BLUE CROSS/BLUE SHIELD

OCTOBER 3, 1981

THERE'S AN OLD GERMAN PROVERB THAT SAYS, " IF A PATIENT DIES, THE DOCTOR KILLED HIM: IF HE GETS WELL, THE SAINTS CURED HIM." IF IT'S ANY CONSOLATION, POLITICIANS ARE ACCUSTOMED TO THE SAME ATTITUDE. WHEN YOU STOP TO THINK ABOUT IT POLITICIANS AND HEALTH CARE PROFESSIONALS HAVE A LOT IN COMMON. WE BOTH OPERATE BEHIND CLOSED DOORS, ON PATIENTS WHO HAVE VERY LITTLE TO SAY IN THE MATTER. WE'VE BOTH BEEN KNOWN TO PUT PEOPLE TO SLEEP - SOME WITH ETHER, SOME WITH ORATORY. WE BOTH GET CRITICIZED FOR OUR DIAGNOSES. WE'VE BOTH BEEN CRITICIZED FOR COSTING TOO MUCH. AND NEITHER OF US CAN AFFORD TO MAKE MISTAKES.

THESE DAYS, WE HAVE ONE MORE THING IN COMMON. BOTH OF
US STAND AT THE THRESHOLD OF HISTORIC CHANGE. IN THE POLITICAL
REALM, AMERICANS HAVE SIGNALED THEIR DESIRE TO TURN AWAY FROM

PAST POLICIES WHICH HAVE FAILED TO EXPAND THE ECONOMY OR COUNTER
A GROWING THREAT FROM ABROAD. LEAD BY THE PRESIDENT, WE HAVE
STORMED THE BARRICADES OF "BUSINESS AS USUAL" IN THIS CITY, WHERE
DEFICIT SPENDING IS AS PERMANENT AS THE WASHINGTON MONUMENT, AND
REAL LIFE RARELY PENETRATES.

IT HAS YET TO ATTRACT AS MUCH INTEREST AS BUDGET CUTS AND TAX INCENTIVES, BUT HEALTH CARE AND ITS FINANCING ARE BOUND TO ENGAGE WASHINGTON'S ATTENTION BEFORE THIS YEAR IS OUT.

GOVERNMENT IN THE SICKROOM

TO MANY OBSERVERS OF YOUR FIELD, GOVERNMENT'S INCREASING ROLE IN HEALTH-RELATED MATTERS HAS BEEN THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENT OF THE PAST DECADE. HEALTH CARE SERVICES HAVE BECOME ONE OF THE LARGEST SEGMENTS OF THE NATION'S ECONOMY. MEDICARE AND MEDICAID ALONE WILL COST FEDERAL AND STATE GOVERNMENTS MORE THAN \$70 BILLION IN FISCAL YEAR 1982. IN THE PAST WE BEHAVED AS IF THERE WAS NO END TO THE AVAILABILITY OF HEALTH CARE DOLLARS. BUT THERE IS. EVEN IN THIS COUNTRY OF

MASSIVE RESOURCES WE HAVE FINALLY REACHED THE POINT WHERE THE PROVERBIAL FINANCIAL PIE CAN EXPAND NO LONGER. OUR CURRENT FISCAL CRISIS IS FORCING US TO RE-EXAMINE OUR HEALTH DELIVERY SYSTEM.

THE PROBLEM BECOMES EVEN MORE PRESSING WHEN YOU LOOK DOWN
THE ROAD, TO A NATION WITH AGING DEMOGRAPHICS, AND A MEDICARE
TRUST FUND THREATENED WITH EXHAUSTION LESS THAN A DECADE FROM NOW.

HERE, AS IN THE SOCIAL SECURITY SYSTEM, AMERICANS CON-FRONT PAINFUL CHOICES. BUT HERE, UNLIKE SOCIAL SECURITY, THOSE CHOICES INCLUDE COMPETITION AS A LONGRANGE ALTERNATIVE TO DIS-ASTER.

