

### **Hearings**

There was one hearing last June on all of the various immigration reform bills. So far, no mark-up has been scheduled on any bill, including Senator Simpson's bill.

D. Shea



Attached is a section-by-section analysis of Senator Simpson's immigration reform bill, which you have cosponsored. I have highlighted the most important sections. These sections include:

\* **Increased penalties for alien smuggling.** Increases the penalty for alien smuggling to 10 years' imprisonment (current penalty is 5 years), and authorizes the death penalty for a smuggler whose actions result in the death of an innocent victim.

\* **Streamlined deportation procedures.** Allows federal trial courts to issue an order of deportation during the sentencing phase of the criminal trial of an alien convicted of an "aggravated felony." This "judicial deportation" would replace the current administrative deportation procedures.

\* **Increased penalties for document fraud.** Increases from 5 years' imprisonment to 10 years' imprisonment the maximum penalty for fraud and misuse of immigration documents.

\* **Border fees.** Directs the Attorney General to develop a system of imposing fees at land-based border entry points. The fees will be used to hire more Border Patrol agents and finance the operation of border facilities.

\* **Use of closed military bases.** Establishes a 2-year pilot program studying the feasibility of using closed military bases to detain illegal aliens.

\* **Interior repatriation pilot program.** Establishes a 2-year pilot program, to be administered by the Attorney General in consultation with the Secretary of State, to examine ways to remove aliens to the interior of their country of origin (instead of right across the border in Mexico).

\* **Work authorization verification.** Requires the Attorney General, in consultation with the Secretary of HHS, to develop and implement a "counterfeit-resistant" system to verify work eligibility and eligibility for federally-funded public assistance. Note that the provision does not specifically authorize the creation of a "counterfeit-resistant" I.D. card.

\* **Ineligibility for federal benefits.** Prohibits the distribution of federally-funded welfare benefits to illegal aliens (except emergency health care, short-term disaster aid, child nutrition programs, and public health programs).

Some states will view this proposal as an attempt to shift welfare costs to them.

\* **Five-year reduction in legal immigration.** Reduces the annual level of legal immigration to 500,000 for each of the next five years.

D. Shea



S. 1884  
SECTION-BY-SECTION SUMMARY  
COMPREHENSIVE IMMIGRATION AND ASYLUM REFORM ACT OF 1994  
TITLE I -- CRIMINAL ALIENS

PART A -- ALIEN SMUGGLING CONTROL

Sec. 101. Increased penalties for alien smuggling. 1) increases the penalty for alien smuggling to 10 years (currently 5), 2) provides an additional penalty if the smuggler willfully subjects any alien to a substantial risk of death or serious bodily harm of 3 to 10 years, 3) allows the death penalty for a smuggler who causes death, and 4) provides a 2 to 5 year sentence if a person knowingly hires a smuggled alien.

Sec. 102. Death penalty procedures. Incorporates constitutionally required procedures for considering and implementing the death penalty.

Sec. 103. Smuggling for commission of crimes. Creates a new crime for smuggling aliens for the purpose of committing serious crimes in the U.S. The underlying smuggling penalty is enhanced by 3 to 5 years.

Sec. 104. Adding alien smuggling to RICO. Adds alien smuggling to the list of crimes which establish the basis for bringing a RICO charge. This addition will allow law enforcement officials to use RICO penalties (such as forfeiture and imprisonment) against alien smuggling organizations.

Sec. 105. Expanded forfeiture for smuggling or harboring illegal aliens. Expands current INS authority to seize and forfeit property used in, or facilitating, the smuggling or harboring of illegal aliens. Important in cases when RICO charges (and penalties) are not available.

Sec. 106. Wiretap authority for alien smuggling investigations. Permits the INS, with judicial authorization, to intercept wire, electronic, and oral communications of persons involved in alien smuggling operations.

