

M E M O R A N D U M

April 27, 1990

TO: SENATOR DOLE
FROM: JIM MCMILLAN
RE: SPEECH TO REP. MICHEL'S CHAMBER OF COMMERCE CONSTITUENTS

You have been asked to address a group of approximately 55 Chamber of Commerce constituents of Congressman Michel on Monday, April 30, 1990 at 9:30 a.m., in H-227, The Capitol. This is part of a two-day program organized by Congressman Michel which includes various speeches by members of Congress, the Administration and certain other individuals (a program is attached). You will be speaking after Sen. Dixon and before Sec. Cheney. You have been asked to speak for 10-15 minutes on whatever you wish and to take questions for 10-15 minutes. Sen. Dixon and Sec. Cheney will also be speaking on whatever matters and issues they wish.

Jim McMillan

April 25, 1990

Memo to : MIKE BOER, ROB BLACKWELL, DAVE DOKKA, RICK LUTOVSKY
From : CRAIG FINDLEY
Subject : Washington Fly-In Agenda

Monday, April 30

H-227, The Capitol (phone 225-0000)

9:00 a.m.
→ 9:30 a.m.
10:00 a.m.
10:30 a.m.
11:00 a.m.

Senator Alan J. Dixon
Senator Robert Dole
Defense Secretary Richard Cheney
Coffee break
Floor Assistant William Pitts
House floor discussion of legis-
lative procedures
Constituent Services rep. Sarah Thomson
Tour of U.S. Capitol
Lunch with Mr. Michel in Leader's Corridor
NPR and ABC Correspondent Cokie Roberts
Ambassador Carla Hills
To be arranged
Adjourn

11:30 a.m.
12:15 p.m.
2:00 p.m.
2:30 p.m.
3:00 p.m.
3:30 p.m.
5:00 p.m. to
7:00 p.m.

Reception at 1201 New York Ave. N.W.
Hosted by Keck Mahin & Cate law firm

Tuesday, May 1

H-227, The Capitol

8:30 a.m.
9:00 a.m.
9:45 a.m.
10:30 a.m.
11:00 a.m.
12:15 p.m.
12:30 p.m.
1:00 p.m.

Coffee
House Speaker Thomas Foley
Representative Dan Rostenkowski
Lobbyist Ken Duberstein, ~~Timmons & Company~~
Lunch/free time
Board charter bus (location TBA)
Depart for White House
The Roosevelt Room, White House
National Security Advisor Brent Scowcroft
Deputy Chief of Staff Andrew Card
Other speakers tba
Depart White House for hotel

2:00 p.m.

**SENATOR BOB DOLE
CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE CONSTITUENTS
OF CONGRESSMAN MICHEL
APRIL 30, 1990**

INTRODUCTION: VISIT TO
WASHINGTON

GOOD MORNING. I'M
PLEASED TO HAVE THE
OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO
YOU DURING WHAT LOOKS TO
ME TO BE A PRETTY BUSY AND
FULL ITINERARY FOR YOUR VISIT
TO WASHINGTON.

IF YOU GET A CHANCE,
YOU MAY WANT TO COME TO

THE SENATE GALLERY AND
WATCH THE DEBATES THAT GO
ON THE SENATE FLOOR. YOU'LL
PROBABLY WONDER WHAT'S
GOING ON AND IF WE'RE
DOING ANYTHING — OR
WORSE YET, EVER GET
ANYTHING DONE. THIS IS MY
30TH YEAR IN CONGRESS AND I
WONDER THAT MYSELF
SOMETIMES.

CLEAN AIR

IF YOU'D COME A FEW
WEEKS AGO, YOU WOULD HAVE
SEEN US PASS THE CLEAN AIR
LEGISLATION — THE FIRST
MAJOR REVISION IN 12 YEARS.

EVERYONE, OF COURSE, IS
TALKING ABOUT THE COST OF
THE BILL —

ENVIRONMENTALISTS SAYING IT
COSTS TOO LITTLE, BIG

BUSINESS SAYING IT COSTS
TOO MUCH.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE
BILL THAT WAS PASSED WAS A
BIG IMPROVEMENT OVER THAT
BROUGHT TO THE SENATE FLOOR
WHICH HAD A STAGGERING
PRICE TAG OF \$40 TO \$100
BILLION PER YEAR — TO BE
PAID BY YOU FOLKS —
AMERICAN BUSINESS.

THE BILL THAT WAS PASSED
IS CONSISTENT WITH THE
PRESIDENT'S PLAN OF LIMITING
COSTS TO THE \$20 BILLION
RANGE.

