REMARKS OF SENATOR BOB DOLE

MINNESOTA STATE REPUBLICAN CONVENTION
MINNEAPOLIS AUDITORIUM
MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA
FRIDAY, JUNE 23, 1972

IT IS A PLEASURE TO BE HERE WITH YOU THIS MORNING AT THE REPUBLICAN STATE CONVENTION OF MINNESOTA, TO MEET WITH SO MANY MEMBERS OF OUR PARTY AND TO JOIN OUR FORCES FORMALLY WITH THE EFFORTS OF REPUBLICANS ALL OVER THIS COUNTRY TO ACHIEVE A VICTORY FOR OUR PARTY IN NOVEMBER AND TO WIN RE-ELECTION FOR THE PRESIDENT.

HE HAS EARNED IT.

IN THREE AND A HALF YEARS IN OFFICE, THE PRESIDENT HAS

ACCOMPLISHED MUCH AND PROPOSED EVEN MORE TO RESTORE THIS COUNTRY

AND ITS PEOPLE TO A SENSE OF THEIR OWN GREATNESS -- THEIR CAPACITY

TO SET GREAT GOALS FOR THEMSELVES AND TO ACHIEVE THEM.

HE HAS REDUCED TENSIONS AMONG THE GREAT POWERS IN

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS, AS HIS TRIPS TO PEKING AND THE JUST-COMPLETED

TRIP TO THE SUMMIT IN MOSCOW DEMONSTRATE.

HE HAS REDUCED TENSIONS HERE AT HOME, AFTER A TROUBLED

DECADE OF CIVIL DISCORD, CAMPUS DISRUPTIONS AND WIDESPREAD DISTRUST

OF GOVERNMENT.

LEADERSHIP IN PURSUIT OF PEACE

IN A WORD, HE HAS GIVEN US LEADERSHIP?

AND IT HAS BEEN THE KIND OF LEADERSHIP THIS COUNTRY

NEEDS IN THESE CHANGING, CHALLENGING TIMES -- AND HAD BEEN WITHOUT

FOR FAR TOO LONG.

IT HAS BEEN THE KIND OF LEADERSHIP THAT HAS RESTORED

RESPECT FOR AMERICA ON THE INTERNATIONAL SCENE -- THE KIND OF

RESPECT THIS COUNTRY HAS ALWAYS DESERVED -- THE RESPECT DUE A

STRONG NATION -- THE RESPECT DUE A POWERFUL NATION WHICH IS

DETERMINED TO USE ITS POWER INTTHE INTERESTS AND PURSUIT OF PEACE.

THE ARMS RACE -- THE BEGINNING OF THE END

THE PRESIDENT HAS WORKED LONG AND HARD, DURING THE COURSE OF HIS FIRST TERM, TO BRING ABOUT THAT GENERATION OF PEACE HE HAS SPOKEN OF.

WE CANNOT SAY -- AND THE PRESIDENT DID NOT SAY -- THAT IT

IS HERE. NOT ALL OF THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THIS COUNTRY AND THE

SOVIET UNION HAVE FALLEN AWAY AT A SINGLE SUMMIT. NOR IS IT LIKELY -
PERHAPS IT IS NOT EVEN POSSIBLE -- THAT ALL OF THOSE SOURCES OF

POTENTIAL CONFLICT CAN BE RESOLVED.

WHAT HAS BEEN DONE, HOWEVER, BY THE PRESIDENT IN WHAT HE CALLED THIS "SEASON OF SUMMITS," IS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A NEW DIRECTION TOWARD PEACE AND OF NEW HOPES FOR THE PEACEFUL RESOLUTION OF THOSE DIFFERENCES.

HOPES BEING JUSTIFIED

THE GENERATION OF PEACE HAS NOT YET COME. IT IS TOO EARLY
TO SAY THAT. BUT IT DOES APPEAR THAT WE ARE ON THE THRESHOLD OF
THE ERA OF NEGOTIATION THE PRESIDENT HAS SPOKEN OF. IT DOES APPEAR
THAT THE EVENTS OF THE LAST FOUR MONTHS, AND ESPECIALLY THE
AGREEMENT ON SALT, HAVE PROVEN THAT THE GREAT POWERS HAVE THE
CAPACITY FOR WORKING OUT THEIR DIFFERENCES PEACEFULLY -- WITHOUT
CONFLICT AND WITHOUT WAR.

