

REMARKS OF CONGRESSMAN BOB DOLE
ALLEN COUNTY REPUBLICAN RALLY
SATURDAY, OCTOBER 2, 1965
LIMA, OHIO

IT IS ALWAYS A PLEASURE TO VISIT WITH REPUBLICANS, AND I MUST ADMIT THAT I WAS FLATTERED TO BE INVITED BY SUCH AN OUTSTANDING AMERICAN AS BILL MC CULLOCH. THOSE WHO OBJECTIVELY, AND WITHOUT PARTISAN BIAS EVALUATE PERFORMANCE OF MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, WILL RATE BILL AT OR NEAR THE TOP. AS AN EXAMPLE, IT WAS ONLY A FEW WEEKS AGO, ON SEPTEMBER 10, THAT YOUR GREAT CONGRESSMAN RECEIVED THE AMERICAN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION - 1965 CONGRESSIONAL DISTINGUISHED SERVICE AWARD.

SO, FOR WHATEVER REASON, BEING HERE IS AN HONOR AND AN OPPORTUNITY I SHALL LONG REMEMBER.

LET ME STATE AT THE OUTSET THAT POLITICS IS GENERALLY A BITTERLY-SERIOUS BUSINESS BUT IF WE CAN'T OCCASIONALLY LAUGH AT OURSELVES, IT'S REALLY NOT WORTH THE EFFORT. TO ILLUSTRATE THERE ARE STILL NO HARD FEELINGS OVER THE NOVEMBER ELECTION, I WANT TO PAY TRIBUTE TO THE PRESIDENT.

WITHOUT ANY RESERVATIONS WHATSOEVER, I WANT TO PUBLICLY STATE THAT WE'RE ALL INDEBTED BECAUSE OF HIS ADMINISTRATION -- EVEN GENERATIONS YET UNBORN.

MOREOVER, IT LOOKS LIKE THE GREAT SOCIETY IS GOING TO MAKE THIS A LAND

OCT 2 1965

-2-

OF PLENTY -- OWE PLENTY, TAX PLENTY, SPEND PLENTY, WASTE PLENTY, AND AS A RESULT, SWITCH PLENTY OF SEATS IN CONGRESS IN 1966 AND MORE SPECIFICALLY, RECAPTURE THE SEATS LOST IN OHIO -- PLUS ANOTHER HALF-DOZEN -- IN YOUR GREAT STATE.

NATIONALLY, UNLESS THERE'S A SWING NEXT YEAR, IT IS QUITE LIKELY THE COUNTRY WILL MOVE PERMANENTLY TOWARD ONE-PARTY GOVERNMENT UNDER ONE-MAN RULE. TRADITIONALLY, THE PRESIDENT PROPOSED, AND THE CONGRESS DISPOSED: NOW LBJ DOES BOTH ---- AND EVEN MORE ALARMING WAS HIS FIRST APPOINTMENT TO THE U. S. SUPREME COURT.

"DEVELOPING A CONSENSUS" SOUNDS LIKE A DEMOCRATIC PROCESS, BUT IN OPERATION THIS DEVICE IS SMOTHERING ALL CONSTRUCTIVE OPPOSITION. DETAILED PROVISIONS OF LEGISLATION ARE DRAFTED, NOT IN THE COMMITTEES OF CONGRESS, BUT IN THE BUREAUCRATIC FACTORIES WITHIN THE JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION'S EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS. LEADING DEMOCRATS IN CONGRESS ARE THEN INDUCED TO INTRODUCE THE LEGISLATION. LBJ BILLS MOVE HURRIEDLY THROUGH LEGISLATIVE SUBCOMMITTEES, FULL COMMITTEES AND THE CONGRESS ITSELF, WITH NO AMENDMENTS PERMITTED UNLESS SANCTIONED BY THE WHITE HOUSE. THERE IS LITTLE OR NO TIME FOR PUBLIC UNDERSTANDING, EVALUATION, SUGGESTION OR OTHER POPULAR PARTICIPATION IN OUR SUPPOSED SYSTEM OF SELF GOVERNMENT.