BALANCING THE BUDGET

IN THE SHORT RANGE, IT'S SAFE TO SAY THAT FEDERAL HEALTH

PROGRAMS - WHICH MAKE UP ABOUT ONE-SEVENTH OF ALL NON-MILITARY

SPENDING - WILL CONTINUE TO BE A HIGHLY VISIBLE TARGET. SKEPTI-

CISM IS IN ABUNDANT SUPPLY THESE DAYS ON CAPITOL HILL. AND WHY NOT, WHEN YOU LOOK AT FEDERAL SOCIAL WELFARE PROGRAMS, WHICH INCREASED 313% DURING THE SEVENTIES. IN AN ATTEMPT TO REDUCE OR AT LEAST SLOW THE GROWTH IN HEALTH SPENDING THE CONGRESS, AS YOU KNOW, RECENTLY AGREED TO A NUMBER OF MEDICARE CHANGES WHICH WILL RESULT IN A SAVINGS TO THE PROGRAM OF APPROXIMATELY \$1.6 BILLION. THESE BUDGET REDUCTIONS INVOLVE HOSPITALS, PHYSICIANS, NURSES, ALLIED HEALTH PROFESSIONALS, AND END STAGE RENAL DISEASE PATIENTS. SOME OF THE CHANGES ARE DESIGNED TO ENCOURAGE THE USE OF PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE, TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE, BEFORE TURNING TO MEDICARE. A CONCEPT I BELIEVE IS LIKELY TO BE PURSUED FURTHER. IN ADDITION TO THESE CHANGES THE BENEFICIARY DEDUCTIBLES AND PREMIUMS WERE INCREASED TO REFLECT THE ESCALATING COSTS OF THE PROGRAM.

IN AN EVEN MORE INTENSE BATTLE, THE SENATE FINANCE COMMITTEE

ADOPTED A 9% CAP ON MEDICAID EXPENDITURES, BEFORE THE SENATE AND

HOUSE COMPROMISED ON A PLAN OF ANNUAL REDUCTIONS IN FEDERAL

MATCHING PAYMENTS TO THE STATES.

AT THE SAME TIME, WE VOTED TO GIVE STATES MORE FLEXIBILITY

IN THEIR ADMINISTRATION OF THE MEDICALD PROGRAM - WITH RESPECT TO

HOSPITAL REIMBURSEMENT, CONTRACTING WITH HMO'S, COMPETITIVE BIDDING

FOR LAB SERVICES AND MEDICAL DEVICES, AND THE MEDICALLY NEEDY

PROGRAMS.

NOW, HAVING GONE THROUGH IT, I CAN ASSURE YOU THAT THE BUDGET RECONCILIATION PROCESS IS NOT THE BEST TIME FOR THOUGHTFUL, CAREFUL ANALYSIS OF ISSUES. THE WHOLE PROCEDURE MAY BE MISNAMED - ESPECIALLY THIS YEAR, WHEN THE ONLY THING MOST CONGRESSMEN COULD RECONCILE WAS THE HARD, COLD FACT OF SUBSTANTIAL REDUCTIONS IN SPENDING TO MATCH TAX CUTS OF HISTORIC PROPORTION.

FURTHER EXAMINATION OF THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID PROGRAMS
IS LIKELY IN THE NEAR FUTURE. THE ROLE OF THE INTERMEDIARIES
AND CARRIERS AND OUR CONTRACTUAL ARRANGEMENTS WITH YOU WILL CERTAINLY BE REVIEWED AS PART OF THIS PROCESS. SUGGESTIONS FOR
CHANGES BASED ON YOUR OWN REVIEW OF YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES WILL
BE VERY HELPFUL TO US. I HONESTLY BELIEVE WE HAVE A GREAT DEAL
TO LEARN FROM THE PRIVATE SECTOR, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO YOUR
COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS.