OF COURSE, YOU NEVER
KNOW WHOSE ESTIMATES TO
BELIEVE —— SOME SAYING THAT
THE BILL WILL COST \$30 BILLION
PER YEAR. IT'S MY FEELING
YOU CAN NEVER ESTIMATE

THESE COSTS VERY WELL.

BUT IT'S A MUCH NEEDED
PIECE OF LEGISLATION AND A
GOOD PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

BUDGET AND DEFICIT

WHILE YOU MISSED CLEAN
AIR, YOU HAVE COME TO
WASHINGTON AT A TIME WHEN
CONGRESS MUST ONCE AGAIN
FACE THE BIG PROBLEMS OF THE
BUDGET AND THE FEDERAL
BUDGET DEFICIT.

IT SHOULD COME AS NO
SURPRISE TO ANYONE TO SAY
THAT WE'RE BROKE.

THE NATIONAL DEBT IS
SOME 3.3 TRILLION DOLLARS
AND OUR INTEREST PAYMENT ON
THAT DEBT IS AROUND 180
BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR. NOT
LONG AGO, HALF THE AMOUNT
THAT WE NOW SPEND ON
INTEREST USE TO RUN THE
WHOLE GOVERNMENT.

LAST YEAR, CONGRESS
BASICALLY TOOK A WALK ON

THE DEFICIT. WE SPENT 10
MONTHS AND DEVOTED OVER
60% OF OUR ROLL CALL VOTES
TO REDUCE THE DEFICIT BY
ONLY 16 BILLION DOLLARS AND
THE WAY WE DID THIS WAS
NOT EVEN THROUGH REAL
SAVINGS.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE FOUND
\$500 MILLION THROUGH SOME
UNREDEEMED FOOD STAMPS.

WE FOUND SOME MORE MONEY
THROUGH ACCELERATED
MILITARY PAY DATES. BIG
DEAL! TO SAY THE LEAST, THIS
IS NOT POLICY.

THE WEEK BEFORE LAST, THE
HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE
FINALLY MARKED—UP A
DEMOCRATIC BUDGET PLAN
WHICH WILL PROBABLY BE
APPROVED BY THE FULL HOUSE

TOMORROW. UNFORTUNATELY,
THE PROPOSAL IS NOT A
SERIOUS ONE AND DOESN'T
FACE UP TO THE HARD ISSUES
OF REALITY.

IT USES OMB'S JANUARY
BASELINE FORECAST WHICH WAS
WIDELY CRITICIZED BY THE
BUDGET CHAIRMAN AND THE
DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP —
AND WHICH THE OMB DIRECTOR

NOW SAYS MAY BE AS MUCH
AS \$15 BILLION SHORT. IT
ALSO SCORES REVENUES FROM
IRS ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVES IN
THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET WHICH
EVEN THE TAX—WRITING
COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN REJECT.

THE ROSTENKOWSKI PLAN

I WOULD LIKE TO
CONGRATULATE CHAIRMAN
ROSTENKOWSKI FOR THE PLAN
HE HAS PUT FORWARD FOR I
THINK THAT IT IS THE WAKE—UP
CALL WE'VE BEEN WAITING FOR.

ALTHOUGH I DON'T AGREE
WITH EVERYTHING HE HAS
RECOMMENDED, I DO THINK HE
WAS RIGHT IN INSISTING THAT

ANY 'PEACE DIVIDEND' BE USED
FOR DEFICIT REDUCTION AND
THAT ANY NEW SPENDING BE
ON A PAY—AS—YOU—GO
BASIS.

WE MUST EITHER MAKE NEW
PROGRAMS FINANCIALLY SELF—
SUFFICIENT OR SCALE BACK
EXISTING PROGRAMS TO PAY
FOR NEW INITIATIVES.

THE FREEZE

CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
WAS PROBABLY ALSO RIGHT, AT
LEAST POLITICALLY, IN REVIVING
CANDIDATE BUSH'S PROPOSAL
FOR A ONE—YEAR FREEZE IN
FEDERAL SPENDING WITH THE
EXCEPTION OF MEANS—TESTED
POVERTY PROGRAMS.

THE PROBLEM, HOWEVER, IS
THAT A FREEZE IS NOT THE BEST

POSSIBLE POLICY — IT'S
SIMPLY AUTOMATIC PILOT AND
A WAY TO AVOID SOME
REALLY TOUGH DECISIONS.