ONCE THE SENATE OR HOUSE HAS ACTED, THE LEGISLATION MUST THEN BE RUSHED THROUGH THE OTHER BODY WITHOUT CHANGING SO MUCH AS A WORD OR A COMMA SO THAT LBJ

OCT 2 1965

CAN SET UP THE "SIGNING CEREMONY", IN THE LITTLE OLD SCHOOL HOUSE -- THE TRUMAN LIBRARY, OR ANY OTHER PLACE HE MIGHT DERIVE POLITICAL MILEAGE.

THE APPALACHIA PROGRAM AND FEDERAL AID TO EDUCATION LEGISLATION ARE EXAMPLES OF BILLS RUSHED THROUGH THIS "NO CHANGE" CONGRESS. THUS THE CONGRESS IS BEING TRANSFORMED INTO A NEW TYPE OF UNICAMERAL BODY. IN ONE WEEK IN JULY, JUST AS AN EXAMPLE, THE HOUSE COMPLETED THE VAST MEDICARE PROGRAM, RENT SUBSIDIES WERE AUTHORIZED, AND SECTION 14 (b) OF THE TAFT-HARTLEY LAW WAS REPEALED. LITERALLY A "FLOOD" OF NEW LAWS HAVE BEEN ENACTED SINCE JANUARY, AND IN THE PROCESS, AN ALL-POWERFUL CENTRAL GOVERNMENT IS RISING ---- AND EQUALLY IMPORTANT IS THE DANGEROUS MOVE TOWARD ONE-PARTY ---- YES, ONE-MAN RULE AND DOMINATION. IN LYNDON JOHNSON'S WASHINGTON, COLUMNIST CHARLES BARTLETT, WRITING IN THE WASHINGTON (D.C.) EVENING STAR CORRECTLY STATED -- AND I QUOTE -- "JOHNSON AIMS AT EXTINCTION OF GOP." EVEN NOW, THE PRESIDENT IS USING THE POWER AND THE PURSE OF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN PURSUIT OF THAT GOAL.

IN THE HOUSE, A BLOC OF DEMOCRATIC CONGRESSMEN -- ELECTED IN 1964 LBJ SWEEP -- IS PROVIDING THE PRESIDENT WITH THE RUBBERSTAMP APPROVAL HE NEEDS TO ENACT HIS KEY GREAT SOCIETY PROPOSALS. DON OBERDORFER, WRITING FOR THE CHICAGO DAILY NEWS SERVICE, THE WEEK BEFORE LAST, PROVIDED A MEASURE OF THE SCOPE OF

OCT 2 1965

LBJ'S EFFORT TO RE-ELECT THESE FRESHMEN. HE REPORTED:

"EVERY THURSDAY MORNING SINCE EARLY JUNE, FRESHMEN DEMOCRATIC CONGRESSMEN HAVE BEEN SLIPPING DOWNTOWN, ONE BY ONE, TO THE MOST WHISPERED-ABOUT BUT LEAST PUBLISHED SERIES OF POLITICAL MEETINGS IN YEARS."

"SITTING IN ON THESE SESSIONS ARE HIGH-POWERED DEMOCRATIC PARTY OFFICIALS AND ADMINISTRATION BIGWIGS, INCLUDING PRESIDENTIAL ASSISTANTS AND PERSONAL AIDES TO CABINET HEADS.

"BEFORE HIS APPOINTED DAY IN COURT AT THE DEMOCRATIC COMMITTEE, EACH FRESHMEN LAWMAKER IS PRESENTED WITH A DETAILED LISTING, USUALLY 20 PAGES OR MORE, OF FEDERALLY FINANCED ACTIVITIES IN HIS DISTRICT AND THOSE PENDING FOR DECISION," OBERDORFER SAID.

ALTHOUGH DEMOCRATS ARE RELUCTANT TO DISCUSS THESE SECRET MEETINGS, DAVID S. BRODER IN THE NEW YORK TIMES REPORTED THE FRESHMEN GO TO THE MEETINGS CARRYING "SHOPPING LISTS" OF SPECIFIC PROJECTS AND GRANTS WHOSE AWARD WOULD BE POLITICALLY ADVANTAGEOUS.