COMPETITION

WITH DICK SCHWEIKER AT HHS, DAVE STOCKMAN AT OMB, AND AN ADMINISTRATION FRIENDLY TO THE CONCEPT IN POWER, A LOT OF PEOPLE EXPECTED TO SEE A BILL PASSED BY NOW EMBODYING COMPETITION AS THE BEST WAY TO HOLD DOWN ESCALATING HEALTH COSTS. AS YOU NO DOUBT KNOW, THE YEAR'S AGENDA HAS FOCUSED ALMOST EXCLUSIVELY ON THE LARGER ECONOMY. THIS DOESN'T MEAN WE HAVE LOST INTEREST IN COMPETITION OR IN LONG TERM CHANGES IN THE HEALTH CARE REIMBURSEMENT SYSTEM: IF THAT WAS SO, I WOULDN'T BE HERE TODAY.

QUESTIONS MUST BE ASKED BEFORE IDEAS BECOME LAWS. DEBATE PRECEDES DECISION - AND IT IS IN THAT SPIRIT THAT I APPROACH YOUR ORGANIZATION.

DEFINING HEALTH CARE

ALL OF US IN BUSINESS, LABOR AND POLITICS LIKE TO THINK
WE UNDERSTAND THE MEANING OF "COMPETITION" AS IT APPLIES TO THE
HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY, BUT DO WE? THERE IS A TENDENCY, I THINK,
TO VIEW COMPETITION IN THE SAME CONTEXT AS THE GENERAL ECONOMY.

ONE DOESN'T HAVE TO SEARCH VERY FAR TO REALIZE THE
INACCURACY OF THAT ASSUMPTION. OTHERWISE, WHY WOULD INSURANCE
COMPANIES LIKE YOUR OWN HAVE AN ADVERTISING BUDGET TO ATTRACT
EMPLOYEES INTO THEIR PLANS? OR INDUSTRY HEALTH PLANS DEVOTE
CONSIDERABLE RESOURCES TO TELEVISION RECRUITMENT OF NEW MEMBERS
HERE IN WASHINGTON AND ELSEWHERE?

THE TRUTH IS - THERE'S PLENTY OF COMPETITION IN THE HEALTH INDUSTRY TODAY, BUT IT JUST DOESN'T EXPRESS ITSELF IN THE SAME CLASSIC SENSE AS IN MANUFACTURING, AGRICULTURE OR OTHER SECTORS OF THE ECONOMY. AND IN ADVOCATING STILL MORE COMPETITION, ONE HAS TO GUARD AGAINST THOSE WHO WOULD RESTRUCTURE THE MEDICAL INDUSTRY ALONG JUST THOSE TRADITIONAL ECONOMIC PATTERNS.

MY OWN VIEW ON COMPETITION IS VERY SIMILAR TO THAT WHICH

I'VE HAD FOR YEARS RELATIVE TO COMPREHENSIVE NATIONAL HEALTH

INSURANCE: THAT WE SHOULD BUILD UPON, RATHER THAN ABANDON, OUR

CURRENT SYSTEM -- KEEPING WHAT IS GOOD AND STRESSING PRIVATE SECTOR INVOLVEMENT. THIS IS WHY I'VE URGED FOR YEARS ADOPTION OF

A CATASTROPHIC HEALTH INSURANCE PLAN - ADDRESSING THE REAL PROBLEM WITHOUT SADDLING OUR HEALTH SYSTEM WITH A HOST OF EXPENSIVE, SELF-DESTRUCTIVE GOOD INTENTIONS, CARE OF WASHINGTON, D.C.

GENERAL AND SPECIFICS

WHATEVER THE SPECIFICS OF COMPETITION PLANS, EVERYONE SEEMS
AGREED ON SEVERAL BASIC PRINCIPLES INCLUDING:

- 1. INDIVIDUALS MUST BE GIVEN A CHOICE OF QUALIFIED
 HEALTH CARE PLANS, WITH REWARDS FOR COST-EFFECTIVE SHOPPING.
- 2. THERE SHOULD BE IDENTIFIABLE AND FIXED SUBSIDIES

 FOR SUCH PLANS, WHETHER FROM AN EMPLOYER, MEDICARE OR MEDICAID

 OR UNDER THE TAX LAWS AND PERSONS WHO CHOOSE MORE COSTLY COVERAGE

 SHOULD HAVE TO PAY THE EXTRA COSTS THEMSELVES.

