February 27, 1989

MEMORANDUM

TO: SENATOR DOLE

FROM: DENNIS SHEA

SUBJECT: SPEECH BEFORE THE ANNUAL CONVENTION OF THE NATIONAL AGGREGATES ASSOCIATION/NATIONAL READY MIXED CONCRETE ASSOCIATION

On Tuesday, February 28, you are scheduled to speak before the annual convention of the National Aggregates Association and the National Ready Mixed Concrete Association. The convention is being held at the Washington Hilton.

The time of your speech is 8:30 a.m. Organizers of the convention have asked that you speak for <u>30 minutes</u>. A question-and-answer period will follow your speech, lasting approximately 20 minutes. Mrs. Dole is scheduled to speak immediately after the conclusion of this question-and-answer period.

I have been informed that approximately 1000 members of the two associations will be in attendance.

National Aggregates Association

The National Aggregates Association represents producers of construction aggregates. Construction aggregates include raw materials like sand and gravel.

National Ready Mixed Concrete Association

The National Ready Mixed Concrete Association represents companies that produce or sell ready-mixed concrete. The Association also represents companies that furnish materials, equipment, supplies and services to producers of ready-mixed concrete.

Speech

Your speech, which is intended to provide a broad overview of the major issues before the 101st Congress, covers the following topics:

- 1) budget deficit;
- 2) taxes;
- 3) labor issues (minimum wage, parental leave,
- double-breasting and occupational disease notification); 4) highways.

The speech looks very long. However, if you read the speech verbatim, it would barely cover the full 30 minutes.

NATIONAL AGGREGATES ASSOCIATION/NATIONAL READY

MIXED CONCRETE ASSOCIATION

INTRODUCTION

I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME THE
 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK THIS MORNING AT
 THE ANNUAL CONVENTION OF TWO GREAT
 TRADE ORGANIZATIONS: THE NATIONAL
 AGGREGATES ASSOCIATION AND THE
 NATIONAL READY MIXED CONCRETE
 ASSOCIATION.

0

THE MEMBERSHIP OF BOTH ASSOCIATIONS DESERVES MUCH CREDIT. YOU HAVE WORKED HARD AT DEVELOPING OUR NATION'S NATURAL RESOURCES. AND YOU HAVE WORKED HARD TO ENSURE THAT THESE RESOURCES ARE USED IN WAYS THAT BENEFIT US ALL -- IN BUILDING OUR HIGHWAYS AND OUR BRIDGES AND IN PROTECTING THE SOUNDNESS AND SAFETY OF OUR NATION'S INFRASTRUCTURE.

-2-

0

I MIGHT ADD THAT BOTH ASSOCIATIONS CAN
HELP TO DEVELOP YET ANOTHER OF OUR
COUNTRY'S GREAT NATURAL RESOURCES -JOHN TOWER. I URGE YOU TO CONTACT
YOUR SENATORS AND TELL THEM THAT YOU
STAND BEHIND THE PRESIDENT AND HIS
NOMINEE FOR SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.

-3-

THE BUDGET

THIS ANNUAL CONVENTION IS A SALUTE TO \mathbf{O} LEADERSHIP AND NO PROBLEM CONFRONTING OUR GOVERNMENT TODAY **REQUIRES LEADERSHIP MORE THAN THE** FEDERAL BUDGET DEFICIT. IN HIS BUDGET, THE PRESIDENT MAKES GOOD 0 ON HIS CAMPAIGN PROMISES. HE HAS PRODUCED A KINDER AND GENTLER BUDGET AND HAS MET THE **GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS TARGETS** WITHOUT RAISING TAXES.

0

DESPITE THE CLAIMS MADE BY SOME IN THE DEMOCRATIC LEADERSHIP, THIS BUDGET DOES NOT LEAVE THE TOUGH CHOICES ABOUT WHAT TO CUT TO CONGRESS. THE PRESIDENT HOLDS DEFENSE GROWTH TO THE RATE OF INFLATION, SIGNIFICANTLY CUTS MEDICARE, FEDERAL RETIREMENT, AGRICULTURAL SUBSIDIES, AND FEDERAL HEALTH BENEFITS.

