4/8/87 SR 253

April 7, 1987

TO:

SENATOR DOLE

FROM:

MARK SCANLAN

SUBJECT:

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE EDUCATION FOUNDATION

CAEF sponsors the Agricultural Leadership Program which allows promising young agricultural-related leaders between the ages of 27-40 to participate in a two year leadership program studying communications, social studies, government and economics.

There will be 30 - 40 in attendance. It will be informal with Q's & A's. Most of them are Republicans. They are open to comments on any subject.

April 8, 1987

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE EDUCATION FOUNDATION

FARM BILL COSTS

- O THE 1985 FARM BILL IS MOVING IN THE RIGHT

 DIRECTION -- TOWARDS A GREATER MARKET

 ORIENTATION -- BUT PROGRESS HAS BEEN SLOW.

 LOW FARM PRICES HAVE RESULTED IN HIGH

 PROGRAM COSTS (\$25.6 BILLION IN FY 86;

 ESTIMATED \$25.2 BILLION IN FY 87).
- O CONGRESSMEN FROM URBAN STATES ARE BEGINNING
 TO COMPLAIN THAT FARM PROGRAMS ARE TOO
 EXPENSIVE.

- O FEDERAL OUTLAYS EQUAL ABOUT 2.8% OF THE FY
 87 BUDGET COMPARED TO 5% BACK IN 1955.
 ALSO, CONSUMER GROCERY BILLS NOW TAKE 11
 CENTS OF EACH DOLLAR OF DISPOSABLE INCOME
 COMPARED TO 16 1/2 CENTS A DECADE AGO.
- O A DECLINING PROPORTION OF INCOME SPENT FOR
 FOOD LEAVES MORE MONEY FOR OTHER THINGS,
 AND IS AN OFTEN-USED INDICATOR OF A RISING
 STANDARD OF LIVING.

O SO I WOULD SUGGEST THE AMERICAN FARMER IS
PROVIDING AN INDIRECT SUBSIDY TO THE
AMERICAN CONSUMERS, SINCE FOOD COSTS ARE
DOWN A THIRD IN LESS THAN A DECADE.

OPENING THE FARM BILL

O THE ADMINISTRATION HAS PROPOSED A 10% CUT
IN TARGET PRICES EACH YEAR FOR THREE YEARS
WHICH WOULD SAVE OVER \$20 BILLION BETWEEN
FY 1988 - 1992.

- O SO IT IS DOUBTFUL CONGRESS WILL PRODUCE A
 MAJOR REWRITE OF THE 1985 FARM BILL. NEW
 LEGISLATION CREATES MORE PROBLEMS AND
 CONFUSION THAN IT RESOLVES, AND NEVER
 SATISFIES CRITICS. THE LAST THING WE NEED
 IS ANOTHER DEBATE OVER FARM BILL
 PHILOSOPHY.
- O MAY DO SOME FINETUNING, BUT THAT'S ABOUT IT.

CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE EDUCATION FOUNDATION

EXPORT SITUATION

O ONE AREA WHERE I HAVE ENCOURAGED THE

ADMINISTRATION TO BE MORE AGGRESSIVE IS IN

PURSUING FARM EXPORTS, WHICH HAVE DECLINED

TWENTY-NINE PERCENT IN VOLUME, FROM 162

MILLION METRIC TONS TO 114 MILLION TONS,

AND FORTY-ONE PERCENT IN VALUE, FROM \$44

BILLION TO \$26 BILLION, SINCE REACHING

THEIR PEAK LEVELS IN 1981.

O THIS HAS REDUCED AGRICULTURE'S POSITIVE NET CONTRIBUTION TO THE U.S. TRADE BALANCE FROM \$26.6 BILLION TO \$5.4 BILLION. EVERY ONE BILLION DOLLARS IN FARM EXPORTS GENERATES 35,000 JOBS AND CREATES ANOTHER \$1.4 BILLION OF ECONOMIC ACTIVITY IN THE UNITED STATES. I WOULD STRESS THAT THIS LOSS IN EXPORT VOLUME AND VALUE REPRESENTS A SIGNIFICANT ECONOMIC LOSS TO FARMERS AND OTHERS IN RURAL AMERICA.

EXPORT ENHANCEMENT/MARKETING LOAN

O SO I'VE ENCOURAGED THE ADMINISTRATION TO

ADMINISTER THE EXPORT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM

ACROSS-THE-BOARD RATHER THAN ON A

SELECTIVE, COUNTRY-BY-COUNTRY BASIS WHICH

HAS RESULTED IN INCREASED SALES TO THE

TARGETED COUNTRIES BUT REDUCED PURCHASES BY

COUNTRIES WHO ARE NOT ELIGIBLE, SUCH AS THE

SOVIET UNION.

- O AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO AN ACROSS-THE-BOARD
 EXPORT ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM, I HAVE
 SUGGESTED THE ADMINISTRATION USE THEIR
 EXISTING AUTHORITIES TO IMPLEMENT A
 MARKETING LOAN, WHICH WOULD MAKE US
 IMMEDIATELY COMPETITIVE.
- O THE BOTTOM LINE IS THAT IF THE UNITED

 STATES IS GOING TO COMPETE IN A BUYERS

 MARKET, WHICH IS WHAT WE HAVE FOR MOST

 PRICE-SUPPORTED COMMODITIES TODAY, WE WILL

HAVE TO OFFER OUR CUSTOMERS COMPETITIVE PRICES, A HIGH QUALITY PRODUCT AND A RELIABLE SUPPLY.