JUL 11 '94 11:15 dependent is from the codections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

TAX STUDY GROUP MEETING ATTENDEES

July 14, 1994

Corporation

Baxter International DuPont Company Eastman Kodak Company Emerson Electric Co General Electric General Mills General Motors Corp. Hallmark Cards, Inc. Hewlett-Packard Co. Honeywell, Inc. IBM Corporation Levi Strauss & Co. Merck and Co., Inc. PepsiCo, Inc. Philip Morris Procter & Gamble Co. Quaker Oats Company Sara Lee Corporation

Attendee Ivan Shandor Ellan Wharton Robert M. Dobreski Bob Burns John Yopp John Samuels Sandy Navin Bill Laitinen Paul Zagortz Dan Kastenbauder John Skinner Bob Mattson Laura Liang George Podurgiel Mat McKenna Bruce Brown Bob Guinan Stephen Perry Jim Wabich

P.2

MEMORANDUM TO THE REPUBLICAN LEADER FROM: David Taylor

SUBJECT: Paying for GATT

The President, Panetta, and Kantor understand that the major obstacle to approval of the implementing legislation will be paying for the projected tariff revenue loss. According to Bill Hoagland, the Administriation needs to find another \$9.8 billion in offsets over 5 years and a little over \$27 billion over 10 years.

Ever since the Uruguay Round concluded, the Administration has tried to put international and domestic pressure on Congress to move the implementing bill <u>without</u> providing hard offsets. The Finance Committee is scheduled to begin the mark-up process next week, and the Administration has failed to put hard offsets on the table. The further this process moves without a discussion of funding, the more difficult it will be for those Republicans who are inclined to support GATT to block action on the bill based on financing options -- tax/fee increases or a budget waiver -- they oppose.

At this meeting, you should stress two points:

- Republicans clearly prefer to pay for GATT with spending cuts. The ratio of spending cuts to revenue-raisers will be a key factor in determining the level of GOP support for the implementing bill.
- We will only consider supporting a budget waiver for GATT if the implementing legislation meets the following conditions: 1) the implementing legislation cannot add to the deficit over the first 5 years, and 2) there must be a good faith effort to finance the projected tariff revenue loss over 10 years.

Budget Waiver

In the FY95 Budget Resolution, I worked with Domenici, Packwood, and Moynihan staffs to block efforts by the Democrats to eliminate the requirement that new entitlements be paid for over 10 years. 10-year budgeting is important because the Administration plans to phase in their major new spending initiatives -- health care, jobtraining, etc. -- over more than 5 years. Section 23 of the Budget Resolution establishes a 60-vote point of order on direct-spending and revenue bills that increase the deficit for any one of three time periods: a) the first year, b) years 1 through 5, or c) years 6 through 10.

The Administration appears to have backed away from its commitment to pay for the GATT tariff revenue loss over 10 years. As a result, the implementing bill will be subject to at least one 60-vote point of order in the Senate.

Senator Domenici has indicated that if the Administration pays for the bill over 5 years and makes "a good faith effort to finance the bill over 10 years but comes up short," he might be willing to accept a waiver for the outyears because of uncertainties about 10-year budget forecasts. In my view, the Administration has read too much into this statement.

Revenue Raisers

When NAFTA pay-fors were being discussed, at least 30 House Republicans signed a letter to the President which stated "It would be difficult for many of us to support a NAFTA which includes tax increases." A similar draft letter is in circulation on the House side now. If the Administration decides to move the implementing bill this year, Newt Gingrich would support a budget waiver rather than vote for any tax increases to pay for GATT. Next year, he would take a different view. This issue will come up at tomorrow's joint leadership meeting.

The Administration has met with several Republicans to see if various revenueraisers might be acceptable, but there has been little effort to come up with spending cuts to pay for GATT. All the cuts have been used to finance the Administration's other priorities -- welfare, job-training etc.

Domenici has tried to help the Administration develop a list of spending cut options. But most of the cuts the Administration can support are currently tied to other spending initiatives.

Administration Pay-for Options

The Administration's latest effort to pay for GATT makes no attempt to pay for the revenue loss over 10 years. The attached list may be incomplete because it includes a total of \$7.0 billion in pay-fors for a bill that costs an estimated \$9.8 billion over 5 years. The list includes \$4.7 billion in revenue raisers and only \$2.3 billion in <u>new</u> spending cuts. The \$1.7 billion in agriculture savings occur automatically as a result of GATT.

On the spending cut side, the \$1.0 billion PBGC Reform package will be controversial. Reforms are needed in this area, but putting reform on a fast-track bill could cause problems for Moynihan and other members of the Finance Committee.