THESE HAVE BEEN TIMES OF GREAT CHANGES ON THE INTERNATIONAL SCENE. EVEN IF THERE HAD BEEN NO SUMMITS, AND NONE OF THE RECENT ENCOURAGING MOVEMENT IN THE DIRECTION OF PEACE, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN TRUE. THE EMERGING INDEPENDENCE OF CHINA, THE GROWING STRENGTH OF THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC COMMUNITY AND OF JAPAN ARE ALL IMPORTANT ELEMENTS OF THAT CHANGE.

THE FACT OF CHANGE IN WORLD RELATIONS SHOULD BE NO SURPRISE.

IT WAS ALMOST INEVITABLE, IN FACT.

THE DIRECTION THAT CHANGE HAS TAKEN, HOWEVER, IS NOT.

IT WAS NOT INEVITABLE -- INDEED IT WAS NOT EVEN CONSIDERED LIKELY -- ALMOST FOUR YEARS AGO WHEN RICHARD NIXON TOOK OFFICE, THAT THE EARLY SEVENTIES WOULD BRING A MUTUAL AGREEMENT ON ARMS LIMITATIONS BETWEEN THE WORLD'S TWO GREATEST SUPERPOWERS. THE LIKELIHOOD SEEMED ALL IN THE OPPOSITE DIRECTION, OF INCREASED CONFLICT AND GREATER HOSTILITY.

ONE PATH TO PEACE

ALMOST FOUR YEARS AGO, THERE WERE THOSE IN THIS COUNTRY WHO
DESPAIRED OF EVER REDUCING THE CHANGES OF WAR. TO THEM, IF CHANGE
WAS INEVITABLE, SO WAS ITS DIRECTION TOWARDS CONFLICT PRE-DETERMINED.
FOR THEM THE ONE LAST HOPE FOR PEACE WAS A DESPERATE HOPE, AN
UNREALISTIC WILLINGNESS TO TAKE A CHANGE ON PEACE BY UNILATERALLY
REDUCING THE STRENGTH OF THIS COUNTRY'S STRATEGIC FORCES.

THEY OPPOSED THE ABM.

THEY PROPOSED A UNILATERAL FORCE REDUCTION IN EUROPE.

THEY PROPOSED DRASTIC CUTS IN THE DEFENSE BUDGET.

EACH TIME, THE PRESIDENT OPPOSED THE FORCES IN THIS

COUNTRY -- OFTEN LED BY SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES IN THE SENATE -
WHO WERE SO CURIOUSLY WILLING TO REDUCE OUR NATION'S STRENGTH -
AND SO CURIOUSLY CONVINCED THAT IT WAS THAT UNILATERAL REDUCTION

THAT OFFERED OUR ONLY HOPE FOR PEACE.

THE PRESIDENT KNEW THAT WE HAD TO REMAIN STRONG TO WIN THE PEACE. AND HE KEPT US STRONG.

HE KNOWS, AND HE HAS SAID REPEATEDLY, THAT WE HAVE TO KEEP STRONG IF WE ARE GOING TO KEEP THE PEACE. AND HE WILL KEEP US STRONG.

A POSITION OF STRENGTH

HE WENT TO MOSCOW AS THE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE STRONGEST NATION IN THE WORLD. IF THE CONGRESS HAD YIELDED TO THE DEMANDS OF THOSE WHO WOULD WEAKEN THIS COUNTRY, HE COULD NOT HAVE GONE TO NEGOTIATE, IF HE COULD HAVE GONE AT ALL, BUT RATHER TO BE DICTATED TO.

IN HIS ADDRESS TO THE CONGRESS, AFTER HE RETURNED FROM MOSCOW, THE PRESIDENT EMPHASIZED THAT "NO POWER ON EARTH IS STRONGER THAN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TODAY, AND NONE WILL BE STRONGER THAN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA IN THE FUTURE."

IT IS THAT FACT, WHICH HAS MADE POSSIBLE THE GAINS OF THE LAST FOUR MONTHS. IT IS THAT FACT WHICH HAS PERMITTED THE PRESIDENT TO TAKE THE INITIATIVES FOR PEACE WHICH HE HAS MADE. IT IS THAT FACT WHICH MADE THE SALT AGREEMENTS ACCEPTABLE TO THE SOVIETS AND IN THE INTERESTS OF BOTH OUR NATIONS.

