

KEEP YOUR HANDS OFF OLD GLORY:

SUPREME COURT DISASTER

BRINGING YOU UP TO DATE ON WHAT CONGRESS
HAS BEEN DOING THIS YEAR WON'T TAKE LONG -- WE
HAVEN'T DONE MUCH. I MUST SAY, HOWEVER, WE DID
DO SOMETHING VERY SIGNIFICANT IN THE SENATE THIS
PAST WEEK.

OH, THE LIBERAL EDITORIAL WRITERS AND THE

SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS DON'T LIKE IT, BUT I FELT

PRETTY GOOD WHEN THE SENATE PASSED MY

RESOLUTION 97-TO-3 THAT SAID, LOUD AND CLEAR,

THAT IT OUGHT TO BE AGAINST THE LAW TO BURN THE

AMERICAN FLAG.

LAST WEEK, THE SUPREME COURT DEMONSTRATED
IT ISN'T PERFECT. ITS RULING ON FLAG BURNING WAS A
TERRIBLE DECISION -- AND THE SENATE AGREED WITH
ME. I WAS PLEASED TO SEE PRESIDENT BUSH THIS
MORNING STEPPING UP HIS OWN PERSONAL DISGUST
WITH THE COURT DECISION.

-2-

HE ANNOUNCED HE WOULD SUPPORT THE SENATE'S

CALL FOR A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT TO MAKE IT

ILLEGAL TO DESECRATE OLD GLORY.

TOO MANY BRAVE AMERICANS -- TOO MANY BRAVE
WEST VIRGINIANS -- HAVE GIVEN THEIR LIVES TO DEFEND
OUR FLAG TO SIMPLY STAND ASIDE AND LET SOME NUT
TRAMPLE, DEFACE OR INCINERATE OUR FLAG.

###

-3-

PRESIDENTS CLEAN AIR INITIATIVE

MANY OF YOU ARE INTERESTED IN THE CLEAN AIR PROPOSAL RECENTLY ANNOUNCED BY GEORGE BUSH. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY AT THE OUTSET THAT THIS IS A VERY STRONG PROPOSAL. IT WILL BE EXPENSIVE, PRESIDENT BUSH ADMITS THAT. HOWEVER, I WOULD MAKE ONE IMPORTANT POINT: THE ENVIRONMENTALISTS WILL TRY TO TELL YOU THAT THIS PROPOSAL DOESN'T GO FAR ENOUGH. THAT IT IS ONLY A FLOOR AND THAT IT WILL HAVE TO BE "IMPROVED" WITH ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS.

MAKE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT. PRESIDENT BUSH IS
RECOMMENDING SOME PRETTY STRONG MEDICINE TO
CLEAN UP THE NATION'S AIR. WE SPEND \$35 BILLION
NOW ON CLEAN AIR. THIS PLAN WILL ADD AN
ADDITIONAL \$19 BILLION ON TOP OF THIS ANNUAL
COST. WE NEED TO RECOGNIZE THAT A CLEAN
ENVIRONMENT DOESN'T COME CHEAP. THAT AND
ADDITIONAL \$19 BILLION MAY BE THE ECONOMIC
CEILING. THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT WE MUST BALANCE
COSTS WITH TOUGH CLEAN AIR LEGISLATION.

CONGRESS WANTS TO DO SOMETHING GOOD FOR
THE ENVIRONMENT. HOWEVER, I WILL BE LOOKING FOR
SIGNS WE MAY HURT THE ECONOMY. LET'S FACE IT -
GOODS WILL BE PRICED HIGHER, FOREIGN SALES WILL
BE LESS COMPETITIVE, CORPORATE PROFITS AND
REVENUES WILL BE LOWER, AND THERE WILL BE AN
UPWARD PRESSURE ON INFLATION. SO DON'T LET
ANYBODY TELL YOU THAT THIS IS A WEAK PROPOSAL.

-18-

THE PRESIDENT HAS INDICATED THAT HE INTENDS
TO BUILD ON THE PROGRESS WE HAVE MADE OVER THE
YEARS. I COMMEND HIM FOR THIS. AND THE PRESIDENT
DID NOT TRY TO SUGARCOAT THE COST OF THIS PLAN.
THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WILL APPRECIATE THAT AS
WELL. MANY OF YOU RECOGNIZE THAT HIGH SULFUR
COAL HAS BEEN A TARGET OF THE
ENVIRONMENTALISTS. FORTUNATELY, THE PRESIDENT
HAS INCLUDED SOME PRETTY FAR-SIGHTED IDEAS IN
ORDER TO MAKE THIS PLAN WORK.