THE ADMINISTRATION'S EFFORT TO GAIN RE-ELECTION OF THESE CONGRESSMEN IS NOT LIMITED TO THE "WHAT-CAN-WE-DO-FOR-YOU-WITH-PUBLIC-FUNDS?" MEETINGS AT THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY HEADQUARTERS. DEMOCRATIC FRESHMEN ARE PROMINENT AT LBJ BILL-SIGNINGS AND OTHER WHITE HOUSE CEREMONIES. AND EACH MONTH, ACCORDING TO ALAN L. OTTEN IN THE WALL STREET JOURNAL, THEY RECEIVE A NOTICE OF ALL CABINET MEMBERS AND OTHER TOP APPOINTEES WHO WILL BE IN THEIR DISTRICTS THE COMING MONTH

OCT 2 1965

ON GOVERNMENT BUSINESS -- AND WILL BE WILLING TO STAY ON TO SPEAK AT FUND-RAISING
DINNERS. AND WHY IS LYNDON SO ANXIOUS? HERE ARE A FEW EXAMPLES. OF THE 48
DEMOCRATS WHO UNSEATED REPUBLICANS LAST NOVEMBER, 46 OF THEM VOTED AGAINST THE
REPUBLICAN ALTERNATIVE TO THE ADMINISTRATION'S MEDICARE BILL -- A PROPOSAL
REJECTED BY ONLY 45 VOTES.

FORTY-FOUR OF THEM VOTED FOR THE NEW DEPARTMENT OF URBAN AFFAIRS --
APPROVED BY ONLY 33 VOTES.

THIRTY-NINE OF THEM VOTED AGAINST THE HOUSE REPUBLICAN MOTION TO KILL
THE ADMINISTRATION'S CONTROVERSIAL RENT SUBSIDY PLAN -- REJECTED BY ONLY SIX VOTES.

OF THE 58 NON-SOUTHERN BLOC FRESHMEN DEMOCRATS IN THE HOUSE, TIME
MAGAZINE REPORTED ON AUGUST 2, THEY VOTED THIS WAY ON KEY ISSUES:

- 54-0 FOR DOUBLING AUTHORIZATION FOR THE LBJ POVERTY PROGRAM.
- 54-3 FOR THE APPALACHIA PROGRAM.
- 58-0 FOR THE ELEMENTARY EDUCATION BILL.
- 58-0 AGAINST RECOMMITTAL OF THE VOTING RIGHTS BILL.

NOW IF THERE IS ANY DOUBT ABOUT THE TRAGEDY OF BLIND VOTING ON THE PART
OF RUBBERSTAMP DEMOCRATS, LET US BRIEFLY TAKE A LOOK AT THE COST OF SOME OF THE
GREAT SOCIETY PROGRAMS. CONGRESS HAS PASSED SOME REAL WHOPPERS THIS SESSION AND
LOOKING AT EXPECTED EXPENDITURES FOR THE NEXT 5 YEARS, WE GET SUCH STAGGERING
FIGURES AS:

OCT 2 1965

THIRTY-TWO BILLION DOLLARS FOR MEDICARE AND OTHER SOCIAL SECURITY CHANGES;
7 BILLION 400 MILLION FOR URBAN RENEWAL AND OTHER HOUSING LEGISLATION;
26 BILLION DOLLARS FOR SPACE EXPLORATION;
5 BILLION 400 MILLION DOLLARS FOR THE WAR ON POVERTY;
4 BILLION 700 MILLION FOR FEDERAL AID TO COLLEGES;
6 BILLION 600 MILLION FOR FEDERAL AID TO ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS;
1 BILLION 400 MILLION FOR VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION PROGRAMS;
1 BILLION 800 MILLION FOR MANPOWER DEVELOPMENT AND TRAINING;
3 BILLION 200 MILLION FOR AREA^{RE-} DEVELOPMENT AND SMALL PUBLIC WORKS
1 BILLION FOR AID TO APPALACHIA;
5 BILLION 200 MILLION FOR THE MILITARY PAY RAISE; AND
6 BILLION 800 MILLION FOR THE FEDERAL EMPLOYEES PAY RAISE IF APPROVED.

THESE ARE JUST A FEW OF THE TREMENDOUS EXPENDITURES VOTED BY THIS CONGRESS.