-5-

0

IN ADDITION, PRESIDENT BUSH APPLIES A

NOMINAL FREEZE TO A POT OF NONDEFENSE DISCRETIONARY SPENDING WORTH \$136 BILLION. BY KEEPING SPENDING FOR THESE PROGRAMS AT 1989 LEVELS, WE WILL SAVE ALMOST \$11 BILLION IN A SINGLE YEAR.

-6-

0

EVEN WITHOUT ANY CHANGES IN CURRENT POLICY, THE CONGESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE **EXPECTS REVENUES TO INCREASE BY \$81.5 BILLION IN 1990. WITH THIS REVENUE** GROWTH, IT WILL BE POSSIBLE TO MEET THE **1990 GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS DEFICIT** TARGET. BUT IN ORDER TO DO SO CONGRESS MUST STICK TO PRESIDENT BUSH'S PLAN TO HOLD THE OVERALL GROWTH IN SPENDING TO THE RATE OF INFLATION.

-7-

0

THE PRESIDENT HAS ALSO FOUND FUNDS FOR INVESTING IN PEOPLE AS WELL AS TECHNOLOGY. THIS KINDER AND GENTLER **BUDGET INCLUDES \$400 MILLION IN BUDGET** AUTHORITY FOR NEW EDUCATION PROGRAMS, \$900 MILLION FOR THE WAR ON DRUGS, \$400 MILLION IN AID TO THE HOMELESS, AND THE **RESTORATION OF PRESIDENT REAGAN'S \$1.7 BILLION CUT IN MEDICAID.**

-8-

0

PRESIDENT BUSH'S BUDGET MAY NOT BE ALL THINGS TO ALL PEOPLE -- NO RESPONSIBLE BUDGET EVER IS -- BUT HIS BUDGET IS A SERIOUS, WORKABLE FIRST STEP. NOW, THE DEMOCRATS NEED TO LAY THEIR CARDS ON THE TABLE, SO THAT BUDGET NEGOTIATIONS CAN BEGIN.

STARTING BUDGET NEGOTIATIONS IS THE
 ONLY RESPONSIBLE THING TO DO, BECAUSE
 AS WE FIGHT OVER THE BUDGET, SIX YEARS
 OF SOLID, LOW-INFLATION ECONOMIC
 GROWTH MAY BE COMING TO AN END.

0

SQUABBLING OVER THE BUDGET PREVENTS US FROM MOVING FORWARD ON THE APPROPRIATIONS PROCESS, AS WELL AS TACKLING IMPORTANT PROBLEMS LIKE THE SAVINGS AND LOAN CRISIS. THE PRESIDENT SHOULD NOT HAVE HIS LEGISLATIVE AGENDA HELD HOSTAGE BY A CONGRESS THAT PREFERS TO POINT FINGERS RATHER THAN FACE UP TO THE TOUGH BUDGET CHOICES NEEDED TO MEET THE

GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS TARGETS.

-10-



A. NO NEW TAXES

YOU CAN'T TALK ABOUT THE BUDGET THESE 0 DAYS WITHOUT ALSO TALKING ABOUT TAXES. 0 MY POSITION ON TAXES SHOULD BE VERY CLEAR. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT TAX INCREASES ARE A SUBSTITUTE FOR SPENDING RESTRAINT. I AGREE WITH THE PRESIDENT THAT TO BALANCE THE BUDGET WE NEED TO LOOK AT SPENDING CUTS AND NOT NEW TAXES.

-11-

0

I ALSO BELIEVE THAT KEEPING THE LOWER RATES ENACTED IN 1986 IS VERY IMPORTANT, BOTH AS AN ECONOMIC INCENTIVE AND TO ASSURE TAXPAYERS THAT OUR LAWS ARE FAIR. TAXPAYERS SHOULD NOT HAVE TO RELY ON TAX SHELTERS TO KEEP THEIR EFFECTIVE TAX RATES AT AN ACCEPTABLE LEVEL.