PART B -- DEPORTATION

Sec. 111. Expansion in definition of "aggravated felony". Expands the definition of "aggravated felony" for purposes of the immigration laws. Currently the definition includes: murder, drug trafficking, trafficking in firearms or explosives, money laundering, terrorism and any crime of violence for which the sentence is 5 or more years. This section adds: firearms violations, failure to appear to answer a felony charge, demanding or receiving ransom money, unlawful conduct relating to RICO, child pornography, owning or operating a prostitution business, treason, tax evasion exceeding \$200,000 and certain immigration-related offenses including alien smuggling and sale of fraudulent documents.

Sec. 112. Deportation procedures for certain criminal aliens who are not permanent residents. Provides for the prompt deportation of any non-green card holder alien who has been convicted of an aggravated felony and who is deportable.

Eliminates the following deportation procedures for non-



permanent resident criminal aliens: (1) administrative hearing before an immigration judge, (2) administrative review by the Board of Immigration Appeals, (3) availability of current grounds of relief from deportation, and (4) federal court review on any grounds (other than mistaken identity as convicted criminal alien). The AG may not execute a final order of deportation for 14 days to allow the alien to seek the limited court review.

**Sec. 113. Judicial deportation.** Allows federal trial courts to issue an order of deportation during the sentencing phase of the criminal trial of an alien convicted of an aggravated felony. This section applies to all criminal aliens, including permanent residents.

The deportation order must be requested by the government and the alien must be notified promptly of the government's intent. The government must prove that the defendant is an alien subject to deportation and that the alien has been convicted an "aggravated felony."

"Judicial deportation" would replace current administrative deportation procedures where it is sought. An appeal of the deportation order to the circuit court of appeals is retained. The Attorney General would retain her right to seek an administrative determination of deportability if the federal court denies a motion for judicial deportation.

**Sec. 114. Restricting defenses to deportation for certain criminal aliens.** Restricts defenses against deportation for aliens convicted of aggravated felonies. Allows only those aggravated felons who have been green card holders for at least seven years, and who have been sentenced to less than five years imprisonment, to assert a defense against deportation.

Currently, green card holders are ineligible for relief from deportation if the alien has served five or more years for one or more aggravated felonies. This section amends section 212(c) to make aliens who have been sentenced to five or more years ineligible for relief.

This standard is more relevant to judging the seriousness of an offense since dangerous criminals may be released prematurely due to prison overcrowding or other reasons unrelated to the seriousness of crime. Also, the current standard at times prevents a speedy commencement of deportation proceedings since it may be five years before it is known whether the alien has "served" 5 years.

This section also clarifies current law that aggravated felons may not request or be granted withholding of deportation. The provision is consistent with the intent of the UN Protocol to permit denial of withholding of deportation in cases of persons convicted of a "particularly serious crime."

**Sec. 115. Enhancing penalties for failing to depart, or reentering, after final order of deportation.** Enhances penalties for failing to depart or for reentering after a final order of deportation has been issued.

Currently, an alien who is deportable for criminal offenses, document fraud, or as a security risk is subject to criminal



penalties of up to 10 years imprisonment for failure to depart. However, there are no penalties for aliens deportable for other reasons. Subsection (a) retains the current 10 year penalty and provides for up to 4 years imprisonment for aliens who are issued deportation orders on other grounds and who fail to depart.

Subsection (b) increases the penalties for criminal aliens who reenter the U.S. after being formally deported. Currently, an alien who is convicted of a felony other than an aggravated felony and who re-enters is subject to 5 years imprisonment and a criminal fine; this subsection extends the penalties to aliens convicted of three or more misdemeanors and increases the maximum sentence to 10 years. Aggravated felons who re-enter the U.S. currently are subject to criminal fines and up to 15 years; this subsection increases the maximum sentence to 20 years. Language also is added to make it clear that any alien who stipulates to deportation during a criminal trial shall be considered to have been formally deported.

Subsection (c) would restrict a court's ability, in a criminal proceeding against a deported alien who has re-entered the U.S., to re-examine the underlying deportation order except in those cases where the alien demonstrates (1) that she has exhausted any available administrative remedies against the deportation order, (2) that the deportation proceedings improperly deprived the alien of the opportunity for judicial review, and (3) that the entry of the order of deportation was "fundamentally unfair."