IT IS THAT FACT -- AND THE LEADERSHIP OF THE PRESIDENT -- WHICH HAS BROUGHT US NOW CLOSER TO PEACE THAN EVER BEFORE IN THE NUCLEAR ERA.



PEACE -- IF WE CAN KEEP IT

THIS PRESIDENT UNDERSTANDS THE NEED FOR STRENGTH. HE KNOWS ITS VALUE. AND HE HAS THE VISION TO PUT OUR POWER TO WORK IN THE INTERESTS OF PEACE.

IN A CAMPAIGN YEAR, CANDIDATES HAVE BEEN KNOWN TO MAKE EXAGGERATED PROMISES. AND DESPERATE CANDIDATES TEND TOWARD DESPERATE PROMISES. BUT ISSUBMIT THAT NOTHING WOULD BE MORE DANGEROUS THAN THE TENDENCY OF SOME, TO WANT TO CUT AND DILUTE THE STRENGTH OF THIS COUNTRY.

THE SALT TALKS HAVE BROUGHT US SOME HOPE THAT THE ARMS

RACE CAN BE ENDED. BUT IT HAS NOT YET BEEN ENDED. AND IT WOULD

BE A GRAVE MISTAKE TO ACT AS IF IT HAD BEEN IT WOULD BE A GRAVE

MISTAKE TO ACT -- AS GEORGE MC GOVERN SEEMS SO WILLING TO DO -- AS

IF THIS COUNTRY NO LONGER HAD A NEED TO BE STRONG. WE CANNOT CUT

OUR BUDGET FOR DEFENSE BY OVER ONE-THIRD TODAY WITHOUT RUNNING THE

RISK OF LOSING THE CHANCES FOR A PEACEFUL TOMORROW.

WE MUST UNDERSTAND -- AS THE PRESIDENT UNDERSTANDS -- THE NEED FOR THIS COUNTRY, NOW PERHAPS MORE THAN EVER, TO REMAIN STRONG.

IN A SENSE, WE HAVE THE PEACE WE ARE SEEKING. NOT ALL THE DIFFERENCES HAVE BEEN WORKED OUT. AND I DO NOT SUGGEST THAT THEY WILL -- OR THAT THEY CAN -- BE QUICKLY RESOLVED. BUT THE BEGINNING, AN ENCOURAGING AND HOPEFUL START TOWARD PEACE, HAS BEEN MADE.

- AND IN THAT SENSE, WE HAVE THE PEACE -- IF WE CAN KEEP IT.
- →AND WE HAVE THE PEACE -- IF WE CAN KEEP STRONG.
- AND WE HAVE THE LEADERSHIP THAT CAN BRING THAT ABOUT -- IF
 WE CAN KEEP IT.
- AND IT BELIEVE WE CAN KEEP IT. WE CAN IF WE WORK AT IT.

 IF WE REPUBLICANS DEVOTE ALL OF THE ENERGIES WE CAN MUSTER OVER THE

 NEXT FIVE MONTHS TO THE TASK, WE CAN HOLD ONTO THAT LEADERSHIP IN

 THE WHITE HOUSE THAT HAS ALREADY BROUGHT US SO FAR. AND WE CAN

 ENSURE THAT RICHARD NIXON WINS THE SECOND TERM THAT HIS ACHIEVEMENTS

 DURING HIS FIRST TERM HAVE MERITED.

HIS RECORD WILL STAND FOR ITSELF, IF THE VOTERS UNDERSTAND IT. IT WILL STAND FOR ITSELF, IF WE MAKE SURE IT IS WELL-KNOWN.

THE SALT TALKS, THE JOURNEY FOR PEACE TO PEKING,

VIETNAMIZATION, DRAFT REFORM, POSTAE REFORM, GOVERNMENT REORGANIZATION -
THESE ARE ALL PROGRAMS AND ACHIEVEMENTS OF AN ADMINISTRATION THAT

UNDERSTANDS THIS COUNTRY'S NEEDS AND THIS COUNTRY'S ROLE IN THE

WORLD.

