This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

## REMARKS OF SENATOR DOLE

#### AMWAY CORPORATION

Saturday, June 16, 1984--12:30 p.m.--Washington Convention Center

# Why worry about the deficit--What does it mean to the average American?

- If nothing is done to reduce deficit spending over the next five years, the total Federal debt will nearly double to over \$10,000 for every man, woman and child in America.
- At this level, by 1989 it will take one-half of all Americans' personal income tax payments just to pay the Federal Government's interest bill.
- By 1989 the annual Federal interest cost will amount to \$250 billion--about \$1,100 for every American.
- That \$1,100 per person interest cost is equal to 40% of each person's annual expenditure for food.
- Virtually all economists agree that the sustained enormous deficits that we are facing will be economically harmful.
- Many Americans will find home-buying more difficult with higher deficits. Consider a family purchasing a home at today's current interest rate, averaging about 12-1/2%, with a \$55,000 mortgage. If the deficits push interest rates up, total interest costs over the 30 year term will be \$15,500 more--and there are signs of that, with the prime rate up to 12-1/2--for each one percentage point increase.
- All Americans will directly feel the results of high deficits if they lose jobs as a result of a business slowdown resulting from a crowding out of private investment, or if they lose jobs to imported products made more competitive because of an abnormally strong dollar or if they end up paying higher prices because inflation is rekindled.

#### What is the Federal deficit likely to be?

The estimates of future Federal deficits are quite sensitive to one's economic assumptions. Yet even under the most optimistic of economic assumptions, the deficit will remain at historically high \$200 billion levels over the foreseable future, unless drastic action is taken.

2

 Assuming an extremely strong recovery (4% real growth of GNP) is sustained over the next few years and all of the Administration's proposed spending cuts and revenue proposals are enacted, the deficits are still projected to be:

| FY 1985       | FY 1986       | FY 1987       | FY 1988       |
|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| \$180 billion | \$177 billion | \$180 billion | \$152 billion |

 If economic growth is not so strong (3% real GNP growth) and interest rates are slightly higher (9% T-bill rate), and Administration's spending cuts are not enacted, the projected deficits would be:

| FY 1985         | FY 1986         | <u>FY 1987</u> | FY 1988         |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| \$202.6 billion | \$236.7 billion | \$270 billion  | \$290.1 billion |

• If we have an economic downturn during this period, we may be facing \$300 plus billion deficits.

## Why should we act this year on the deficit

- If we fail to begin dealing with the deficit now, the problem will become worse. Current projections showing deficits holding in the range of \$200 billion probably are optimistic, as they are based upon assumption of steady economic growth through 1989. However, postwar experience suggests that the average recovery lasts only 3 years, making a recession in 1985 or 1986 very possible.
- If we postpone any action until 1985 and we do suffer another recession, the deficits would then hit the \$300-\$400 billion range. At that point, it may be difficult to cut the deficit without further weakening the economy. Our choices would become very difficult indeed.
- Of course, failure to reduce the deficit in 1984 makes a recession likely to come sooner, as interest rates are forced up by private credit demands clashing with Treasury borrowing needs.
- By postponing action of the deficit, we increase the risk of recession. The average increase in the unemployment rate during a postwar recession is about three points, or three million jobs. By acting to reduce the deficit, we can significantly lower the risk that three million workers will lose their jobs in 1985 and 1986.

- Rising interest rates will depress auto sales, housing starts, and capital goods orders. It is widely recognized that sustained economic recovery will be impossible unless these key sectors are healthy.
- Alternatively, the Fed could offset the deficits' impact on interest rates by "monetizing" the debt, leading to a resurgence of inflation in 1985. If we do nothing, we will force the Fed to choose between high interest rates and recession, or inflation.
- Failure to reduce the deficits in 1984 may also depress the stock market: some of that has already occurred. A key factor in determining equity and bond prices is investors' confidence that Congress and the Administration can produce a sound fiscal policy. If we send the signal that the deficit problem is secondary to politics, equity and bond prices may fall.
- The exploding cost of servicing the Federal debt will make controlling spending more difficult each year, unless the deficits are reduced soon. Each year that we add \$200 billion in new Federal debt adds about \$15 billion to the next year's interest costs.
- The economy is now on a path where more and more of its resources go just to pay off the debt. According to economist Lawrence Summers, "It's a case where the miracle of compounding (interest) works against you."
- In 1976 net interest accounted for just 7% of total outlays But if we do nothing, by 1988 the total Federal debt will be more than half of total GNP, and the net interest cost of servicing this debt will reach 14% of all spending. Each year that we do nothing, the share of Federal spending that we can control gets smaller.
- Recent studies indicate that current and prospective budget deficits may have helped to overvalue the American dollar. If the deficits are not reduced, the problem of overvaluation could become worse, weakening the competitive position of American exports and costing the U.S. jobs in such industries as steel, electronics, and agriculture.

Deficit downpayment in 1984

The President took the lead to begin a deficit-reduction effort in 1984 by calling for bipartisan negotiations on a package to reduce the deficit by \$100 billion over 3 years. Then he worked with congressional Republicans to outline a \$150 billion package including defense savings (\$40 billion), nondefense cut (\$43 billion), revenue increases (\$48 billion), and debt service

4

savings (\$18 billion). As the President suggested, we are working with a variety of modest spending reductions, and tax reforms that raise revenue, to enact a significant deficit "downpayment" in 1984.