IT DOES NOT DISTINGUISH
AMONG PROGRAMS
ACCORDING TO CHANGING
NEEDS AND ENDS UP
PERPETUATING SOME OBSOLETE
PROGRAMS WHICH SHOULD BE
CUT BACK OR ELIMINATED TO

PAY FOR NEW PRIORITIES, LIKE
EDUCATION AND THE DRUG
WAR.

NONETHELESS, UNLIKE MOST
BUDGET SOLUTIONS, A FREEZE IS
EASILY UNDERSTOOD BY THE
AMERICAN PEOPLE AND IT
DEMANDS MUCH THE SAME
SACRIFICE FROM EVERYONE.

TAXES

I HAVE ALWAYS INSISTED
THAT WE SHOULD REDUCE THE
BUDGET DEFICIT THROUGH
SPENDING CUTS, AND NOT
THROUGH NEW TAXES.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE
PRESIDENT WILL ABANDON HIS
PLEDGE OF 'NO NEW TAXES' TO
RAISE INCOME TAX RATES.

INCOME TAX RATES ARE

THE CRUX OF THE NO TAX
PLEDGE. WHEN PEOPLE HEAR
'NO NEW TAXES' THEY
UNDERSTAND NO INCOME TAX
INCREASES.

THERE ARE WAYS THAT WE
CAN RAISE REVENUES WITHOUT
RAISING TAX RATES. CLOSING
TAX LOOPHOLES IS ONE WAY.
CUTTING CAPITAL GAINS RATES
MAY BE ANOTHER, DEPENDING

ON WHOSE ESTIMATES YOU
BELIEVE.

CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
HAS RECENTLY INDICATED THAT
HE WOULD CONSIDER CAPITAL
GAINS TAX CUTS IF PART OF A
LARGER COMPROMISE
PACKAGE, INCLUDING TAX
INCREASES AND BUDGET CUTS
TO ATTAIN REAL DEFICIT
REDUCTION. SO, WHO KNOWS

WHERE ALL THIS IS GOING TO
COME OUT!

CAPITAL GAINS

CAPITAL GAINS IS HIGH
ON THE PRESIDENT'S PRIORITY
LIST AND HAS THE SUPPORT OF
A MAJORITY IN BOTH THE
HOUSE AND THE SENATE.

SENATOR MITCHELL WAS ABLE
TO BLOCK A VOTE LAST YEAR,
BUT I DOUBT HE CAN FIND
THOSE VOTES AGAIN, ONCE A
BUDGET AGREEMENT HAS BEEN

REACHED. THE PRICE FOR
CAPITAL GAINS RELIEF IN THE
SENATE MAY BE A SAVINGS
INCENTIVE, AS PROPOSED BY
THE PRESIDENT, OR AN
EXPANDED IRA, AS PROPOSED
BY SENATOR BENTSEN.

GRAMM—RUDMAN

FINALLY, I DO NOT AGREE
WITH THOSE, INCLUDING
CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI, WHO
CALL FOR THE REPEAL OF THE
GRAMM—RUDMAN—HOLLINGS
LAW, ALTHOUGH I CERTAINLY
SHARE THEIR FRUSTRATION WITH
THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET
PROCESS. WITHOUT THE
DISCIPLINE OF THE GRAMM—

RUDMAN—HOLLINGS LAW AND
ITS SEQUESTER THREAT, THERE
WOULD BE NOTHING TO FORCE
THE DEMOCRATS TO THE TABLE
NEXT YEAR OR TO STOP THE
CONGRESS FROM RETURNING TO
ITS OLD WILD—AND—CRAZY
SPENDING HABITS.

SO, IN SHORT, I THINK THAT
CONGRESSMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
HAS ISSUED A CHALLENGE TO

BOTH PARTIES TO WORK OUT
REAL DEFICIT REDUCTION. THE
WHITE HOUSE HAS ANSWERED
THIS CHALLENGE. I HOPE HIS
FELLOW DEMOCRATS DO THE
SAME.

CONCLUSION

I'D JUST LIKE TO ADD THAT
BECAUSE THIS IS AN ELECTION
YEAR, BI—PARTISAN
COOPERATION WILL BECOME
MORE AND MORE DIFFICULT.
NEVERTHELESS, I BELIEVE THAT
WE WILL FINALLY AGREE ON
WHAT I HAVE CALLED THE FIVE
C'S: CLEAN AIR, CHILD CARE,
CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM, A

CRIME PACKAGE, AND SOME
FORM OF CAPITAL GAINS
RELIEF. HOWEVER, AS ALWAYS,
THE SERIOUS DEBATE ON THE
BUDGET AND THE DEFICIT WILL
CONTINUE TO DOMINATE OUR
AGENDA UNTIL BOTH CONGRESS
AND THE PRESIDENT FACE UP TO
THE TOUGH CHOICES.