-12-

B. EXCISE TAX ON GASOLINE

AS I MENTIONED EARLIER, THE BUDGET
 PROPOSED BY THE PRESIDENT MAKES GOOD
 ON HIS CAMPAIGN PROMISES TO MEET THE
 GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS DEFICIT TARGETS
 WITHOUT RAISING TAXES. IT IS NOW CLEAR
 THAT WHEN THE PRESIDENT SAID "NO NEW
 TAXES," HE MEANT IT. THAT INCLUDES EXCISE
 TAXES ON SUCH ITEMS AS GASOLINE.

-13-

C. CAPITAL GAINS

PRESIDENT BUSH IS ALSO COMMITTED TO A 0 **REDUCTION IN THE LONG-TERM CAPITAL** GAINS TAX RATE IMPOSED ON CERTAIN INVESTMENT AND BUSINESS ASSETS. TREASURY AND CONGRESSIONAL ECONOMISTS DIFFER OVER THE REVENUE CONSEQUENCES OF THIS PROPOSAL, I BELIEVE THAT THE CONGRESS WILL BE RELUCTANT TO GAMBLE ON HYPOTHETICAL **REVENUE GAINS, GIVEN THE CURRENT** BUDGET SITUATION.

D. SECTION 89

I ALSO KNOW THAT SOME OF YOU ARE 0 CONCERNED ABOUT THE ADMINISTRATIVE BURDENS IMPOSED ON HEALTH AND WELFARE BENEFIT PLANS BY SECTION 89 OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE. I HAVE RECEIVED LETTERS FROM BUSINESSMEN ACROSS THE COUNTRY WHO ARE SIMILARLY CONCERNED. IN MANY CASES, I BELIEVE THAT THESE COMPLAINTS ARE JUSTIFIED.

-15-

0

http://dolearchives.ku.edu LAST YEAR, AT THE REQUEST OF PRIVATE INDUSTRY GROUPS, I SUPPORTED SUBSTANTIVE CHANGES TO SIMPLIFY SECTION 89 IN THE TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS ACT. SEVERAL OF THESE PROVISIONS WERE DESIGNED SPECIFICALLY TO EASE COMPLIANCE BURDENS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.

-16-

0

I RECOGNIZE THAT THESE CHANGES ARE NOT ENOUGH, AND FRANKLY, I WOULD HAVE PREFERRED TO DO EVEN MORE TO SIMPLIFY THESE RULES. ACCORDINGLY, I HAVE CO-SPONSORED LEGISLATION TO DELAY THE **EFFECTIVE DATE OF SECTION 89 TO GIVE** CONGRESS THE TIME TO REVIEW THIS PROVISION. MY STAFF IS ACTIVELY WORKING WITH STAFFS OF OTHER FINANCE COMMITTEE MEMBERS AND INDUSTRY EXPERTS TO DEVELOP WORKABLE TESTS.

-17-

LABOR ISSUES

O THERE ARE ALSO A NUMBER OF IMPORTANT

LABOR ISSUES THAT CONGRESS WILL TAKE UP

THIS LEGISLATIVE SESSION.

A. MINIMUM WAGE

SENATOR KENNEDY, FOR EXAMPLE, RECENTLY
 INTRODUCED A BILL THAT WOULD RAISE THE
 MINIMUM WAGE TO \$4.65 AN HOUR BY 1992.
 THIS BILL IS ON THE FAST TRACK AND SHOULD
 REACH THE SENATE FLOOR SOMETIME IN
 MID-MARCH.

-18-

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE HAS GREAT \mathbf{O} POLITICAL APPEAL. AS A RESULT, YOU CAN UNDERSTAND WHY SOME OF THE POLITICIANS IN CONGRESS ARE PUSHING HARD FOR IT. BUT IF ONE STEPS AWAY FROM THE POLITICAL 0 RHETORIC AND LOOKS AT THE HARD FACTS, IT BECOMES CLEAR THAT RAISING THE MINIMUM WAGE WILL ONLY SERVE TO FUEL THE INFLATIONARY FIRE BY INCREASING THE COSTS OF DOING BUSINESS.