- Even though election-year politics makes it difficult to launch the kind of major assault on the deficit that we really need, that is no reason to do nothing. Making a noticeable dent in the deficit will make our job easier in the years ahead. Even more importantly, it will demonstrate that we can face up to the deficit problem even in an election year.
- The Senate has adopted the President's plan, including the Finance Committee's proposals on spending and revenue options that achieve about \$7 billion of the "downpayment" goal. To do that we drew on a number of proposals that have been on the table for some time, including some that were already in the legislative "pipeline":

-Items included in the FY 1984 reconciliation bill, S. 2062

-Treasury-endorsed proposals on tax shelters and other abuses

-Administration -proposed spending cuts that were not followed through on last year

-Administrative savings and other proposals made by the Grace Commission

-Additional proposals considered in the Finance Committee last fall

-Grace Commission recommendations (\$3.1 billion in the Finance package)

- Feasibility. We have made progress by following the President's suggestion and concentrating on relatively non-contentious items, avoiding things like the third-year tax cut and indexing, mean-tested entitlements, social security, and the like. Our effort must be bipartisan and balanced to do the job: Democrats and Republicans alike will benefit by cooperating to take swift action on the deficit.
- Time of the essence. The House has pased a deficit plan that makes deeper cuts in defense spending, and we are now coferring on the differences. Unless we finish action soon, election year politics may make it difficult to get this job done.

5

# Recovery--What progress have we made

## Strength of recovery

- A strong recovery is on track and appears to be moderating to a pace that can be sustained in the years ahead. As an indication, look at the expansion of real gross national product. It grew by 9.7% in the second quarter of 1983, 7.9% in the third quarter, and an estimated 4.5% in the fourth quarter. By this measure, the recovery is the strongest since 1961. And the economy grew at 8.8% in the first quarter of 1984.
- Housing starts are running at a rate of about 1.96% million units a year, and jumped 19% in February.
- Industrial output in 1983 rose 6.5%, and factory utilization is now up to 81.9%--the highest level in two years, and close to the normal capacity of 82%.
- The Commerce Department's survey of business plans for 1984 show that business plans to increase capital investment by 16%--this is higher than that seen at comparable points in previous postwar recoveries. And the 12% increase expected this year is the highest in 18 years.

#### Inflation

 The best news about this recovery is that it is noninflationary. In 1983 the producer price index rose just 0.6%--the lowest increase since 1964. The CPI for 1983 was 3.8%, the lowest since 1972. Continued moderation in producer prices indicates low inflation will continue.

## Creating Jobs

- People are going back to work, and the pace of job creation has been unusually high for a postwar recovery. On January 6 the Labor Department announced the civilian unemployment rate dropped from 8.4% last November to 7.5% in May. Overall, this means unemployment has dropped 3.2 percentage points over the past year.
- The continued strength of the recovery shows that recent growth in employment has not just been a statistical fluke, but shows a real turnaround in the labor market. Unemployment fell 230,000 in December, and there have been 5.5 million jobs created in the last year.
- What is more, the growth in jobs is broad-based. While manufacturing industries showed the most dramatic gains, all industries other than government and agriculture showed dramatic drops in unemployment.

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

# TEFRA PROVISIONS AFFECTING AMWAY AND OTHER DIRECT SALES COMPANIES

- The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act contains two provisions affecting direct sales representatives.
- One provision guarantees independent contractor status for direct sales companies from the threat of unjustified IRS efforts to reclassify independent sales representatives as employees for tax purposes.
- The other provision requires direct sales companies and independent distributors to report more information to the IRS on the sales activities of their representatives. This provision will help improve tax compliance among independent direct sales representatives.
- Although the new reporting rules will impose new requirements on direct sales companies, they are carefully crafted to minimize the burden on the companies while maximizing the impact on improved tax compliance.
  - The new reporting requirement requires information returns identifying every sales representative purchasing more than \$5,000 of goods for resale in a direct sales operation. Copies of the returns must be sent to the sales representative.

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas

http://dolearchives.ku.edu 18aK

time 16/Sat

mterested

.12:30 SPEAK - to full 10,000

30 function

30 \$1



CORPORATION

7575 EAST FULTON ROAD, ADA, MICHIGAN 49355

May 3, 1984

The Honorable Robert Dole United States Senate 141 Senate Hart Office Building Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Senator Dole:

On Saturday, June 16, top distributors for Amway Corporation will be holding their Annual Convention here in washington, b. C. And a speak to them. 10, 000 sessions on this date, and we would like to invite you to speak to them. 10,000

Our Washington Office will be in touch with Betty Meyer of your staff regarding your availability and a convenient time.

The convention promises to be an exciting event, and we look forward to your participation.

With warmest regards,

allu lude

Jay Van Andel

5/2 # Copy to Charlie Black

John Youtland 833-3327 Richard M. DeVos President

UNITED STATES CANADA AUSTRALIA UNITED KINGDOM HONG KONG MALAYSIA WEST GERMANY REPUBLIC OF IRELAND FRANCE NETHERLANDS JAPAN SWITZERLAND BELGIUM TAIWAN

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

# TEFRA PROVISIONS AFFECTING AMWAY AND OTHER DIRECT SALES COMPANIES

- The Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act contains two provisions affecting direct sales representatives.
- One provision guarantees independent contractor status for direct sales companies from the threat of unjustified IRS efforts to reclassify independent sales representatives as employees for tax purposes.
- The other provision requires direct sales companies and independent distributors to report more information to the IRS on the sales activities of their representatives. This provision will help improve tax compliance among independent direct sales representatives.
- Although the new reporting rules will impose new requirements on direct sales companies, they are carefully crafted to minimize the burden on the companies while maximizing the impact on improved tax compliance.
  - The new reporting requirement requires information returns identifying every sales representative purchasing more than \$5,000 of goods for resale in a direct sales operation. Copies of the returns must be sent to the sales representative.