A FEW OTHER PROGRAMS AUTHORIZED IN THE MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR CLASS INCLUDE:
112 MILLION FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH SERVICES AND IMMUNIZATION;
235 MILLION FOR COMMUNITY MENTAL HEALTH CENTERS;
650 MILLION FOR REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTERS TO FIGHT CANCER, HEART DISEASE,
AND STROKE;
280 MILLION FOR HEALTH RESEARCH FACILITIES;
800 MILLION FOR EDUCATION IN THE HEALTH PROFESSIONS;
117 MILLION FOR WATER RESOURCES PLANNING;
380 MILLION FOR WATER POLLUTION CONTROL;
185 MILLION FOR SALINE WATER RESEARCH;
60 MILLION TO FIGHT AIR POLUTION;
13 MILLION FOR PESTICIDES RESEARCH; AND
39 MILLION FOR WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH.

OCT 2 1965

THEN, OF COURSE, THERE WILL BE ABOUT 3 BILLION DOLLARS FOR FOREIGN AID FOR THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, AS WELL AS ABOUT 2 BILLION DOLLARS FOR THE WAR ON POVERTY PLUS A COUPLE BILLION FOR THE NEW RIVERS AND HARBORS PROJECTS. THEN WE HAVE SUCH MISCELLANEOUS BILLS AS:

60 MILLION FOR THE NATIONAL ARTS AND HUMANITIES FOUNDATION AND CULTURAL PROJECTS;
320 MILLION FOR HIGHWAY BEAUTIFICATION;
90 MILLION FOR MASS TRANSPORTATION;
30 MILLION FOR ARMS CONTROL AND DISARMAMENT;
60 MILLION FOR THE STATE TECHNICAL SERVICES ACT; AND
125 MILLION FOR SHIP CONSTRUCTION SUBSIDIES.

IF THE PEOPLE REALLY BELIEVE IN SUCH OUTRAGEOUS SPENDING PROGRAMS AND, AS A RESULT, ~~THE CONSEQUENCES~~ CONGRESS CONTINUES TO BE DOMINATED BY ONE POLITICAL PARTY, OUR SYSTEM OF CHECKS AND BALANCES WILL BE IN GREAT JEOPARDY.

THIS IS BECAUSE OUR TWO-PARTY SYSTEM, ALTHOUGH NOT WRITTEN INTO THE CONSTITUTION, BUILDS INTO GOVERNMENT AN ADDITIONAL SET OF CHECKS AND BALANCES. EARLY IN OUR HISTORY A WISE DECISION WAS MADE TO FOLLOW THE PATTERN OF A TWO-PARTY SYSTEM. WE AVOIDED THE LOSS OF FREEDOM OF A ONE-PARTY GOVERNMENT; WE AVOIDED THE CHAOS AND CONFUSION OF A MULTI-PARTY GOVERNMENT.

NOT ONLY DOES A STRONG SECOND PARTY PROVIDE THE ELECTORATE WITH LEGISLATIVE ALTERNATIVES, BUT ALSO WITH A REMARKABLY HIGH LEVEL OF HONESTY AND

OCT 2 1965

-8-

FRANKNESS.

WE CAN ALL AGREE THAT A STRONG TWO-PARTY SYSTEM IS DEMOCRACY'S LIFE INSURANCE -- PROTECTION FOR OUR CHILDREN AGAINST ANY DRIFT TOWARD AUTHORITARIANISM. CONVERSELY, A CRUSHING OVER-BALANCE OF STRENGTH IN EITHER PARTY FOR TOO LONG WILL MAKE A MOCKERY OF OUR TRADITIONS IN GOVERNMENT AND WEAKEN THE VOICE OF THE PEOPLE.

IT SEEMS TO ME THE MAJOR GOALS TO BE SOUGHT IN THE AREA OF GOVERNMENT ARE TWOFOLD. FIRST: A SENSITIVE BALANCE BETWEEN EXECUTIVE, LEGISLATIVE AND JUDICIAL BRANCHES; SECOND: A STRONG TWO-PARTY SYSTEM TO INSURE BALANCE IS MAINTAINED.