-19-

HIGHER BUSINESS COSTS WILL HAVE ONE \mathbf{O} DISASTROUS CONSEQUENCE: LONGER UNEMPLOYMENT LINES. NUMEROUS ECONOMIC STUDIES HAVE PROVEN THIS TO BE TRUE. IT IS ALSO CLEAR WHO WILL BE STANDING ON 0 THESE UNEMPLOYMENT LINES: THE LEAST-SKILLED AND THE LEAST-EDUCATED IN THE WORKFORCE, THE VERY PEOPLE THAT MINIMUM WAGE ADVOCATES CLAIM TO BE HELPING. OF THIS GROUP, MINORITIES, TEENAGERS, AND WORKERS IN DEPRESSED GEOGRAPHIC AREAS WILL BE PARTICULARLY HARD HIT.

-20-

 \cap

DESPITE THESE OBJECTIONS, I WOULD

MINIMUM WAGE IF THIS INCREASE WERE COUPLED WITH A SUBMINIMUM "TRAINING WAGE." A "TRAINING WAGE" WOULD ENABLE MORE YOUNG PEOPLE TO OBTAIN THAT VALUABLE FIRST JOB -- AT A TIME IN THEIR LIVES WHEN MOST DO NOT HAVE THE **RESPONSIBILITY OF SUPPORTING A FAMILY --**AND AT A COST THAT MOST BUSINESSES CAN AFFORD.

-21-

B. MANDATED BENEFITS

EARLIER IN THE MONTH, SENATOR DODD INTRODUCED THE FAMILY AND MEDICAL LEAVE ACT. THIS BILL WOULD <u>REQUIRE</u> EMPLOYERS -- INCLUDING SMALL-SIZED BUSINESSES -- TO PROVIDE UNPAID PARENTAL AND MEDICAL LEAVE FOR PERIODS AS LONG AS 13 WEEKS.

-22-

NEEDLESS TO SAY, A NATIONAL "PARENTAL

LEAVE" STANDARD WILL

0

DISPROPORTIONATELY HURT MANY SMALL

BUSINESSES. THESE BUSINESSES WILL BE

PARTICULARLY HARD-PRESSED TO FIND

TEMPORARY REPLACEMENTS FOR THOSE

EMPLOYEES WHO DO GO ON LEAVE.

-23-

0

MOREOVER, THE FACT THAT SENATOR DODD'S BILL CALLS FOR <u>UNPAID</u> PARENTAL AND MEDICAL LEAVE DOES NOT MEAN THAT THE BILL WOULD HAVE NO SOCIAL COSTS. THE GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE, FOR EXAMPLE, HAS ESTIMATED THAT PARENTAL LEAVE LEGISLATION WOULD COST EMPLOYERS ALMOST \$200 MILLION ANNUALLY.

-24-

0

MANDATING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS LIKE PARENTAL LEAVE IS A TYPICAL DEMOCRATIC APPROACH. TRADITIONALLY, WHILE THE REPUBLICANS PREFER CHOICES, THE DEMOCRATS OPT FOR MANDATES. IN SO DOING, THE DEMOCRATS LIMIT THE OPTIONS GIVEN TO EMPLOYEES.

-25-

0

ONE EMPLOYEE MAY WANT HIS RESOURCES
SPENT ON PARENTAL LEAVE BENEFITS WHILE
CHILD CARE MAKES MORE SENSE FOR
ANOTHER. IF A MANDATE IS IN PLACE,
SOMEONE IS GOING TO LOSE. I BELIEVE THAT
THE FREE MARKET DECIDES MORE
EFFICIENTLY THAN CONGRESS ON HOW
RESOURCES SHOULD BE ALLOCATED.

