

Oct-23

October 23, 1962

As I see it, voters of western Kansas, in casting their vote for Congress on November 6, have an opportunity to give their stamp of approval or disapproval of President Kennedy's "New Frontier" programs, with a clear cut choice between liberally oriented "New Frontier" and conservative representation. It matters not what image a candidate might want to project, as voting records are a matter of public information, and, in my opinion, my voting most clearly reflects the majority opinion in the new 1st District.

Take, for example, the Kennedy-Freeman farm measure. My opponent strongly advocated passage of their strict, mandatory control program on wheat and feed grains-- I just as strongly opposed regimentation of our farmers. My views prevailed when on June 21 the House, in a bi-partisan vote of 215-205, killed the bill. On votes to "pack" the Rules Committee; raise the debt ceiling (twice); to spend \$400 million in so-called "depressed" areas; to spend \$900 million on special public works legislation giving the President almost unlimited discretion, my opponent went down the line for Kennedy while I opposed all the measures. Congressional Quarterly, Inc., a non-partisan publication, on October 21, 1961, correctly stated our relative positions. My opponent was rated 100% on 10 affirmative votes on measures giving the federal government a "larger role", while my rating was zero.

I firmly believe we perpetrate a fraud upon future generations by continued deficit spending and that my first obligation is to the taxpayer, large or small, not to this or any other administration.

Oct-23

October 23, 1962

As I see it, voters of western Kansas, in casting their vote for Congress on November 6, have an opportunity to give their stamp of approval or disapproval of President Kennedy's "New Frontier" programs, with a clear cut choice between liberally oriented "New Frontier" and conservative representation. It matters not what image a candidate might want to project, as voting records are a matter of public information, and, in my opinion, my voting most clearly reflects the majority opinion in the new 1st District.

Take, for example, the Kennedy-Freeman farm measure. My opponent strongly advocated passage of their strict, mandatory control program on wheat and feed grains-- I just as strongly opposed regimentation of our farmers. My views prevailed when on June 21 the House, in a bi-partisan vote of 215-205, killed the bill. On votes to "pack" the Rules Committee; raise the debt ceiling (twice); to spend \$400 million in so-called "depressed" areas; to spend \$900 million on special public works legislation giving the President almost unlimited discretion, my opponent went down the line for Kennedy while I opposed all the measures. Congressional Quarterly, Inc., a non-partisan publication, on October 21, 1961, correctly stated our relative positions. My opponent was rated 100% on 10 affirmative votes on measures giving the federal government a "larger role", while my rating was zero.

I firmly believe we perpetrate a fraud upon future generations by continued deficit spending and that my first obligation is to the taxpayer, large or small, not to this or any other administration.