**Sec. 116. Civil penalties for failure to depart.** Allows civil penalties to be levied against an alien who fails to depart pursuant to a deportation order.

#### PART C -- MISCELLANEOUS

**Sec. 121. Miscellaneous and technical changes.** Responds to two holdings of the 9th Circuit. Subsection (a) clarifies that the Attorney General can authorize deportation proceedings to be conducted by electronic or telephonic means or, where agreed to by the parties, in the absence of the alien.

Subsection (b) clarifies that nothing in this Act or in section 242(i) (directing the AG to begin deportation proceedings as quickly as possible after a conviction) shall be construed to create a legally enforceable right or benefit for the alien.

#### TITLE II -- PORT OF ENTRY INSPECTIONS

**Sec. 201. Restrictions on admissions fraud.** An alien who presents fraudulent documents, documents containing false information or who presents no documents to an asylum officer upon entry into the U.S. is excludable (can be denied admission to the U.S.), unless the alien can show: 1) that the fraudulent, stolen or "disappeared" documents (such as documents destroyed on the airplane) were used exclusively to leave a country from which he or she has a "credible fear of persecution," or 2) to avoid return to such a country.

Credible fear is established if: 1) it is "more probable



than not" that the alien's statements are true, and 2) there is a significant possibility, given the alien's statements and the information known by the asylum officer, that the alien can prove her eligibility as a refugee.

Aliens expressing a desire to apply for asylum will be screened by a specially trained asylum officer. If the officer determines she has a credible fear of persecution, she can then apply for asylum. All other undocumented or falsely documented aliens will be excluded.

**Sec. 202. Special port of entry exclusion for admissions fraud.**

Aliens found to be specially excludable under this bill may be ordered deported. An alien may be returned only to a country in which the alien has no credible fear of persecution upon return.

**Sec. 203. Judicial review.** Restricted to limited habeas corpus review. Other than limited habeas, no court can: 1) review a determination to exclude aliens under this bill, 2) review the procedures established by the Attorney General under this Title, or 3) enter declaratory or injunctive relief regarding implementation of this Title.

**Sec. 204. Effective date.**

**TITLE III -- PENALTIES FOR DOCUMENT FRAUD  
AND MISREPRESENTATION**

**Sec. 301. Increased penalties for document fraud.** The penalties for fraud and misuse of immigration documents and government-issued identification documents are increased from 5 years imprisonment to 10 years. The sentencing guidelines are amended to increase the minimum penalties based upon the number of fraudulent documents involved. The penalties are also increased if the documents are supplied to a terrorist, to facilitate a terrorist activity, or to persons involved in organized crime activities.

**Sec. 302. Penalties for failure to disclose role as preparer of fraudulent documents.** Establishes both civil and criminal penalties for failure to disclose a person's role as preparer of fraudulent documents. Criminal penalties are also established for persons who conceal that they have, for a fee, prepared or assisted in preparing a fraudulent application for asylum.

**Sec. 303. Civil penalties for fraud, misrepresentation, and failure to present documents.** Establishes civil penalties for failing to present valid immigration documents at the time of boarding a common carrier, or to an immigration officer upon arrival at a U.S. port of entry.

**TITLE IV -- ASYLUM REFORM**

**Sec. 401. Penalties for frivolous applications.** Establishes civil and criminal penalties for preparing false or frivolous applications for immigration benefits or false supporting documents.

**Sec. 402. Asylum and work authorization.** Establishes that an applicant for asylum is not automatically entitled to work authorization. The Attorney General has discretion in granting,



denying, suspending or placing conditions on work authorization.

**Sec. 403. Resources to address asylum backlog.** Provides for a 2-year program of property acquisition and leasing and use of retired federal employees to process the backlog of asylum claims (as in the 1986 Act legalization program).

**Sec. 404. Reforms to deportation process.** To expedite the deportation process: removes the Spanish language requirement for the document initiating deportation proceedings, clarifies that the privilege of counsel is "at no expense or unreasonable delay to the Government," and establishes time deadlines for filing judicial appeals, briefs and reply briefs.