FLOOR QUESTIONS

I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE
ABOUT ANY ISSUES I'VE RAISED
OR ANY OTHER MATTERS OF
CONCERN TO YOU.

YOU'LL BE HEARING NEXT
FROM SEC. CHENEY —
PERHAPS YOU'LL HEAR SOME
ABOUT THE 'PEACE DIVIDEND'
CONGRESS IS BEGINNING TO

STRUGGLE WITH.

31

M E M O R A N D U M

April 27, 1990

TO: SENATOR DOLE
FROM: JIM MCMILLAN
RE: SPEECH TO REP. MICHEL'S CHAMBER OF COMMERCE CONSTITUENTS

You have been asked to address a group of approximately 55 Chamber of Commerce constituents of Congressman Michel on Monday, April 30, 1990 at 9:30 a.m., in H-227, The Capitol. This is part of a two-day program organized by Congressman Michel which includes various speeches by members of Congress, the Administration and certain other individuals (a program is attached). You will be speaking after Sen. Dixon and before Sec. Cheney. You have been asked to speak for 10-15 minutes on whatever you wish and to take questions for 10-15 minutes. Sen. Dixon and Sec. Cheney will also be speaking on whatever matters and issues they wish.

**SENATOR BOB DOLE
CHAMBER OF
COMMERCE CONSTITUENTS
OF CONGRESSMAN MICHEL
APRIL 30, 1990**

INTRODUCTION: VISIT TO
WASHINGTON

GOOD MORNING. I'M
PLEASED TO HAVE THE
OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK TO
YOU DURING WHAT LOOKS TO
ME TO BE A PRETTY BUSY AND
FULL ITINERARY FOR YOUR VISIT
TO WASHINGTON.

IF YOU GET A CHANCE,
YOU MAY WANT TO COME TO

THE SENATE GALLERY AND
WATCH THE DEBATES THAT GO
ON THE SENATE FLOOR. YOU'LL
PROBABLY WONDER WHAT'S
GOING ON AND IF WE'RE
DOING ANYTHING — OR
WORSE YET, EVER GET
ANYTHING DONE. THIS IS MY
30TH YEAR IN CONGRESS AND I
WONDER THAT MYSELF
SOMETIMES.

CLEAN AIR

IF YOU'D COME A FEW
WEEKS AGO, YOU WOULD HAVE
SEEN US PASS THE CLEAN AIR
LEGISLATION — THE FIRST
MAJOR REVISION IN 12 YEARS.

EVERYONE, OF COURSE, IS
TALKING ABOUT THE COST OF
THE BILL —

ENVIRONMENTALISTS SAYING IT
COSTS TOO LITTLE, BIG

BUSINESS SAYING IT COSTS
TOO MUCH.

I CAN TELL YOU THAT THE
BILL THAT WAS PASSED WAS A
BIG IMPROVEMENT OVER THAT
BROUGHT TO THE SENATE FLOOR
WHICH HAD A STAGGERING
PRICE TAG OF \$40 TO \$100
BILLION PER YEAR — TO BE
PAID BY YOU FOLKS —
AMERICAN BUSINESS.

THE BILL THAT WAS PASSED
IS CONSISTENT WITH THE
PRESIDENT'S PLAN OF LIMITING
COSTS TO THE \$20 BILLION
RANGE.

OF COURSE, YOU NEVER
KNOW WHOSE ESTIMATES TO
BELIEVE —— SOME SAYING THAT
THE BILL WILL COST \$30 BILLION
PER YEAR. IT'S MY FEELING
YOU CAN NEVER ESTIMATE

THESE COSTS VERY WELL.

BUT IT'S A MUCH NEEDED
PIECE OF LEGISLATION AND A
GOOD PIECE OF LEGISLATION.

BUDGET AND DEFICIT

WHILE YOU MISSED CLEAN AIR, YOU HAVE COME TO WASHINGTON AT A TIME WHEN CONGRESS MUST ONCE AGAIN FACE THE BIG PROBLEMS OF THE BUDGET AND THE FEDERAL BUDGET DEFICIT.

IT SHOULD COME AS NO SURPRISE TO ANYONE TO SAY THAT WE'RE BROKE.