-26-

C. DOUBLE-BREASTING

A NUMBER OF LABOR ISSUES FIGURED 0 PROMINENTLY IN THE LAST CONGRESS AND THEY MAY REAPPEAR SOMETIME LATER THIS YEAR. ONE OF THESE ISSUES IS 0 DOUBLE-BREASTING. AS MANY OF YOU KNOW, LAST YEAR I LED THE FILIBUSTER IN THE SENATE AGAINST LEGISLATION THAT WOULD

-27-

HAVE PROHIBITED DOUBLE-BREASTING IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. I WAS SUCCESSFUL IN MY EFFORTS TO BLOCK THIS LEGISLATION, DESPITE THE AFL-CIO'S EFFORTS TO FORCE THIS LEGISLATION THROUGH CONGRESS.

-28-

0

THE ISSUE OF DOUBLE-BREASTING IS REALLY ABOUT DEMOCRACY -- ABOUT THE RIGHTS OF WORKERS IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY TO CHOOSE THE TERMS OF THEIR OWN EMPLOYMENT. CONGRESS SHOULD NOT BE IN THE BUSINESS OF MANDATING UNIONIZATION, THEREBY DENYING NON-UNION EMPLOYEES THE RIGHT TO VOTE ON THE IMPORTANT QUESTION OF UNION REPRESENTATION.

-29-

 \mathbf{O}

YOU CAN BE ASSURED THAT I WILL TAKE A

LEADERSHIP ROLE IN THE FUTURE IN OPPOSING LEGISLATION THAT WOULD PROHIBIT THE PRACTICE OF DOUBLE-BREASTING.

INFRASTRUCTURE

NOW LET ME JUST BRIEFLY ADDRESS A TOPIC
 THAT I KNOW IS BOTH NEAR AND DEAR TO
 YOUR HEARTS: OUR NATION'S HIGHWAYS AND
 BRIDGES.

THERE IS WIDESPREAD CONCERN -- MOST OF
 IT JUSTIFIED -- OVER THE DETERIORATING
 CONDITION OF OUR NATION'S HIGHWAY
 SYSTEM AND ITS BRIDGES.

OF THE MORE THAN 575,000 BRIDGES IN THIS \mathbf{O} COUNTRY, 38 PERCENT HAVE BEEN CLASSIFIED AS EITHER STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT OR FUNCTIONALLY OBSOLETE BY THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION. FURTHER, IT IS ESTIMATED THAT 0 REHABILITATING THESE BRIDGES WILL COST OVER \$50 BILLION. THIS PRICE TAG WILL INCREASE WITH THE AGE OF THE SYSTEM.

-31-

0

THE NATION'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPENDS UPON MAINTAINING AN ADEQUATE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK. WE SIMPLY CANNOT ALLOW THESE "LINKS" TO OUR BUSINESSES AND MARKETS TO BE DISRUPTED OR IMPAIRED BY A BREAKDOWN OF THE SYSTEM.

-32-

0

IT WON'T BE EASY TO PAY FOR ALL THESE IMPROVEMENTS. THERE WILL BE INCREASING PRESSURE TO FUND THESE PROJECTS AS WELL AS OTHER COMPETING PROJECTS -- ALL THE WHILE KEEPING OUR EYES ON THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY IN MY VIEW: REDUCING THE FEDERAL BUDGET DEFICIT.

-33-

CONCLUSION

THERE ARE NO EASY ANSWERS OR QUICK 0 FIXES TO THESE DIFFICULT PROBLEMS. HOWEVER, WE ALL HAVE A STAKE IN LOWERING THE FEDERAL BUDGET DEFICIT AND IN IMPROVING THE CLIMATE FOR AMERICAN BUSINESS BOTH AT HOME AND ABROAD. I LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH ALL OF YOU IN THE WEEKS AND MONTHS AHEAD. THERE IS MUCH TO BE DONE.

-34-

0

I UNDERSTAND THAT MY WIFE, ELIZABETH, IS SCHEDULED TO SPEAK IMMEDIATELY AFTER ME. I WOULDN'T WANT TO CUT INTO ANY OF HER TIME, SO I WILL TAKE YOUR QUESTIONS NOW.

-35-