REMARKS OF SENATOR DOLE
THE CARLSON LECTURE SERIES
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

JANUARY 16, 1986

2:30 P.M.

INTRODUCTION

I THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE FOR ME TO BE HERE IN MINNESOTA TO DISCUSS THE CHALLENGES FOR OUR NATIONAL LEADERSHIP IN 1986. THIS YEAR WE NEED TO COMPLETE THE UNFINISHED TASKS OF 1985--AND THERE ARE MANY OF THOSE. AND IN MANY WAYS, MINNESOTA FOR REPUBLICANS REPRESENTS AN UNFINISHED AGENDA OF ANOTHER KIND: THE CHALLENGE OF MAKING THE GOP THE MAJORITY PARTY OF THIS GREAT NATION. SO THIS IS A FINE FORUM TO START GETTING TO THE BUSINESS OF 1986.

CHALLENGES FOR CONGRESS IN 1986

IN SOME RESPECTS 1985 WAS A YEAR FOR SETTING GOALS AND
PLANNING THE POLICY AGENDA FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE 1980'S. SO
THE CHALLENGE OF 1986 IS TO FOLLOW THROUGH: TO MAKE SURE THAT
THE DIFFICULT GOALS THE CONGRESS HAS SET FOR ITSELF ARE MET, AND
DON'T JUST BECOME EMPTY PROMISES WITHOUT SUBSTANCE TO BACK THEM
UP. IN DOMESTIC POLICY, OUR MOST PRESSING PROBLEMS REMAIN ON THE
ECONOMIC FRONT: DEFICIT REDUCTION, TAX REFORM, AND A MORE
REALISTIC U.S. TRADE POSTURE FOR AN INCREASINGLY AGGRESSIVE,
COMPETITIVE WORLD ECONOMY.

SPECIFIC LEGISLATION IN EACH OF THESE AREAS AWAITS SENATE

ACTION IN 1986. ON THE DEFICIT, THE FIRST ROUND OF 'AUTOMATIC'

CUTS UNDER THE NEW GRAMM-RUDMAN LAW WOULD COME AS EARLY AS MARCH

1. ON TAX REFORM, THE HOUSE-PASSED BILL IS READY FOR

CONSIDERATION BY THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE, WHICH IS EXPECTED

TO BEGIN CONSIDERATION IN FEBRUARY.

ON TRADE, THERE ARE THREE MAJOR ITEMS THAT DESERVE THE

SENATE'S ATTENTION: THE DANFORTH BILL ON TRADE WITH JAPAN, THE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS BILL, AND THE 'OMNIBUS' TRADE BILL DESIGNED TO

STRENGTHEN THE PRESIDENT'S HAND IN BARGAINING WITH OUR TRADING

PARTNERS AND REQUIRE DECISIVE U.S. RESPONSES TO UNFAIR TRADE

PRACTICES.

IN ADDITION TO THESE MAJOR ECONOMIC ISSUES, THE SENATE WILL

TAKE UP THE BALANCED BUDGET CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT EARLY IN THE

NEW SESSION. THE FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS WHEN THE SENATE

RECONVENES WILL BE THE PROPOSED SALE OF CONRAIL. WE STILL HAVE

THE SUPERFUND TOXIC-WASTE CLEANUP LEGISLATION TO DEAL WITH, EVEN

THOUGH THE SENATE DID ITS BEST TO GET A BILL TO THE PRESIDENT IN

1985. WE MAY WANT TO TAKE ANOTHER SHOT AT THE LINE-ITEM VETO,

THE GENOCIDE CONVENTION, AND SCHOOL PRAYER, AS WELL. AND AS

ALWAYS, EVENTS HERE AND ABROAD CAN SHAPE THE SENATE'S AGENDA IN

WAYS NO ONE CAN PREDICT.

GRAMM-RUDMAN: CHALLENGE TO THE ESTABLISHED FISCAL ORDER

THE FIRST ACTIONS IN RESPONSE TO THE NEW GRAMM-RUDMAN DEFICIT
CONTROL REFORM WILL BE TAKEN EARLY IN 1986. FOR THOSE OF YOU WHO
MISSED IT, LATE LAST YEAR THE CONGRESS IMPOSED A NEW FISCAL
STRAIGHTJACKET ON ITSELF. THE NEW LAW SETS FIRM DEFICIT TARGETS
FOR EACH OF THE NEXT FIVE YEARS, AND MANDATES AUTOMATIC ACROSS
THE BOARD SPENDING CUTS IF THE DEFICIT EXCEEDS THE TARGET. THE
FIRST ROUND OF AUTOMATIC CUTS UNDER THE PROPOSAL WOULD HAVE TO BE
ANNOUNCED ON FEBRUARY 1, AND THOSE CUTS WOULD TAKE EFFECT MARCH 1
UNLESS CONGRESS COMES UP WITH A BETTER WAY TO MEET THE TARGET.