THE DEMOCRATS ARE DOING THEIR BEST TO OUT-PROMISE EACH
OTHER. THE REAL CAUSE FOR CONCERN LIES, HOWEVER, IN THE FACT
THAT IF ONE OF THEM IS ELECTED, HE MAY ACTUALLY KEEP THOSE PROMISES.
BUT NONE OF THEM HOLDS ANY REAL PROMISE OF THE KIND OF LEADERSHIP
CAPACITY THAT CAN MATCH THE RECORD OF THIS ADMINISTRATION UNDER
RICHARD NIXON. NOT GEORGE MC GOVERN, NOR ED MUSKIE, WHOM SOME
OF YOU MAY REMEMBER, NOR HUBERT HUMPHREY NOR TED KENNEDY HAVE BEEN
ABLE TO OFFER THE VOTERS OF THIS COUNTRY ANY COMPELLING REASONS
WHY THEY OUGHT TO CONSIDER A CHANGE IN ADMINISTRATIONS.

AND NONE OF THEM CAN. AND NONE OF THEM WILL.
BECAUSE THERE AREN'T ANY.

DEMOCRATS IN DISARRAY

AND THERE IS SOME EVIDENCE, NOW IN THE NEWS, THAT THEY MAY NOT EVEN BE TRYING VERY HARD. IT SEEMS THAT MUCH MORE IMPORTANT TO SOME OF THEM THAN THE FIGHT FOR CONTROL OF THE WHITE HOUSE, IS THE FIGHT FOR CONTROL OF THEIR PARTY.

AND AS THAT FIGHT CONTINUES, I THINK THAT IT BECOMES

INCREASINGLY EVIDENT THAT WE MAY BE WITNESSING THIS YEAR THE FINAL

DISINTEGRATION OF THE DEMOCRAT PARTY AS WE HAVE KNOWN IT DURING THIS

CENTURY.

IT IS AN AXIOM OF AMERICAN POLITICS THAT THIS COUNTRY IS

ESENTIALLY A TWO-PARTY COUNTRY AND WILL REMAIN SO. OUR HISTORY

DEMONSTRATES THAT. BUT POLITICAL PARTIES HAVE EXPIRED BEFORE IN THIS

COUNTRY AND THE DEMOCRAT PARTY MAY WELL BE ABOUT TO JOIN THE

FEDERALISTS AND THE WHIGS AND NUMEROUS SMALLER PARTIES ON HISTORY'S

DISCARD SHELF.

FOR THERE IS NOTHING MAGICAL ABOUT THE ORIGINS AND

STRENGTHS OF OUR MAJOR POLITICAL PARTIES. THEY ARE CREATED BY THE

PEOPLE TO REPRESENT THEIR INTERESTS WITHIN THE GOVERNMENT OF THE

UNITED STATES AND WITHIN THEIR STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. AND

THE SAME PEOPLE WHO CREATED A POLITICAL PARTY CAN ABANDON IT WHEN IT

CEASES TO PERFORM THE FUNCTION FOR WHICH THEY CREATED IT -- WHEN IT

CEASES TO REPRESENT THEM.

THUS, IN SPITE OF THE REGISTRATION FIGURES WHICH SHOW

MOST PEOPLE CONSIDERING THEMSELVES TO BE DEMOCRATS, I BELIEVE THAT

MANY NOMINAL DEMOCRATS ARE ABOUT TO ABANDON THEIR ERSTWHILE PARTY

IN DROVES.

DEMO DESPERATION IS MOTHER OF INVENTION

I DON'T OBJECT TO THE DEMOCRATS TRYING TO DRAW ATTENTION

AWAY FROM THE POLITICAL AND FINANCIAL BANKRUPTCY OF THEIR PARTY

BY RIDING THIS ABSURD BUGGING HOBBY HORSE. POLITICAL DESPERATION

IS THE MOTHER OF A NUMBER OF INVENTIONS WE HAVE SEEN AND CAN EXPECT

TO SEE IN THIS CAMPAIGN YEAR.

I DO WANT TO CAUTION AGAINST LETTING THIS RIDICULOUS COPS-AND-ROBBERS STUNT BE USED TO OBSCURE THE ISSUES IN THIS CAMPAIGN YEAR. IF THIS EFFORT SUCCEEDS, EVERY PURSE-SNATCHING IN THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA WILL BE LAID AT THE DOOR OF THE WHITE HOUSE.

WHILE NO ONE CAN TAKE THE DEMOCRATS' CHARGES SERIOUSLY, NO
ONE SHOULD UNDERESTIMATE THEIR WILLINGNESS TO SMEAR THE WHITE HOUSE
WITH WHATEVER OUTLANDISH CHARGES ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM. WHILE THE
DEMOCRATS MAY NOT APPROVE OF MR. NIXON AS PRESIDENT -- EVEN THOUGH THEY
CERTAINLY HAVE NO CREDITABLE ALTERNATIVE -- IT IS PRETTY FAR-FETCHED
TO TRY TO LINK THE PRESIDENT WITH A STUNT PULLED BY A HANDFUL OF
MIDDLE-AGED JUNIOR G-MEN.