THESE CONCEPTS HAVE ENLISTED THE SUPPORT OF SOME POWERFUL ADVOCATES: EVERYONE FROM JIM JONES AND PHIL GRAMM IN THE HOUSE, TO MY COLLEAGUES DAVE DURENBURGER AND ORRIN HATCH, TO SECRETARY SCHWEIKER, DAVE STOCKMAN AT OMB AND THE WHITE HOUSE ITSELF.

SO WHY HASN'T ALL THIS GOOD WILL BEEN TRANSLATED

INTO A COMPETITION BILL?

IN THE END, HEALTH POLICY IS ESTABLISHED IN THE POLITICAL ARENA AND THE MOST INTERESTING FACT TO ME ABOUT THE PRO-COMPETITION BILLS THUS FAR IS THAT THEIR PRIMARY SUPPORT COMES FROM GOVERNMENT LEADERS AND ACADEMIC CIRCLES. PROVIDERS, INSURERS, SUCH AS YOUR-SELVES, EMPLOYERS, UNIONS AND CONSUMER GROUPS HAVE ALL EXPRESSED VARYING DEGREES OF OPPOSITION OR SKEPTICISM.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORTERS SEEM ATTRACTED TO THE

IDEA BECAUSE OF THE PROMISE OF COST CONTAINMENT IN PUBLIC

PROGRAMS.

THAT'S NOT AN UNATTRACTIVE OPTION, I ASSURE YOU.

FROM THE ACADEMIC PERSPECTIVE - THE FREE MARKET ECONOMISTS -- THESE PROPOSALS OFFER THE OPPORTUNITY TO SUBSTITUTE THE DIS-CIPLINES OF THE MARKET. FOR GOVERNMENT RATIONING. IT'S DIFFICULT TO DISPUTE THE ARGUMENT THAT A COMPETITIVE MARKET IS A MORE EFFI-CIENT ALLOCATOR OF RESOURCES, BUT WHETHER OTHER SOCIAL GOALS ARE ACHIEVED IS ANOTHER MATTER. THESE ECONOMISTS BELIEVE THE COM-PETITIVE MODEL CAN FREE UP RESOURCES NOW WASTED ON INEFFICIENT AND COSTLY GOVERNMENTAL REGULATORY SCHEMES AND MAKE THEM AVAIL-ABLE FOR THE PRODUCTION AND DISTRIBUTION OF HIGH QUALITY, COST-EFFECTIVE HEALTH SERVICES. THE THEORY SHOULD BE PUT TO PRACTICAL APPLICATION, THEY ARGUE - PARTICULARLY SINCE THE PRESENT SYSTEM IS A DOCUMENTED FAILURE.

ON THE OTHER SIDE, WE FIND MOST OF THE HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY, INSURANCE COMPANIES, BUSINESS AND LABOR, AND BENEFICIARY GROUPS.

MOST PROVIDERS I'VE TALKED WITH ARE AMBIVALENT. ON THE ONE HAND, THEY ARE UNDERSTANDABLY ATTRACTED BY THE PROMISE OF LESS GOVERN-

MENT INTERFERENCE AND CONTROL IN THE DELIVERY OF HEALTH CARE.

ON THE OTHER HAND, THEY ARE APPREHENSIVE ABOUT THE LIMITS ON GOVERNMENT FINANCIAL SUPPORT, ABOUT THE FUTURE OF PRIVATE MEDICAL PRACTICE, ABOUT SUPPORT FOR MEDICAL EDUCATION, AND ABOUT THEIR OWN
ABILITY TO RAISE THE CAPITAL NECESSARY FOR SUCCESSFUL COMPETITION.

SO FAR THE ADVOCATES OF COMPETITION HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO DISPELL
THESE FEARS COMPLETELY.