LET THERE BE NO MISTAKE ABOUT IT THE PRESENT WEAKNESS OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS NOT SIMPLY A PROBLEM FOR THE REPUBLICANS. IT IS A NATIONAL PROBLEM. IT IS A PROBLEM ALL AMERICANS MUST UNDERSTAND. AND IT IS A RESPONSIBILITY THAT ALL AMERICANS MUST SHOULDER.

THE ULTIMATE CONTEST IN WHICH WE ARE ENGAGED IS THE DEFENSE OF OUR TRADITIONAL TWO-PARTY SYSTEM WHICH, SINCE THE FOUNDING OF THE REPUBLIC, HAS FURNISHED THE FLESH AND BLOOD FOR THE RAW SKELETON OF OUR CONSTITUTIONAL SYSTEM.

ALL AMERICANS WHO LOVE THEIR COUNTRY DEEPLY SURELY ARE AWARE OF THE

OCT 2 1965

IMPORTANCE OF THE ROLE OF A MAJORITY AND A MINORITY PARTY. IF THIS SYSTEM FAILS, OUR GOVERNMENTAL SYSTEM FAILS WITH IT. NO ONE MUST EVER LOSE SIGHT OF THE DANGERS INVOLVED WHEN ONE POLITICAL PARTY DOMINATES OUR GOVERNMENT YEAR AFTER YEAR, FROM ONE GENERATION TO THE NEXT, WITHOUT ANY FEAR OF BEING HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR ITS CONDUCT IN OFFICE.

CONTINUOUS LANDSLIDE VICTORIES OVER SUCCESSIVE PERIODS OF TIME, WHETHER THEY BE REPUBLICAN OR DEMOCRATIC, ARE NOT GOOD FOR EITHER PARTY.

IF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY IS TO PROVIDE THE KIND OF TWO-PARTY SYSTEM I BELIEVE MOST AMERICANS WANT, THEN THE REPUBLICAN PARTY FIRST MUST CREATE IN VOTERS THE DESIRE TO ASSOCIATE THEMSELVES WITH IT.

OUR ROLE SHOULD CONTINUE TO BE OPPOSITION TO ALL ILL-ADVISED SCHEMES AND PROGRAMS THAT DAMAGE THE PEOPLE -- NOW OR HEREAFTER. IN ADDITION, OUR ROLE SHOULD BE EQUALLY STRONG IN OUR ADVOCACY AND SUPPORT OF PROPOSALS WHICH HELP THE PRIVATE ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS TO INCREASE EARNINGS OF MANAGEMENT AND THE SHARE OF THE WORKERS.

WHAT THIS COUNTRY NEEDS -- AND NEEDS NOW -- IS A POLITICAL PARTY TRULY REPRESENTATIVE OF THE NEEDS OF THE PEOPLE. RATHER THAN IMPOSING RESTRAINTS ON HUMAN PROGRESS, WE REPUBLICANS SHOULD INVITE THE BROADEST IMPLEMENTATION BY THE SELF-GOVERNED TO MEET THE CHALLENGES OF ANY AGE.

OCT 2 1965

LET ME ADD THAT IN THE RUSH TO IMPROVE OUR ~~IMAGE~~ ^{IMAGE} WE SHOULD NOT OVERLOOK
THE REAL SOURCES OF OUR STRENGTH. YES, WE WANT TO WIN BUT NOT SACRIFICE OUR
FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES IN THE PROCESS. THE AMERICAN PEOPLE MUST HAVE A REAL
CHOICE AND A REAL ALTERNATIVE TO PRESENT DAY "FOLLOWERSHIP". THE SUREST ROAD
TO FUTURE VICTORIES IS THE WAY OF PRINCIPLE. THESE ARE SOME OF THE PRINCIPLES
I'M TALKING ABOUT:

- OURS IS A CONSTITUTIONAL REPUBLIC, OF LIMITED, BALANCED, AND
DISPERSED POWERS.
- WE ARE A FEDERATION OF SOVEREIGN STATES, AND A COMMUNITY OF
SOVEREIGN INDIVIDUALS.
- OUR PEOPLE, ALL OF THEM WITHOUT DISTINCTION, ARE GUARANTEED
EQUALITY OF OPPORTUNITY, AND EQUALITY BEFORE THE LAW.
- AND ABOVE ALL ELSE, OURS IS A SOCIETY THAT IS GREAT, AND ALWAYS
HAS BEEN, BECAUSE IT IS FREE.