THE PRESIDENT HAS TRIED TO GET THE MOST COST
EFFECTIVE IDEAS INTO THIS PLAN. WHILE THE PLAN IS
HARDER ON HIGH SULFUR COAL, THERE IS A CERTAIN
AMOUNT OF FLEXIBILITY BUILT IN. THE PLAN ALLOWS
YOU TO SCRUB, AND USE HIGH SULFUR COAL, BUT IT
ALSO ALLOWS YOU TO SWITCH. ATTEMPTS TO MANDATE
SCRUBBING (SUCH AS PROPOSED BY SENATOR BYRD)
WILL INCREASE THE COSTS OF THIS BILL DRAMATICALLY
AND BE MET WITH FORMIDABLE OPPOSITION.

FREEDOM OF CHOICE IS THE WAY TO GO. IT GOES
HAND IN HAND WITH OUR EFFORTS TO PROMOTE CLEAN
COAL TECHNOLOGY. PRESIDENT BUSH HAS MADE IT
CLEAR THAT THE PREFERRED TECHNOLOGY IS CLEAN
COAL TECHNOLOGY. WHEN THIS TECHNOLOGY IS FULLY
IN PLACE, WE WILL SEE A MUCH GREATER ABILITY TO
BURN ALL TYPES OF COAL, INCLUDING HIGH SULFUR
COAL.

MEMORANDUM
JUNE 27, 1989

TO: SENATOR DOLE
FROM: JO-ANNE
SUBJ: WEST VIRGINIA SENATE RACE

Unfortunately, Senator Rockefeller is not as vulnerable as everyone had hoped. He has worked aggressively to shore up his weaknesses and has been quite successful.

One of the biggest problems is the generic ballot: only 22% of the voters identify themselves as Republicans, whereas 42% identify themselves as Democrats.

On Rockefeller's soft re-elect numbers, he receives 55% of the vote, and only 27% would vote for a "new person".

For his hard-core re-elect (respondents to question "would you vote for Rockefeller regardless of who his opponent is?"), 38% answered yes. (Interestingly, Baucus is only at 21%, as is Kerry.)

Rockefeller's favorability rating is at 66%, with 26% rating him unfavorably. Of that 66%, 31% of the favorables rate him strongly.

Results of some head-to-head questions:

Rockefeller v. Racey (received 48% of the vote when he ran against Rockefeller 6 years ago). Rockefeller 67%, Racey 21%.

Rockefeller 65%, Cleve Benedict 22%.

Rockefeller 70%, Wolf 18% (ran against Byrd 2 years ago).

Did no head-to-head with Arch Moore, but did test his favorability rating which was 37% favorable, but 55% unfavorable.

One distant possibility for a candidate is former astronaut McBride, who is now phasing out of NASA. He has a 5-1 favorable ratio, but not high name ID. (20% view him favorably, and only 4% unfavorably.)

One person who many agree would be an excellent candidate is Don Nahlen, football coach at West Virginia University who has indicated some interest in politics (no polling data available). Other mentioned candidate is Chuck Yeager, but he has stated emphatically that he has no interest whatsoever.

There are some underlying dynamics in the state that might work to our advantage, but will have to play out over the next few months: That is, the state of the economy as it relates to the Governor's tax increases. His favorable/unfavorable rating is 45-40%.

JUNE 26, 1989

TO: SENATOR DOLE
FROM: GREG SCHNACKE
SUBJECT: WEST VIRGINIA GOP SPEECH - TUESDAY JUNE 27

THE GROUP OF ABOUT 40, INCLUDING \$1000 CONTRIBUTORS AND GOP OFFICIALS WILL BE ASSEMBLED AND READY FOR YOUR SPEECH ABOUT 12:45 PM. THEY WILL HAVE ATTENDED A WELCOMING CEREMONY FOR PRIME MINISTER HAWKE OF AUSTRALIA, AND HAD A NUMBER OF BRIEFINGS ON THE PRESIDENT'S CLEAN AIR PROPOSAL FROM SENIOR WHITE HOUSE OFFICIALS.

PEOPLE IN THE ROOM YOU MAY WANT TO RECOGNIZE:

HIKE HEISKELL - STATE CHAIRMAN - WHO WILL INTRODUCE YOU

PRECILLA HUMPHREYS - NATIONAL COMMITTEEWOMAN

JOHN SCOTT - EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

THEY ARE INTERESTED IN YOUR COMMENTS ON THE PRESIDENT'S CLEAN AIR PROPOSAL AND THE IMPACT ON COAL STATES. JOHN SCOTT TELLS ME THAT YOUR SPEECH TO THE RNC WOULD ALSO BE APPROPRIATE AND WELL RECEIVED.

THEY WOULD LIKE TO CONCLUDE BY 1:30 PM.