**Sec. 405. Reduction of incentive to delay proceedings.** Requires that permanent resident aliens undergoing deportation proceedings who assert the defense of having resided in the U.S. for seven consecutive years cannot count the time they were in deportation proceedings towards the 7-year requirement.

#### TITLE V -- ILLEGAL IMMIGRATION AND BORDER SECURITY

**Sec. 501. Additional investigators.** Reprograms \$8 million for one year to hire 125 additional investigators and staff to investigate potential violations of employer sanctions.

**Sec. 502. Funding of deportation costs.** Reprograms \$10 million for one year to fund the detention and deportation of aliens from the U.S.

**Sec. 503. Interior repatriation pilot program.** A two-year pilot program and report to examine methods to deter multiple illegal entries, including removing aliens to the interior of their country (instead of just across the border).

#### TITLE VI -- BORDER CROSSING USER FEE

**Sec. 601. Imposition of fees.** Within 6 months of enactment, fees shall be imposed at land border ports of entry for the entrant's use of border facilities and services to equal the amount necessary for maintenance and operation of facilities and services. A separate account is established to retain the fees for INS use. Moneys unused for the current fiscal year due to the imposition of these fees will be used to hire and equip 100 additional Border Patrol agents and support personnel, and for repair, maintenance or construction of structures on the border.

#### TITLE VII -- DETENTION OF ILLEGAL ALIENS

**Sec. 701. Pilot program on use of closed military bases for the detention of illegal aliens.** 2-year pilot program and report on the feasibility of using of closed military bases to detain illegal aliens.

#### TITLE VIII -- PUBLIC BENEFITS ABUSE

**Sec. 801. Ineligibility for federal benefits.** Prohibits the distribution of federally-funded welfare benefits to illegal aliens (except emergency health care, short-term disaster aid, child nutrition programs and public health programs). Only



## 6

aliens lawfully in the U.S. with work authorization can qualify for unemployment benefits.

Sec. 802. Attribution of sponsor's income and resources to family preference aliens. The income and resources of an alien's sponsor shall be deemed to be the income and resources of the alien until the alien becomes a citizen.

Sec. 803. Definition of public charge. Defines "public charge" (for deportation purposes) as any alien who, within 5 years after the date of entry, has received welfare benefits for more than 12 consecutive months, except for causes which arose after entry into the U.S.

#### TITLE IX -- WORK AUTHORIZATION VERIFICATION

Sec. 901. Work authorization verification. Requires the Attorney General with the Secretary of Health and Human Services to develop and implement a counterfeit-resistant system to verify work eligibility and federally-funded public assistance benefits eligibility for all persons.

#### TITLE X -- NUMERICAL LIMITATIONS ON ADMISSION OF ALIENS INTO THE UNITED STATES

Sec. 1001. Five-year reduction in legal immigration. Reduces legal immigration from 675,000 to 500,000 for five years. The 175,000 reduction is taken proportionately as follows: 75,000 from the family immigration preferences, 45,000 from the employment immigration preferences, and 55,000 from the diversity program.

Sec. 1002. Worldwide numerical limitations. Removes the "pierceable cap" and replaces with a firm cap. This firm cap applies both to the reduced admissions (5-year reduction to 500,000) and the permanent 675,000 admissions limit.

Sec. 1003. Numerical limitation on annual admission of refugees. Limits refugee admissions to 80,000 unless Congress adopts a bill specifically authorizing a larger number.

#### TITLE XI -- MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Sec. 1101. Use of legalization information for criminal prosecution purposes. Allows the Attorney General to furnish identifying information, contained in confidential legalization applications, to a law enforcement agency in connection with a criminal investigation, or to a coroner for identifying a deceased individual.

Sec. 1102. Communications between federally funded government agencies and the Immigration and Naturalization Service. No federal, state or local government entity receiving federal funds shall be prohibited from communicating with the INS regarding the immigration status of an alien.