BUSINESS IS PHILOSOPHICALLY IN TUNE WITH THESE PROPOSALS.

BUT IT OBJECTS TO STATUTORY INTRUSIONS INTO EMPLOYMENT FRINGE

BENEFITS. LABOR UNIONS HAVE VIEWED HEALTH INSURANCE BENEFITS AS

AN IMPORTANT BARGAINING ISSUE WHICH HAS GIVEN THEIR MEMBERS SIGNI
FICIANT NON-TAXABLE BENEFITS. AND BOTH BUSINESS AND LABOR OPERATE

INSURANCE PLANS WHICH COULD BE JEOPARDIZED BY SOME ASPECTS OF THE

COMPETITION BILLS.

FINALLY, THE BENEFICIARY GROUPS THEMSELVES ARE ALARMED
BY WHAT THEY PERCEIVE AS THE END TO THE ENTITLEMENT FEATURES OF
MEDICARE AND MEDICAID. THEY HAVE YET TO BE CONVINCED THAT SUFFICIENT NEW RESOURCES WILL BE CREATED THROUGH A MORE EFFICIENT
DELIVERY SYSTEM AND CURTAILMENT OF GOVERNMENT REGULATION. THEY
HAVE YET TO BE PERSUADED THAT THEIR OWN ACCESS WILL BE SAFEGUARDED.
THEY FEAR ELIGIBILITY CUT-BACKS, BENEFIT LIMITS, AND INCREASED
COST-SHARING.

AT FIRST BLUSH IT APPEARS THAT THE COMPETITION PROPOSALS

HAVE NO CHANCE OF ACCEPTANCE. I DISAGREE, WHILE NO SINGLE BILL

AS INTRODUCED WILL PASS UNCHANGED INTO THE STATUTE BOOKS, SOME

PRELIMINARY STEPS ARE POSSIBLE. A LOT OF ATTENTION IS BEING GIVEN

TO EXPANDING EXPERIMENTS NOW IN PROGRESS WITH MEDICARE BENEFI
CIARIES AND HMOS. CASHING OUT THE VALUE OF THE MEDICARE BENEFIT

PACKAGE AND ALLOWING BENEFICIARIES TO PURCHASE EQUIVALENT PRIVATE

COVERAGE, WHETHER HMO OR NOT, SEEMS ONE POSSIBLE ROUTE. A SECOND

STAGE MIGHT BE SOME MODIFICATION OF THE TAX TREATMENT OF HEALTH

INSURANCE PREMIUMS - INCLUDING BOTH A CAP ON TAX-EXEMPT PREMIUMS

AND STANDARDS FOR INSURANCE PLANS TO QUALIFY FOR TAX EXCLUSION

OF PREMIUMS. IN ADDITION, CHANGES IN THE MEDICAID PROGRAM RECENTLY

AGREED TO IN THE RECONCILIATION BILL PROMISE INCREASED STATE EXPERIMENTATION.

THERE ARE SEVERAL CAVEATS WHICH NEED TO BE INTRODUCED AT
THIS POINT. IN THE FIRST PLACE, DESIGNING AND GETTING THROUGH
THE CONGRESS ANY MAJOR PIECE OF LEGISLATION, ESPECIALLY ONE EMBODYING SIGNIFICANT DEPARTURES FROM TRADITIONAL PRACTICES, IS NO
PICNIC. ACHIEVING A CONSENSUS AMONG THE VARIOUS CENTERS OF POWER
WITHIN CONGRESS -- AT LEAST FOUR MAJOR COMMITTEES -- AND THE VARIOUS

ORGANIZED INTERESTS REMAINS A FORMIDABLE TASK, EVEN FOR A VERY POPULAR CHIEF EXECUTIVE.

SECONDLY, LEGISLATION OF THIS TYPE TAKES TIME TO IMPLEMENT.