REPORTS ABOUT THE STATE OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY HAVE BEEN GREATLY EXAG-
GERATED, IN MY OPINION, BY AN ADMINISTRATION-ORIENTED PRESS. THE VERY INTENSITY
OF DEBATE AMONG REPUBLICANS ABOUT OUR PARTY'S FUTURE IS CONVINCING EVIDENCE THAT
THE GRAND OLD PARTY IS ALIVE AND KICKING -- THOUGH IT DOES APPEAR THAT SOME WOULD
RATHER KICK ANOTHER REPUBLICAN THAN GO AFTER THE OPPOSITION.

THE QUESTION PREOCCUPYING ALL OF US IS: WHERE DO WE GO FROM HERE -- AND
HOW DO WE GET THERE?

OCT 2 1965

I SUGGEST TWO STEPS: (1) KEEP IN MIND THAT THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN REPUBLICANS TODAY ARE NOT ONE FRACTION AS GREAT AS THE COMMON INTERESTS WHICH BIND US TOGETHER AS A PARTY; AND (2) TO BUILD A STRONGER PARTY ON THE BASIS OF OUR COMMON INTERESTS, NOT SPLIT UP AND SPLINTER OVER PARTY DIFFERENCES.

IT WILL NOT BE EASY. NOTHING WORTHWHILE EVER IS. BUT HAVEN'T WE BEEN SEEKING AN EASY SOLUTION TO OUR PROBLEMS? HAVEN'T WE TENDED TO BASK IN THE REFLECTED GLORIES OF PAST VICTORIES, PAST LEADERS, PAST STRATEGIES?

THIS IS NOT TO SAY THAT WE CANNOT LEARN FROM THE PAST AND FROM THOSE WHO HAVE GONE BEFORE. THE LESSON IS CLEAR FOR THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. WE LOST AN ELECTION LAST NOVEMBER, A BATTLE CERTAINLY, BUT NOT THE WAR. WE MUST NOT BECOME SO PREOCCUPIED WITH THE WHY OF OUR LAST DEFEAT THAT WE NEGLECT THE HOW OF OUR NEXT VICTORY.

IT IS A MATTER OF THE PLAIN, HARD FACT THAT WE MUST SHARPLY INCREASE OUR SUPPORT AMONG YOUNG VOTERS.

ONE BIG QUESTION IS WHETHER THE REPUBLICANS CAN SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE FOR THOSE VOTERS 35 YEARS OF AGE AND YOUNGER.

CAN WE AS REPUBLICANS VIGOROUSLY AND SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE FOR THOSE FIVE MILLION YOUNG PEOPLE WHO WILL BECOME POTENTIAL FIRST VOTERS BETWEEN 1964 AND 1966?

OCT 2 1965

CAN WE AS REPUBLICANS SUCCESSFULLY COMPETE FOR THOSE 12 MILLION AMERICANS WHO WILL BECOME ELIGIBLE TO VOTE FOR THE FIRST TIME IN A PRESIDENTIAL ELECTION IN 1968?

I BELIEVE WE CAN. ----- DO YOU?????????????

THE ANSWER, IN MY OPINION, LIES IN THE SERIOUSNESS OF OUR INTENT, IN OUR ABILITY TO LEARN, AND IN OUR WILLINGNESS TO WORK.

