

NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK COMMITTEE
AND
THE NATIONAL RIGHT TO WORK LEGAL DEFENSE FOUNDATION, INC.
SEMI-ANNUAL BOARD DINNER
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 1, 1993
CAPITOL HILL CLUB

Staff

Mr. Reed Larson, President, Committee and Foundation
Mr. Rex Reed, Executive Vice President, Foundation
Mrs. Edith Hakola, General Counsel, Foundation
Mr. Mark Mix, Vice President Legislation, Committee
Mrs. Virginia Smith, Executive Assistant to Mr. Larson

Board Members and Guests, Committee

Mr. Walter L. Allen
Jeromesville, Ohio

Mrs. Camille O. Bradford
Denver, Colorado

Mr. Howard R. Brown
North Baltimore, Ohio

Mr. Carl N. Monroe
Greensboro, North Carolina

Mr. Thomas F. Quinlan
Mrs. Mariam Quinlan
Miss Mary Quinlan
New Preston, Connecticut

Mr. R. Bruce Simpson
Gainesville, Florida

Board Members and Guests, Foundation

Ms. Sylvia Crutchfield (Guest of Mrs. Hakola)
Alexandria, Virginia

Dr. Frederick Fowler III
Knoxville, Tennessee

Mr. Robert Gaylord
Rockford, Illinois

Mr. Menlo Smith
St. Louis, Missouri

The following persons are distinguished guests of Mr. Reed Larson who will attend the National Right to Work Committee and National Right to Work Legal Defense Foundation, Inc., Board dinner on Wednesday, December 1, 1993 at the Capitol Hill Club:

Dr. Roland Goode
Rapidan, VA

Mr. Thomas Williams
Alexandria, VA

Ms. Helen Richardson
Washington, DC

Paul and Sarah Atkins
Bethesda, MD

Wed, Dec 1

November 22, 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR SENATOR DOLE

FR: Mark Miller *MM*
RE: Schedule request

Mark Mix, the new lobbyist for The National Right to Work Committee has made a request for Wednesday, December 1.

Their Board of Directors (about 15 people) are having cocktails and dinner at the Capitol Hill Club starting at 5:45 pm.

The request is for you to join them for the cocktail reception and/or dinner.

Please advise.

Will drop by cocktail reception 5:45 to 6:15

Will stay for Dinner

Decline

[Handwritten mark]

4



National Right To Work Committee

A COALITION OF EMPLOYEES AND EMPLOYERS

November 18, 1993

REED LARSON, *President*

The Honorable Robert Dole
United States Senate
141 Senate Hart Office Building
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Senator:

On behalf of the 1.8 million members of the National Right to Work Committee, I urge you to support a filibuster of William Gould's nomination to the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB).

Right to Work supporters have long known that Professor Gould is a rigid advocate of policies that enlarge the power of union officials at the expense of individual workers.

As you may recall, I informed you of my deep concern about the Gould nomination in October.

Since then, you, your Senate colleagues and the American people have had a chance to review Mr. Gould's record -- and that sense of alarm felt by so many Right to Work supporters has now spread across this country.

The simple truth is that William Gould's pro-compulsory unionism bias is too obvious to ignore.

And Professor Gould, try as he may, has done little to reassure the growing numbers of concerned Americans.

✓ [As you know, during his recent testimony before the Senate Labor Committee, Gould admitted that he would support rewriting current NLRB regulations to severely restrict the right of workers to resign membership in a union.

"Let the majority rule there," he cavalierly said.

This flippant remark confirmed that Gould has no sympathy for -- or even understanding of -- the principle, embraced by large majorities of Americans, that workers should be free to choose for themselves whether or not they want to join a union and pay union dues.

✓ [Of course, Gould long ago went on record in support of the so-called Striker Replacement Bill, which would hand union officials immense new powers to impose forced-dues contracts on

workers and bring hundreds of thousands of additional workers under Big Labor control.

✓ He has also proposed doing away with the NLRB-sanctioned "representation" elections currently required to establish a union presence in the workplace. The reason? Union officials have found that free and fair representation elections, in which all sides openly air their views, diminish the chance that union officials will win control of workers.

The NLRB is supposed to be an objective enforcer of America's labor laws -- not a bureaucratic power base for Organized Labor.

Surely, an NLRB member, and likely chairman, ought to be required to demonstrate at least an understanding of the Right to Work principle -- which forms one of the main currents in American labor history.

When a worker takes a case to the NLRB, he or she should have no doubt that the highest labor law tribunal in the land will hear the case with an open mind and with every intention of acting as an unbiased arbiter of labor disputes.


William Gould's record, as a lawyer and as an academic apologist for compulsory unionism, indicates that he does not possess the temperament or outlook to impartially perform his duties on the NLRB.

Millions of Americans are aware of Professor Gould's record and alarmed at his apparent contempt for the rights of individual workers.

These Americans are ready to rally to the side of any Senator who stands up for their principles by leading a filibuster against Professor Gould's nomination.

I strongly urge you to publicly commit, at the earliest possible date, to support a filibuster of Professor William Gould's nomination to the NLRB.

Sincerely,


Reed Larson