THE FACT IS THAT THE DEMOCRATS ARE TRYING DESPERATELY
TO HOLD THEIR DISINTEGRATION PARTY TOGETHER AND TO KEEP PUBLIC
ATTENTION AWAY FROM ITS BURSTING SEAMS.

FRONT RUNNER NOT THE FAVORITE

THE DEMOCRATS HAVE ONLY RECENTLY GOTTEN BACK WHAT THEY LOST LAST MARCH IN NEW HAMPSHIRE. NOW THEY HAVE A FRONT-RUNNER AGAIN.

GEORGE MC GOVERN IS NOW CLEARLY THE FAVORITE FOR THE NOMINATION IN JULY. THAT IS TO SAY, HE IS THE CANDIDATE WITH THE MOST DELEGATES GOING INTO THE CONVENTION. "FAVORITE," ON SECOND THOUGHT, MAY BE THE WRONG WORD TO USE.

BECAUSE THE DEMOCRATS SEEM TODAY TO BE MUCH MORE INVOLVED

AND MUCH NORE CONCERNED ABOUT INTERNAL PARTY STRUGGLES FOR POWER THAN

THEY DO ABOUT THE CAMPAIGN FOR THE PRESIDENCY.

LET ME CITE SOME EVENTS WHICH LEAD ME TO THAT CONCLUSION.

FIRST MANY OF THE DELEGATES ALREADY CHOSEN -- AS MANY AS

25 PERCENT OF THEM -- ARE BEING CHALLENGED BEFORE THE DEMOCRAT

CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE.

AND, SECONDLY, MANY OF THE DELEGATES ALREADY CHOSEN HAVE PUBLICLY PROCLAIMED THEIR INTENTION TO VOTE FOR A CANDIDATE OTHER THAN THE ONE THEY ARE BOUND TO BY STATE LAW.

THIRD, THE DEMOCRAT PARTY IS FACED THIS YEAR WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF DEFECTIONS NOT TO ONE SPLINTER PARTY, BUT TO TWO.

THE DEMOCRAT GOVERNORS, ALMOST ALL OF THEM, ARE REPORTED

TO BELIEVE THAT THEIR STATE PARTIES ARE THREATENED IF MC GOVERN IS

THE NOMINEE AND THE POLLS SHOW DEMOCRAT LEADERS THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY

PREFER ANOTHER CANDIDATE OVER THE MAN NOW TOUTED AS THE FAVORITE.

LABOR IS MIXED; SOME DEMOCRAT MEMBERS OF CONGRESS SEE THE MC GOVERN

NOMINATION AS A POTENTIAL DISASTER. AND WHAT THEY ALL MEAN, THOUGH

THEY WON'T SAY IT, IS "STOP MC GOVERN."

AND LAST MONTH IN A LONG DISSERTATION BEFORE THE NATIONAL PRESS CLUB, SENATOR MUSKIE -- YOU REMEMBER HIM -- REFUSED TO OFFER HIS SUPPORT TO SENATOR MC GOVERN. AT THE TIME, SENATOR MUSKIE CONTROLLED APPROXIMATELY ONE_TENTH OF THE DELEGATES HE NEEDED FOR THE NOMINATION. BUT EVEN WITH THAT SMALL A BASE OF SUPPORT, HE LOOKED AHEAD TO GOING TO THE CONVENTION STILL A CANDIDATE FOR NOMINATION. HE SAID HE WANTED TO ASSURE THAT THE CONVENTION HAD A CHOICE.

AND NOW, JUST A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO, MUSKIE AND HIS
SIDEKICK SENATOR HUGHES LAUNCHED A RENEWED EFFORT TO GET THE MUSKIE
"BLANDWAGON" ROLLING AGAIN. MUSKIE SAYS HE WANTS TO MAKE HIMSELF
AVAILABLE AT THE CONVENTION AS A "VIABLE ALTERNATIVE" IN CASE THE
CONVENTION SHOULD DEADLOCK. WHAT HE MEANS, THOUGH NEITHER HE NOR
SENATOR HUGHES IS SAYING SO, IS SIMPLY "STOP MC GOVERN."