SOME OF US, DISCOURAGED AND FRUSTRATED MAY ASK, "WHY WORRY ABOUT 1966?" OR "WHY WORRY AT ALL?" BECAUSE, IN MY OPINION, THE PEOPLE OF OUR REPUBLIC ARE ENTITLED TO A STRONG COMPETITIVE TWO-PARTY SYSTEM. BECAUSE, IN MY OPINION, A MAJORITY OF AMERICANS DO BELIEVE IN SOUND CONSTITUTIONAL GOVERNMENT -- FREEDOM OF THE INDIVIDUAL -- INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS AND CORRESPONDING RESPONSIBILITIES PROVIDED IN A FREE SOCIETY -- JUST TO MENTION A FEW. BECAUSE, WE MUST RESIST -- YES, EVEN FOR THOSE WHO MAY PRESENTLY DISAGREE -- THE CONCENTRATION OF POWER IN OUR FEDERAL GOVERNMENT -- THE CONTINUING ADMINISTRATION EFFORTS TO CONTROL THE NEWS AND MANIPULATE PUBLIC OPINION -- ADMINISTRATION **EFFORTS** TO "WINK" AT SCANDAL IN HIGH PLACES -- VAGUE ADMINISTRATION "PROMISES" DESIGNED TOO OFTEN FOR POLITICAL PURPOSES. -- BECAUSE OF GRAVE RESPONSIBILITIES TO GENERATIONS YET UNBORN TO PRESERVE BASIC FREEDOM, AND BECAUSE OF A FUNDAMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY TO REPUBLICANS (PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE), TO STRENGTHEN OUR PARTY.

OCT 2 1965

IT MAY BE DUBBED THE "GREAT SOCIETY" BY THE PRESIDENT, BUT FOR THOSE WHO MUST PAY -- I SUGGEST MORE DESCRIPTIVE TERMINOLOGY MIGHT WELL BE "THE GREAT ANXIETY."

ONE TASK THE REPUBLICAN PARTY HAS BEFORE IT IS A VAST JOB OF MERCHANDISING. WE HAVE A QUALITY PRODUCT TO SELL AND WE OUGHT TO FEEL THAT WE ARE DOING OUR VOTING CUSTOMERS A FAVOR BY BRINGING IT TO THEIR ATTENTION.

I LIKE TO BELIEVE THAT THE RAW MATERIALS THAT GO INTO THE REPUBLICAN LEGISLATIVE PRODUCT ARE PRODUCED IN THE MINDS OF EVERY REPUBLICAN.

FROM ONE SOURCE COMES A CONSERVATIVE IDEA AND FROM ANOTHER A LIBERAL THOUGHT. FROM ANOTHER SUPPLIER COMES A MODERATE INTERPRETATION AND FROM ANOTHER A CRITICAL ANALYSIS. PROPERLY PUT TOGETHER, ALL THE RAW MATERIALS OF IDEAS, THOUGHTS, INTERPRETATIONS, AND ANALYSES PRODUCE AN OUTSTANDING QUALITY PRODUCT.

"THIS IS NOT," AS STATED IN A WALL STREET JOURNAL EDITORIAL RECENTLY, "THE MOMENT TO REHASH THE SEMANTICS OF WORDS LIKE 'MODERATE' AND 'EXTREMISM', OR TO DEBATE WHAT OUGHT TO BE THE POLICY OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. IT IS SIMPLY THE MOMENT TO NOTE THAT SO LONG AS THAT DEBATE IS DOMINATED BY SUCH SLOGANS, AND BY THE HEATED PASSION OF DOGMATISM, THE REPUBLICANS HAVE NO FUTURE. A PARTY DIVIDED AGAINST ITSELF CANNOT LEAD."

CERTAINLY WE SUBSCRIBE TO THIS, AND AS GOOD REPUBLICANS SHOULD RECOGNIZE

OCT 2 1965

-14-

WE CANNOT AFFORD FURTHER "INTRA-PARTY STRUGGLES", THOUGH IT IS IDLE, IN MY OPINION, TO TALK ABOUT A COMPLETELY "NEW POLICY" OR A COMPLETELY "NEW PHILOSOPHY." IT ISN'T SO MUCH THAT THE REPUBLICAN PARTY MUST CHANGE -- ALTHOUGH ALL POLITICAL PARTIES, AS WELL AS INSTITUTIONS, MUST TURN WITH THE TIMES -- BUT THAT THE IMPRESSIONS REGARDING OUR PARTY MUST BE CHANGED. THE ISSUES WHICH REALLY BRING ABOUT DEFEATS ARE NOT ALWAYS THE POSITIONS OF THE PARTY, NOR OF ITS CANDIDATES. IN MY OPINION, WE HAVE A QUALITY PRODUCT. WE SHOULD HAVE A GENUINE APPEAL TO AMERICAN VOTERS AND WHAT WE NOW NEED IS THE FULL SUPPORT OF YOUR GENERATION AS REPUBLICAN SALESMEN AND WOMEN. WE NEED YOUR COMMITMENT TO YOUR SOCIETY TO BEGIN NOW SO THAT WE MAY WORK TOGETHER TO MEET THE CHALLENGES NEXT YEAR AND IN 1968.