IN ADDITION, PRESIDENT REAGAN'S BUDGET FOR FISCAL YEAR 1987

IS DUE TO CONGRESS BY FEBRUARY 3. SO WE WILL HAVE

RECONSIDERATION OF THE 1986 BUDGET PROCEEDING SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH

OUR FIRST SHOT AT THE 1987 BUDGET.

THAT IS A TALL ORDER, BUT IS ONE WE OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO

FILL. DIFFICULT AS IT SEEMS, WE SHOULD REMEMBER THAT THE

GRAMM-RUDMAN LAW CONTAINS NEW PROCEDURES DESIGNED TO MAKE IT

EASIER TO MEET THE DEFICIT TARGETS. WE EXPLICITLY BRING LOAN

PROGRAMS AND OTHER 'OFF-BUDGET' ITEMS INTO THE BUDGET PROCESS;

SET A POINT OF ORDER AGAINST LEGISLATION FROM COMMITTEES THAT

HAVE NOT MET THEIR BUDGET SAVINGS ALLOCATION; AND RULE "OUT OF

ORDER" LEGISLATION INCONSISTENT WITH THE DEFICIT TARGETS.

POSSIBLE PROBLEMS

WE KNOW THERE MAY BE A ROCKY ROAD AHEAD IN IMPLEMENTING

GRAMM-RUDMAN. CONGRESSMEN SYNAR AND OTHERS HAVE FILED SUIT

CLAIMING IT IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL; AND THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION

HAS SOME PROBLEMS AS WELL WITH THE ROLE OF THE CONGRESS' GENERAL

ACCOUNTING OFFICE IN MEDIATING THE DEFICIT FORECASTS. THE COURTS

WILL HAVE TO GUIDE US ON ALL THAT. EVEN MORE IMPORTANT, WHAT

CONGRESS CAN LEGISLATE, CONGRESS CAN BACK OUT OF. THAT'S WHY WE

NEED A CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE FOR BUDGETARY RESTRAINT, AS WELL AS

A STATUTORY ONE.

SO GRAMM-RUDMAN HASN'T MADE OUR OPTIONS ANY EASIER: BUT IF

IT WORKS AS PLANNED, IT WILL FORCE US--AND THE PRESIDENT--TO MAKE

SOME DECISIONS AND CHOOSE AMONG THE VARIOUS DEFICIT-REDUCTION

OPTIONS. THAT MEANS EVERYONE'S CHERISHED SPENDING PROGRAMS WILL

BE PUT TO THE TEST OF FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY.

SPENDING THE KEY

FINALLY, LET ME EMPHASIZE THAT GRAMM-RUDMAN IS A DEVICE FOR REDUCING FEDERAL SPENDING. IT IS NOT A TAX INCREASE PLAN, OR A SUBTERFUGE FOR ONE. IF WE FAIL ON THE SPENDING FRONT, WE CAN LOOK AT OTHER OPTIONS. BUT THE SOONER WE ENTERTAIN ANY REVENUE OPTIONS, YOU CAN BET THE PRESSURE FOR SPENDING CUTS WILL DROP FAST.

THE DEFICIT AND THE AVERAGE AMERICAN

UNLESS WE FOLLOW A DEFICIT REDUCTION PATH LIKE THAT MANDATED

UNDER GRAMM-RUDMAN, AMERICAN FAMILIES WILL FACE EITHER HIGHER

INTEREST RATES OR HIGHER INFLATION: NOT TO MENTION THE RISK OF A

DISASTROUS NEW RECESSION THROWING MILLIONS OF BREADWINNERS OUT OF

WORK. THAT IS WHAT THE GRAMM-RUDMAN-HOLLINGS INITIATIVE IS ALL

ABOUT.

MOST ECONOMISTS BELIEVE THAT ENACTMENT OF DEFICIT REDUCTION

MEASURES THAT ELIMINATE THE DEFICIT BY THE END OF THE DECADE WILL

PRODUCE A DROP OF AT LEAST 1 PERCENT IN INTEREST RATES OVER THE

SHORT RUN AND 2 TO 3 PERCENTAGE POINTS OVER THE LONG TERM:

RELATIVE TO WHAT THEY OTHERWISE WOULD BE.

- -- WITH A 2% DROP IN INTEREST RATES, THE MONTHLY PAYMENT ON A MEDIAN PRICED HOME (\$80,000) WOULD GO DOWN BY ABOUT \$100 A MONTH.
- -- CONVERSELY, IF WE DON'T REDUCE THE DEFICIT TO KEEP RATES

 AS LOW AS THEY ARE NOW, HOMEOWNERS COULD FACE THAT LARGE

 AN INCREASE--OR MORE-- IN MONTHLY PAYMENTS.
- -- A 2% DROP IN INTEREST RATES WOULD MEAN AN ADDITIONAL \$4,000 IN INCOME FOR THE AVERAGE WHEAT FARMER WITH A 1,000 ACRE OPERATION.
- -- IN 1985, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL OVERSPEND CLOSE TO \$1,000 FOR EVERY MAN, WOMAN, AND CHILD IN AMERICA.