Attachment

cc: Sheila Burke

Rolf Lundberg

Nina Oviedo

This document is fr Pay-As-You-	Go Ethte//	dolearchives.k	ile Alchives, c		Cansas		7/12/94
(\$	in billions	CBO/OM	Implement B scoring	ting Legi	slation		
		, 000/01	in scoring	3)		1995	
	1995	1996	1997	1998	1998	1999	
Tank Danage I							
Tariff Revenue Losses	0.9	1.7	2.3	3.0	3.7	11.5	
Agriculture Outlay Savings	_*	-0,3	-0.4	-0.5	-0.5	-1,7 *	AUTOMATILALL'
Total GATT estimate	0.9	1.4	20	25	24	o e	AS A LESULTD
		0.900.00000000000000000000000000000000				· 0.6	GATT
Other tariff losses due to							
possible additions to GATT:							
Extend GSP 1 year Extend CBI 1 year	0,5		77			0.5	
Exterio Obri year	0.1				77	0.1	
Total GATT plus GSP & CB	1.5	1.4			6 4		
anna ann an a	nanan Miliano		111 H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H	619			
* Savings of less than \$50 mil						4	
Notes: A negative sign indica	tes a redu	ction in th	e deficit.				
Pennihla Dave da V	A						
Possible Pay-As-You-Go	Offsets fo	or GATT In	nplementi	ng Legisl	ation		
	ward By A billions, OM						
(4 11 1	minons, On	ne scotini	8)		-year		
					total		
REVENUE INCREASES					total		
Change inventory accounting	rules				-1.3		
Require sec. 936 corporations	and subp	art F CFC	s to file ta	xes			
quarterly rather than annually	/				-1.3		
Eliminate windfall "same cond	ition subst	itution" su	bsidy	5.6%	-0.5		-*
Require employers to offer cas Speed up collection of excise	sn in lieu o tavae	t leased p	arking pla	ace	-0.4		
		hle securi	tipe as co	ch	-0.3	- 8°	
Treat partnership distribution of marketable securities as cash -0.3 Offer voluntary withholding of non-means-tested cash benefits -0.3							
Tax HCFCs and HBFCs as ozo	one-deple	ting chen	nicals	ironta	-0.2		14 U
Mandatory w/holding of distrib	ution of pr	ofits from	Indian ca	sinos	-0.1		
Subtotal revenue increases					-4.7		
				.635	99999999808600		
OUTLAY REDUCTIONS				ιđ.			
PBGC reform - net savings					-1.0		
Spectrum auction - pioneerin of 20 percent set-aside	g preteren	ce progra	im – moc	lification			
Require soc. sec. # for newbo	rns – elim	inato FIT(Cfraud		-1.0		
1 in ter neme	ins chin	intere Linv	Jiauu	.434	<u>-0.3</u>		
Subtotal outlay reductions				953			
Subtotal outlay reductions	ang		ant lizhes a		-7.0		
Subtotal outlay reductions	by Admin	istration	X: X				
Subtotal outlay reductions							
Subtotal outlay reductions Total paygo offsets suggested OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF	FSETS -	SBC mine	ority sudd	estions			
Subtotal outlay reductions Fotal paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surta	FSETS -	SBC mind	ority sugg	<u>estions</u> xes)	-1.6		
Subtotal outlay reductions Total paygo offsets suggested OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF Extend corporate income surta	FSETS -	SBC mind	ority sugg	<u>estions</u> xes)	-1.6 -0.5		
Subtotal outlay reductions Fotal paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surta Extend veterans' OBRA 1993 p	FSETS - ax dedicate provisions	SBC mine ed to Supe (spending	erity sugg erfund (ta: I)	<u>estions</u> xes)			
Subtotal outlay reductions Total paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surta Extend veterans' OBRA 1993 p Total Administration plus SBC	FSETS - ax dedicate provisions minority p	SBC mind ed to Supe (spending aygo offs)	<u>prity sugg</u> erfund (ta: I) ets	<u>estions</u> xes)		•	
Subtotal outlay reductions Total paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surtz Extend veterans' OBRA 1993 p Total Administration plus SBC <u>UNDER DISCUSSION - USIN</u>	FSETS - ax dedicate provisions minority p G PAYGQ	SBC mine ed to Supe (spending aygo offs) SURPLUS	<u>prity sugg</u> erfund (ta: I) ets	<u>estions</u> xes)			
Subtotal outlay reductions Total paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surta Extend veterans' OBRA 1993 p Total Administration plus SBC <u>UNDER DISCUSSION – USIN</u> May 10, 1994 CBO paygo surp	FSETS – ax dedicate provisions minority p G PAYGO plus (post-	SBC mine ed to Supe (spending aygo offs) SURPLUS	<u>prity sugg</u> erfund (ta: I) ets	<u>estions</u> xes)	-0.5 -9.1		
Subtotal outlay reductions Fotal paygo offsets suggested <u>OTHER POSSIBLE PAYGO OF</u> Extend corporate income surtz Extend veterans' OBRA 1993 p Fotal Administration plus SBC JNDER DISCUSSION - USIN	FSETS - ax dedicate provisions minority p G PAYGO plus (post- us (post-	SBC mind ed to Supe (spending aygo offs) SURPLUS OBRA 93 OBRA 93)	<u>prity sugg</u> erfund (ta: I) ets <u>3</u> I)	xes)	-0.5 - 9.1		

S.