WE NEED A MORE INVOLVED CITIZENRY, AND THE YOUNG PEOPLE OF AMERICA OFFER THE BEST HOPE OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY. UNFORTUNATELY, THE GREAT MAJORITY OF AMERICANS ARE INACTIVE POLITICALLY. IT IS ESTIMATED THAT ONLY 7% OF OUR TOTAL ADULT POPULATION ATTENDS MEETINGS, DINNERS, AND RALLIES; THAT ONLY 4% CONTRIBUTE FINANCIALLY; THAT ONLY 3% ACTUALLY WORK FOR A PARTY OR A CANDIDATE; AND ONLY 2% BELONG TO A POLITICAL CLUB OR ORGANIZATION. OBVIOUSLY, IT WILL BE NECESSARY FOR LEADERS SUCH AS YOURSELVES TO BECOME AWARE OF AND INTERESTED IN

OCT 2 1965

MATTERS IN YOUR OWN COMMUNITIES TO CONVINCING YOUR FELLOW AMERICANS THAT THE INDIVIDUAL IS STILL THE MAJOR CONCERN OF THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC AND THAT THE REPUBLICAN GOAL IS TO CONTINUE TO CHAMPION THE INDIVIDUAL AGAINST THE EXCESSES OF BIG BUSINESS, BIG LABOR, OR BIG GOVERNMENT. REPUBLICANS HAVE BEFORE THEM THE GREATEST AND MOST IMPORTANT CHALLENGE IN THIS GENERATION, AND THE CENTRAL ISSUE AS STATED BY RICHARD M. NIXON RECENTLY IS NOT WHETHER THERE IS TO BE A THIRD PARTY, BUT WHETHER THERE IS TO BE A SECOND PARTY.

AND MARK MY WORDS, THERE ARE THOSE WHO WOULD DESTROY OUR TWO-PARTY SYSTEM UNDER THE FALSE LABEL OF WHAT THEY EUPHEMISTICALLY CALL A "GREAT NATIONAL CONSENSUS" OR THE "VAST MIDDLE GROUND OF AMERICAN THOUGHT."

THESE ARE THE SAME PERSONS WHO ARE QUICK TO LABEL PRACTICALLY ANY OPPOSITION TO THE SO-CALLED GREAT SOCIETY AS BEING EITHER GENERATED BY EXTREMISTS OR SMACKING OF DISLOYALTY. THESE ARE THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE TRIED TO CREATE THE NATIONAL MYTH THAT THE GREAT SOCIETY IS, IN ACTUALITY, EQUALLY ATTRACTIVE TO DEMOCRATS AND REPUBLICANS ALIKE.

BUT, LIKE THE PIED PIPER, THEIR REAL PURPOSE IS TO PAINT A FALSE PICTURE OF SOMETHING FOR EVERYBODY IN ORDER TO LURE INDEPENDENTS AND REPUBLICANS INTO A PERMANENT ONE-PARTY STRUCTURE OF GOVERNMENT. JUST A QUICK GLANCE

OCT 2 1965

AT THE HISTORY BOOKS WILL POINT TO THE DECAY AND CORRUPTION THAT MARCH IN
CADENCE WITH ONE-PARTY RULE.

THE TASK THAT LIES AHEAD WON'T BE EASY, BUT AS A FELLOW OHIOAN,
CHAIRMAN RAY BLISS, RECENTLY REMARKED, "WHEN YOU BEGIN PONDERING EITHER THE
LATENESS OF THE HOUR OR THE LENGTH OF THE ROAD AHEAD, YOU'RE ALL THROUGH IN
POLITICS."

3881 S 100

-1-

Thank The Press

~~Mr~~ Mayor Mower

Del. Myles - Co. Com - Kent - Mr. Johnson
 - Mr. Coleman

* Dear Stacy

* David - Stacy

Tim Chalmers - Esther Wray

"POLITICS"