THE NATIONAL DEBT IS
SOME 3.3 TRILLION DOLLARS
AND OUR INTEREST PAYMENT ON
THAT DEBT IS AROUND 180
BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR. NOT
LONG AGO, HALF THE AMOUNT
THAT WE NOW SPEND ON
INTEREST USE TO RUN THE
WHOLE GOVERNMENT.

LAST YEAR, CONGRESS
BASICALLY TOOK A WALK ON

THE DEFICIT. WE SPENT 10
MONTHS AND DEVOTED OVER
60% OF OUR ROLL CALL VOTES
TO REDUCE THE DEFICIT BY
ONLY 16 BILLION DOLLARS AND
THE WAY WE DID THIS WAS
NOT EVEN THROUGH REAL
SAVINGS.

FOR EXAMPLE, WE FOUND
\$500 MILLION THROUGH SOME
UNREDEEMED FOOD STAMPS.

WE FOUND SOME MORE MONEY
THROUGH ACCELERATED
MILITARY PAY DATES. BIG
DEAL! TO SAY THE LEAST, THIS
IS NOT POLICY.

THE WEEK BEFORE LAST, THE
HOUSE BUDGET COMMITTEE
FINALLY MARKED—UP A
DEMOCRATIC BUDGET PLAN
WHICH WILL PROBABLY BE
APPROVED BY THE FULL HOUSE

TOMORROW. UNFORTUNATELY,
THE PROPOSAL IS NOT A
SERIOUS ONE AND DOESN'T
FACE UP TO THE HARD ISSUES
OF REALITY.

IT USES OMB'S JANUARY
BASELINE FORECAST WHICH WAS
WIDELY CRITICIZED BY THE
BUDGET CHAIRMAN AND THE
DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP —
AND WHICH THE OMB DIRECTOR

NOW SAYS MAY BE AS MUCH
AS \$15 BILLION SHORT. IT
ALSO SCORES REVENUES FROM
IRS ENFORCEMENT INITIATIVES IN
THE PRESIDENT'S BUDGET WHICH
EVEN THE TAX—WRITING
COMMITTEE CHAIRMEN REJECT.

THE ROSTENKOWSKI PLAN

I WOULD LIKE TO
CONGRATULATE CHAIRMAN
ROSTENKOWSKI FOR THE PLAN
HE HAS PUT FORWARD FOR I
THINK THAT IT IS THE WAKE—UP
CALL WE'VE BEEN WAITING FOR.

ALTHOUGH I DON'T AGREE
WITH EVERYTHING HE HAS
RECOMMENDED, I DO THINK HE
WAS RIGHT IN INSISTING THAT

ANY 'PEACE DIVIDEND' BE USED
FOR DEFICIT REDUCTION AND
THAT ANY NEW SPENDING BE
ON A PAY—AS—YOU—GO
BASIS.

WE MUST EITHER MAKE NEW
PROGRAMS FINANCIALLY SELF—
SUFFICIENT OR SCALE BACK
EXISTING PROGRAMS TO PAY
FOR NEW INITIATIVES.

THE FREEZE

CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
WAS PROBABLY ALSO RIGHT, AT
LEAST POLITICALLY, IN REVIVING
CANDIDATE BUSH'S PROPOSAL
FOR A ONE—YEAR FREEZE IN
FEDERAL SPENDING WITH THE
EXCEPTION OF MEANS—TESTED
POVERTY PROGRAMS.

THE PROBLEM, HOWEVER, IS
THAT A FREEZE IS NOT THE BEST

POSSIBLE POLICY — IT'S
SIMPLY AUTOMATIC PILOT AND
A WAY TO AVOID SOME
REALLY TOUGH DECISIONS.

IT DOES NOT DISTINGUISH
AMONG PROGRAMS
ACCORDING TO CHANGING
NEEDS AND ENDS UP
PERPETUATING SOME OBSOLETE
PROGRAMS WHICH SHOULD BE
CUT BACK OR ELIMINATED TO

PAY FOR NEW PRIORITIES, LIKE
EDUCATION AND THE DRUG
WAR.

NONETHELESS, UNLIKE MOST
BUDGET SOLUTIONS, A FREEZE IS
EASILY UNDERSTOOD BY THE
AMERICAN PEOPLE AND IT
DEMANDS MUCH THE SAME
SACRIFICE FROM EVERYONE.

TAXES

I HAVE ALWAYS INSISTED
THAT WE SHOULD REDUCE THE
BUDGET DEFICIT THROUGH
SPENDING CUTS, AND NOT
THROUGH NEW TAXES.