THIS IS NOT A PICTURE OF A BROADLY REPRESENTATIVE POLITICAL

PARTY PARTY PREPARING TO CONTEST FOR THE PRESIDENCY. IT IS A

PICTURE OF A PARTY "CANNIBALIZING ITSELF," WITH A VARIETY OF

FACTIONAL CHIEFTAINS BATTLING TO SEE WHO STAYS OUT OF THE POT.

DEMO TROUBLES RUN DEEP

WHILE MANY OBSERVERS MAY DISCOUNT THESE SYMPTOMS OF
DISINTEGRATION AS "GROWING PAINS" BROUGHT ON BY NEW DELEGATE
SELECTION METHODS INTTHE DEMOCRAT PARTY THIS YEAR, I THINK THE CAUSES
ARE MUCH TOO DEEP TO BE DISMISSED AS "PROCEDURAL." THE CAUSES AREAA
CHANGING ELECTORATE WHICH IS SOPHISTICATED ENOUGH TO DISCOUNT THE
RHETORIC OF PROMISE WHICH HAS OFTEN SUFFICED IN THE PAST AND THE
FAILURE OF THE DEMOCRAT PARTY AS AN INSTITUTION TO ADAPT TO THE NEEDS

OF THIS CHANGING ELECTORATE. IT IS NO LONGER GOOD ENOUGH TO CALL
FOR PEACE AND OFFER ECONOMIC NOSTRUMS WHILE FAILING TO TAKE THE
NECESSARY ACTIONS TO CREATE PEACE AND PROSPERITY. WHAT THE PEOPLE
OF AMERICA WANT ARE PROGRAMS -- AND THEY ARE NOT GETTING THOSE PROGRAMS
FROM ANY OF THE CANDIDATES CURRENTLY VYING FOR THE DEMOCRAT
PRESIDENTIAL NOMINATION. BUT THEY ARE GETTING ACTION FROM
PRESIDENT NIXON.

WHETHER THE COUNTRY'S VOTERS MAKE THEIR DECISION TO CONTINUE
THE PROGRESS WE HAVE MADE UNDER RICHARD NIXON FOR ANOTHER FOUR
YEARS, OR DECIDE TO GO BACK TO REPEAT THE DEMOCRATS MISTAKES OF
THE PAST IS GOING TO DEPEND ON US AND ON REPUBLICANS THROUGHOUT
THE COUNTRY.

THERE AREN'T ENOUGH OF US TO ELECT THE PRESIDENT ON OUR OWN.

WE HAVE TO CONVINCE DEMOCRATS AND INDEPENDENTS, WE HAVE TO TELL THEM

ABOUT THE NIXON RECORD. WE HAVE TO MAKE SURE IT IS UNDERSTOOD. AND

WE CAN'T ASSUME THAT EVERYONE KNOWS IT AS WELL AS YOU AND I.

AND NEITHER CAN WE ASSUME THAT THE DEMOCRATS, IN SPITE OF THEIR PRESENT STATE OF CONFUSION, WILL BE PUSH-OVERS. NO MATTER WHO THEIR CANDIDATE IS, THEY WILL PUT UP A TOUGH FIGHT. THEIR AMBITION IS TOO STRONG.

SO I REPEAT, IT IS UP TO US, IN NO SMALL WAY. THE COUNTRY IS GOING TO DECIDE IN NOVEMBER WHETHER IT WILL RELIVE THE PAST -- AND THE MISTAKES OF THE PAST -- WITH THE DEMOCRATS, OR WHETHER IT IS GOING TO CHART THE FUTURE, A FUTURE OF PEACE AND PROSPERITY BASED ON PEACE WITH THE REPUBLICAN LEADERSHIP OF RICHARD NIXON.

AND NEITHER CAN WE ASSUME OF THE CHARLES. IN SUITED THE PRESENT STATE OF CONFUSION, WILL BE PUSH-OVERS. NO MATTER AMBITION IS TOO STROME.

SO I REPEAT, IT IS UP TO US. IN BO SMALL WAY. THE COUNTRY IS GOING TO DECIDE IN HOVENBER WHETHER IT WILL RELIVE THE PAST AND THE MISTAKES OF THE PAST -- WITH THE DEMOCRATS, OR WHETHER IT IS GOING TO CHART THE FUTURE, A FUTURE OF PEACE AND PROSPERITY