REAL HELP FOR THE MIDDLE CLASS

DOLE/PACKWOOD

- NO TAX INCREASES
- NO INCREASE IN THE DEFICITS
- CHOICE OF BENEFITS, CHOICE OF INSURANCE
- NO GOVERNMENT PRICE CONTROLS
- NO JOB KILLING EMPLOYER MANDATES
- PORTABILITY OF INSURANCE
 - LIMITS ON PRE-EXISTING CONDITION EXCLUSIONS
 - GUARANTEE ISSUE -- INSURERS HAVE TO SELL YOU INSURANCE
 - OPEN ENROLLMENT --
- TAX FAIRNESS FOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS AND SELF-EMPLOYED WHO BUY THEIR OWN INSURANCE.
- CREATION OF MEDICAL SAVINGS ACCOUNTS

KEY PROVISIONS OF THE DOLE/PACKWOOD BILL

- UNIVERSAL COVERAGE AS A GOAL, ACHIEVED BY MAKING INSURANCE MORE ACCESSIBLE TO THOSE NOW DENIED COVERAGE BECAUSE OF PRE-EXISTING CONDITIONS, JOB CHANGES, OR POVERTY
- THE PRESIDENT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPORT TO CONGRESS BY JANUARY 15, 1998 ON THE LEVEL OF INSURANCE COVERAGE
- NO NEW TAXES. FINANCING COMES FROM MEDICARE (\$60 BILLION)
 AND MEDICAID (\$40 BILLION) SAVINGS
- NO MANDATES ON EMPLOYERS OR INDIVIDUALS TO BUY INSURANCE
- BUY-IN TO THE FEDERAL EMPLOYEES HEALTH PLAN FOR SMALL EMPLOYERS AND THE SELF-EMPLOYED
- SUBSIDIZED PRIVATE INSURANCE FOR LOW INCOME FAMILIES WITH INCOMES UP TO \$22,200 FOR A FAMILY OF FOUR
- PHASED-IN TAX DEDUCTIONS FOR INDIVIDUALS AND THE SELF-EMPLOYED WHO PURCHASE THEIR OWN INSURANCE
- VOLUNTARY MEMBERSHIP AVAILABLE TO ALL IN INSURANCE PURCHASING COOPERATIVES
- NO MANDATED STANDARD BENEFITS PACKAGE, EXCEPT FOR THE PACKAGE THAT RECEIVES GOVERNMENT SUBSIDIES
- MEDICAL SAVINGS ACCOUNTS OFFERED IN A SIMILAR WAY AS INDIVIDUAL RETIREMENT ACCOUNTS
- NO PRICE CONTROLS OR GLOBAL BUDGETS
- MEDICAL MALPRACTICE REFORM, INCLUDING \$250,000 CAP ON NON-ECONOMIC DAMAGES
- ♦ ADMINISTRATIVE SIMPLIFICATION -- STREAMLINING PAPERWORK
- MEDICARE RECIPIENTS WOULD BE ENCOURAGED TO JOIN MANAGED CARE PLANS

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu Washington National Tay

Washington National Tax Services Suite 700 1801 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Thurs, July 14 Telephone 202 296 0800

7:00 dinner

SPEAK after dinner is their Duference)

Hay- Adams

(Thurs, July 14 (5:00 a.m. anive Dulles from Calij

Price Waterhouse

May 16, 1994

Honorable Bob Dole United States Senate 141 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, D.C. 20510

Dear Senator Dole:

I would be greatly honored if you could join the <u>CEO Tax</u> Study Group as a dinner speaker at the group's next meeting in Washington, which will be <u>Thursday</u> evening, <u>July</u> 14, 1994. The dinner will be attended by the tax directors of our member companies.

The CEO Tax Study Group is a group of 21 of some of America's best known corporations (see attached list of membership). The group first came together in the mid-1980's during tax reform and has continued to work together on tax policy issues.

As you know, our group is collegial and our dinner meetings are on the informal side. We are interested in your perspectives on the current state of the economy, health care and tax policy.

The dinner meeting will be held in the <u>Federal Suite</u> at The <u>Hay Adams</u> Hotel, One Lafayette Square, N.W., Washington, D.C. Cocktails will begin at 6:00 p.m. and dinner will be served at 7:00 p.m. I would like you to speak immediately after dinner.

Please do not hesitate to call me at (202) 822-8570 if you have any questions. Since we need to firm up our plans soon, I look forward to your response.

Sincerely,

Mark McConaghy

Enclosure

TAX STUDY GROUP MEMBERSHIP

Baxter International E. I. Du Pont Eastman Kodak Company Emerson Electric Company Genentech, Inc. General Electric Company General Mills, Inc. General Motors Corporation Hallmark Cards, Inc. Hewlett-Packard Company Honeywell, Inc. IBM Corporation Levi Strauss & Company Merck & Company, Inc. 3M Company PepsiCo, Inc. Philip Morris Companies, Inc. The Pillsbury Company The Procter & Gamble Company The Quaker Oats Company Sara Lee Corporation