NOT LONG AGO, I ASKED MY STAFF TO LOOK OVER THESE PROPOSALS FROM

THE REGULATORY POINT OF VIEW: WHAT NEW OR EXPANDED TYPES OF REGULATION WOULD BE NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT THE INTENT AND THE LETTER

OF THESE BILLS. FOR SOLUTIONS DEVOTED TO FREE MARKET ECONOMICS,

YOU'D BE SURPRISED AT HOW MUCH FEDERAL OVERSIGHT WOULD BE REQUIRED.

ALL OF THIS WOULD TAKE TIME TO WRITE, TO PROPOSE AND TO CARRY OUT.

THE REFORMS THEMSELVES MIGHT NOT BE OPERATIONAL UNTIL SEVERAL

YEARS AFTER ENACTMENT.

THIRD, THE EFFECT OF THE COMPETITION PROPOSALS COULD, IN
FACT, BE TO RAISE THE LEVEL OF FEDERAL EXPENDITURES, PARTICULARLY
IN THE SHORT RUN. WHY? BECUASE OF SOME BENEFIT IMPROVEMENTS

AND BECAUSE OF THE POTENTIAL FOR TOTAL HEALTH SPENDING TO RISE

AS A RESULT OF DECISIONS IN THE MARKETPLACE. I HAVE YET TO SEE

ANY REAL EVIDENCE THAT INDIVIDUAL HEALTH CARE PURCHASING DECISIONS

WILL BE RADICALLY DIFFERENT FROM THE DECISIONS NOW MADE BY THIRD

PARTIES.

THE SEARCH FOR CONSENSUS

THERE IS NO QUESTION THAT THE 1980 ELECTION HAS VASTLY
INCREASED THE STOCK OF THE PRO-COMPETITION ELEMENTS. WE SEE IT
MORE AND MORE FREQUENTLY IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE NEW ADMINISTRATION'S POLICY TASK FORCES. WE SENSE IT IN PRESIDENT REAGAN'S
OWN PRONOUNCEMENTS ABOUT THE DIRECTION OF HIS PRESIDENCY. IRONICALLY, THIS MEANS THAT THE BURDEN IS GOING TO BE GREATER THAN
EVER ON THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE MOST KNOWLEDGEABLE ABOUT HEALTH
ECONOMICS TO ARTICULATE BOTH THE POSITIVE EFFECTS AND THE POSSIBLE
SHORTCOMINGS OF THE NEW SYSTEM THAT IS BEING PROPOSED.

YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN SAID THAT WASHINGTON HAS ITS OWN VERSION OF THE GOLDEN RULE -- WHOEVER HAS THE GOLD, MAKES THE RULES. TOO OFTEN, THAT IS THE CASE. TOO OFTEN, WE GET CAUGHT UP IN TEMPORARY CONTROVERSIES AT THE EXPENSE OF ADDRESSING LONG-TERM PROBLEMS.

TOO OFTEN, WE PROPOSE SOLUTIONS WITHOUT SUFFICIENT RESPECT FOR THE REAL EXPERTS. I DON'T WANT THAT FATE TO BEFALL COMPETITION.

FOR ALL THE QUESTIONS I HAVE RAISED TODAY, IT STILL STRIKES ME

AS TOO PROMISING A PATH TO RUSH DOWN HELTER - SKELTER.

YOUR OWN EXPERTISE IN CHARTING THE FUTURE COURSE OF HEALTH CARE
IN AMERICA. I CAN PROMISE YOU AN OPEN DOOR TO THE FINANCE COMMITTEE, AND FOR MY PART, AN OPEN MIND TO MATCH. DIFFERENCES IN
OPINION ARE THE LIFEBLOOD OF OUR SYSTEM. ONLY INDIFFERENCE IS
INTOLERABLE. I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING YOUR OPINIONS AND NARROWING

WHATEVER DIFFERENCES WE MAY HAVE. MOST OF ALL, I LOOK FORWARD
TO A TIME WHEN COMPETITION EXISTS EVERYWHERE EXCEPT BETWEEN THE
BOARDROOM AND THE COMMITTEE ROOM.