I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE
PRESIDENT WILL ABANDON HIS
PLEDGE OF 'NO NEW TAXES' TO
RAISE INCOME TAX RATES.

INCOME TAX RATES ARE

THE CRUX OF THE NO TAX
PLEDGE. WHEN PEOPLE HEAR
'NO NEW TAXES' THEY
UNDERSTAND NO INCOME TAX
INCREASES.

THERE ARE WAYS THAT WE
CAN RAISE REVENUES WITHOUT
RAISING TAX RATES. CLOSING
TAX LOOPHOLES IS ONE WAY.
CUTTING CAPITAL GAINS RATES
MAY BE ANOTHER, DEPENDING

ON WHOSE ESTIMATES YOU
BELIEVE.

CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
HAS RECENTLY INDICATED THAT
HE WOULD CONSIDER CAPITAL
GAINS TAX CUTS IF PART OF A
LARGER COMPROMISE
PACKAGE, INCLUDING TAX
INCREASES AND BUDGET CUTS
TO ATTAIN REAL DEFICIT
REDUCTION. SO, WHO KNOWS

WHERE ALL THIS IS GOING TO
COME OUT!

CAPITAL GAINS

CAPITAL GAINS IS HIGH
ON THE PRESIDENT'S PRIORITY
LIST AND HAS THE SUPPORT OF
A MAJORITY IN BOTH THE
HOUSE AND THE SENATE.

SENATOR MITCHELL WAS ABLE
TO BLOCK A VOTE LAST YEAR,
BUT I DOUBT HE CAN FIND
THOSE VOTES AGAIN, ONCE A
BUDGET AGREEMENT HAS BEEN

REACHED. THE PRICE FOR
CAPITAL GAINS RELIEF IN THE
SENATE MAY BE A SAVINGS
INCENTIVE, AS PROPOSED BY
THE PRESIDENT, OR AN
EXPANDED IRA, AS PROPOSED
BY SENATOR BENTSEN.

GRAMM—RUDMAN

FINALLY, I DO NOT AGREE
WITH THOSE, INCLUDING
CHAIRMAN ROSTENKOWSKI, WHO
CALL FOR THE REPEAL OF THE
GRAMM—RUDMAN—HOLLINGS
LAW, ALTHOUGH I CERTAINLY
SHARE THEIR FRUSTRATION WITH
THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET
PROCESS. WITHOUT THE
DISCIPLINE OF THE GRAMM—

RUDMAN—HOLLINGS LAW AND
ITS SEQUESTER THREAT, THERE
WOULD BE NOTHING TO FORCE
THE DEMOCRATS TO THE TABLE
NEXT YEAR OR TO STOP THE
CONGRESS FROM RETURNING TO
ITS OLD WILD—AND—CRAZY
SPENDING HABITS.

SO, IN SHORT, I THINK THAT
CONGRESSMAN ROSTENKOWSKI
HAS ISSUED A CHALLENGE TO

BOTH PARTIES TO WORK OUT
REAL DEFICIT REDUCTION. THE
WHITE HOUSE HAS ANSWERED
THIS CHALLENGE. I HOPE HIS
FELLOW DEMOCRATS DO THE
SAME.

CONCLUSION

I'D JUST LIKE TO ADD THAT
BECAUSE THIS IS AN ELECTION
YEAR, BI—PARTISAN
COOPERATION WILL BECOME
MORE AND MORE DIFFICULT.
NEVERTHELESS, I BELIEVE THAT
WE WILL FINALLY AGREE ON
WHAT I HAVE CALLED THE FIVE
C'S: CLEAN AIR, CHILD CARE,
CAMPAIGN FINANCE REFORM, A

CRIME PACKAGE, AND SOME
FORM OF CAPITAL GAINS
RELIEF. HOWEVER, AS ALWAYS,
THE SERIOUS DEBATE ON THE
BUDGET AND THE DEFICIT WILL
CONTINUE TO DOMINATE OUR
AGENDA UNTIL BOTH CONGRESS
AND THE PRESIDENT FACE UP TO
THE TOUGH CHOICES.

FLOOR QUESTIONS

I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE ANY
QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE
ABOUT ANY ISSUES I'VE RAISED
OR ANY OTHER MATTERS OF
CONCERN TO YOU.

YOU'LL BE HEARING NEXT
FROM SEC. CHENEY —
PERHAPS YOU'LL HEAR SOME
ABOUT THE 'PEACE DIVIDEND'
CONGRESS IS BEGINNING TO

STRUGGLE WITH.