-- THIS \$1,000 PER HEAD OF ADDITIONAL FEDERAL DEBT WILL BE

ONE MORE BURDEN FOR OUR CHILDREN TO REPAY IN HIGHER TAXES

OR HIGHER INFLATION IN THE FUTURE.

INTEREST ON THE DEBT

THE MASSIVE INCREASE IN DEBT HAS ITSELF CREATED ONE OF THE

LARGEST AND FASTEST GROWING COMPONENTS OF FEDERAL

SPENDING--INTEREST ON THE DEBT. CONSTANT DEFICITS HAVE PUT

FISCAL POLICY ON AN ENDLESS TREADMILL OF PAYING FOR THE

IRRESPONSIBILITY OF PREVIOUS DECADES: IN 1965, INTEREST ON THE

NATIONAL DEBT COST \$9 BILLION AND CONSUMED 1.4% OF GNP. BY 1980,

ANNUAL INTEREST COSTS ROSE TO \$52 BILLION--2% OF GNP. BUT THE

WORST WAS YET TO COME.

IN 1985, INTEREST ON THE NATIONAL DEBT COST TAXPAYERS \$130

BILLION--ALMOST THREE TIMES THE LEVEL OF FIVE YEARS AGO. THIS

REPRESENTS 3.8% OF GNP, 13.5% OF THE ENTIRE 1985 BUDGET, AND A

1,450% INCREASE IN COSTS OVER 1965. \$130 BILLION IS EQUAL TO THE

SUM TOTAL OF ALL FEDERAL SPENDING FROM 1789--THE FOUNDING OF THE

REPUBLIC--TO 1936. IT ALSO EQUALS TOTAL FEDERAL OUTLAYS IN 1966,

THE ENTIRE DEFENSE BUDGET IN 1980, AND TWICE THE LEVEL OF

MEDICARE FUNDING TODAY.

BUT IF WE CAN ADHERE TO THE DEFICIT-REDUCTION GOALS WE'VE SET FOR OURSELVES, I AM VERY, VERY OPTIMISTIC ABOUT THE COURSE OF THE ECONOMY. I THINK WE TAKE TOO MUCH FOR GRANTED WHAT WE HAVE ACHIEVED SO FAR: STRONG GROWTH WITHOUT INFLATION. WE CAN KEEP

THAT GOING IF WE REDUCE THE DEFICIT SUBSTANTIALLY. THE WAY IS

OPEN TO ECONOMIC PERFORMANCE UNPRECEDENTED IN THE POSTWAR PERIOD

IF WE HAVE THE WILL TO FIND IT.

TAX REFORM

THE DEFICIT IS NOT THE ONLY DOMESTIC ISSUE, EVEN IF IT IS THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE. LAST YEAR THE PRESIDENT PROPOSED AN HISTORIC AND FAR-REACHING OVERHAUL OF THE NATION'S TAX LAWS. THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES PASSED A VERSION OF THAT BILL, BUT IT'S SIGNIFICANTLY WATERED-DOWN COMPARED WITH THE REAGAN PLAN.

THE REAGAN TAX PLAN AND THE HOUSE BILL ARE SIMILAR IN

CONCEPT--THEY BOTH SHIFT MORE OF THE TAX BURDEN TO CORPORATIONS

AND REDUCE THE TAX BURDEN ON INDIVIDUALS. HOWEVER, THE BILLS ARE

VERY DIFFERENT IN HOW THEY MAKE THE CHANGE.

EACH BILL SUBSTANTIALLY REDUCES TAX RATES FOR INDIVIDUALS

(THE PRESIDENT TO A MAXIMUM OF 35%; WAYS AND MEANS TO 38%) AND

FOR CORPORATIONS (PRESIDENT 33%; WAYS AND MEANS 36%). BUT THE

WAYS AND MEANS RATES TAKE EFFECT AT MUCH LOWER INCOME LEVELS:

THE 35% RATE CLICKS IN AT \$43,000 FOR MARRIED COUPLES, AS OPPOSED

TO \$70,000 UNDER THE REAGAN PLAN.

THE HOUSE BILL FALLS SHORT OF THE PRESIDENT'S ON FAIRNESS

GROUNDS. FRINGE BENEFITS AND ITEMIZED DEDUCTIONS ARE MAJOR

CAUSES OF DIFFERING TAX LIABILITIES, AND UNLIKE THE PRESIDENT'S

PROPOSAL, THE HOUSE RETAINED THE STATE AND LOCAL TAX DEDUCTION,

DID LESS TO LIMIT INTEREST-PAID DEDUCTIONS, AND DID NOTHING ON

FRINGE BENEFITS.

IN SHORT, THE HOUSE REFUSED TO TAKE MANY OF THE POLITICALLY POPULAR BIG-TICKET TAX LOOPHOLES. UNLESS WE WANT TO TACKLE THOSE, THE SENATE WILL HAVE LIMITED FLEXIBILITY IN TRYING TO IMPROVE THE BILL TO ENCOURAGE SAVINGS AND INVESTMENT.

NOW, I HAVE FAVORED INCOME TAX REFORM FOR A LONG TIME AND, AS CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE, LED THE FIGHT OVER A NUMBER OF YEARS TO PLUG UNJUSTIFIED TAX LOOPHOLES. BUT, I KNOW THAT MANY OF MY SENATE COLLEAGUES HAVE NO ENTHUSIASM FOR THE PRESIDENT'S VERSION OF TAX REFORM AND EVEN LESS FOR THE HOUSE BILL, WHICH THEY VIEW AS VERY LIKELY TO HAVE HARMFUL ECONOMIC EFFECTS. IN THE SENATE, WITH ITS MORE OPEN PROCEDURES, IT IS EASIER FOR A DETERMINED MINORITY TO BLOCK OR SLOW DOWN A BILL THEY OPPOSE.

POSSIBLE SENATE SCHEDULE

SO THE PROGNOSIS FOR TAX REFORM IS UNCERTAIN. IT WILL

PROBABLY TAKE UNTIL JULY OR AUGUST BEFORE A TAX BILL CAN WORK ITS

WAY THROUGH THE SENATE, CONFERENCE, AND BE SENT TO THE

PRESIDENT. THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE WILL NOT BEGIN ACTION ON

THE BILL UNTIL AFTER THE LINCOLN BIRTHDAY BREAK, WHICH ENDS

FEBRUARY 17TH. SENATOR PACKWOOD, CHAIRMAN OF THAT COMMITTEE,

PROBABLY WILL TRY TO GET A BILL OUT OF COMMITTEE BY THE EASTER

BREAK WHICH IS TENTATIVELY SCHEDULED TO BEGIN MARCH 27TH.

THERE WILL HAVE TO BE SOME TIME FOR DRAFTING BEFORE WE CAN SCHEDULE THE MEASURE FOR SENATE FLOOR ACTION. THUS, ALTHOUGH IT IS POSSIBLE THAT WE COULD BEGIN FLOOR ACTION AFTER THE EASTER

BREAK, IT MAY HAVE TO BE DELAYED A LITTLE. TO BE REALISTIC, WE PROBABLY WILL HAVE TO SPEND MOST OF JUNE ON THE SENATE FLOOR, LEAVING THE TIME BETWEEN THE 4TH OF JULY AND THE AUGUST RECESS FOR CONFERENCE AND FINAL PASSAGE.

WHATEVER HAPPENS ON TAX REFORM THIS YEAR, THE DIE HAS BEEN CAST: THE POLITICAL MOMENTUM REMAINS IN THE DIRECTION OF LOWER TAX RATES AND FEWER SPECIAL LOOPHOLES. THERE IS MUCH ECONOMIC WISDOM IN THAT, AS WELL. IF WE DON'T ACHIEVE THE IDEAL TAX SYSTEM IN 1986, THERE WILL BE OTHER OPPORTUNITIES TO MAKE IMPROVEMENTS.

CONCLUSION

THE CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT CLEARLY HAVE A TREMENDOUS TASK AHEAD OF THEM IN 1986, JUST ON THE DOMESTIC FRONT. BUT THE REMARKABLE THING IS THAT IT SHOULD BE SO DIFFICULT FOR ONE NATIONAL GOVERNMENT TO CONTROL ITS FISCAL HABITS AND TAX OUR CITIZENS IN AN EQUITABLE MANNER. THE DIFFICULTY OF THE TASK SAYS SOMETHING ABOUT OUR POLITICS: BUT THAT IS A TOPIC FOR ANOTHER TIME. BUT IT IS CLEAR THAT FUNDAMENTAL REFORMS -- CONSTITUTIONAL RESTRAINTS ON OUR FISCAL DECISIONS AND REMAKING THE TAX CODE FROM TOP TO BOTTOM--ARE GAINING GROUND BECAUSE PROGRESS IS TOO OFTEN BLOCKED UNDER OUR CONVENTIONAL PROCEDURES. THAT IS SOMETHING THE PEOPLE MUST TAKE HEED OF, AND PARTICIPATE IN, BECAUSE WHAT WE DO OVER THE NEXT FEW YEARS WILL HAVE FAR REACHING CONSEQUENCES FOR THE REST OF THIS CENTURY.