This press release is from the collections at the Robert J. Dole Archive and Special Collections, University of Kansas.

243 CANNON HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING AREA CODE 202 225-2715 COMMITTEES:

COMMITTEES: AGRICULTURE GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS DISTRICT OFFICE: 110 FEDERAL BUILDING HUTCHINSON, KANSAS 67501

January 20, 1967

## **Congress of the United States House of Representatives Mashington, D.C.** 20515

ARBER	HODGEMAN
ARTON	JEWELL
HEYENNE	KEARNY
LARK	KINGMAN
LOUD	KIOWA
OMANCHE	LANE
ECATUR	LINCOLN
DWARDS	LOGAN
LLIS	MEADE
LLSWORTH	MITCHELL
INNEY	MORTON
ORD	NESS
OVE	NORTON
RAHAM	OSBORNE
RANT	OTTAWA
RAY	PAWNEE
REELEY	PHILLIPS
AMILTON	PRATT
ARPER	
LAY	
And a state of the	

NOT FOR RELEASE UNTIL WEDNES JANUARY 25, 1967

Congressman Bob Dole (R-Kans) stated today that he would introduce legislation Wednesday, January 25, to repeal Title III of Public Law 89-809, the Presidential Election Campaign Fund Act of 1966, which was passed on the last day of the 89th Congress as a part of the so-called "Christmas Tree Bill".

Dole said, "The Act was passed by an "adjournment-hungry" 89th Congress and is wholly unsound and unwise and is of doubtful constitutionality. It is so loosely drawn that efforts to put it into operation would be an administrative nightmare. If it should become operative, it could compound the evils that now flow from loose and questionable campaign financing procedures.

"Passed during the adjournment rush, the far-reaching implications of this campaign subsidy bill were not fully grasped. It provides for the payment of up to 60 million dollars in Federal funds to the two major political parties for use by them "in carrying on" a presidential election campaign. <u>There are no restrictions whatever on the purposes for which this money may be spent, no safeguards</u> <u>against corrupt practices. The entire amount could be spent in a few, or even in</u> <u>one state</u>. Moreover, the Parties will continue to be limited only by their ingenuity with respect to the raising and spending of campaign funds from private sources.

"This law simply <u>authorizes a 60 million dollar Federal subsidy for the two</u> <u>major political Parties, for unrestricted use by them in addition to whatever</u> <u>amounts they can otherwise raise, thus commingling taxpayer funds with private</u> <u>political contributions, from whatever source, for whatever purpose, and however</u> <u>questionable</u>. It makes a bad situation worse.

"For the first time, the law will confer upon individual taxpayers the right to dictate how money they pay in taxes shall be spent. This is a potentially dangerous precedent which, if expanded, would undermine the constitutional concept and practice of the appropriation of public funds by the Congress.

"The formula used to identify the Parties and presidential candidates to benefit from the subsidy would, in practical effect, insure that no candidate, other than those of the existing Democratic and Republican Parties, could ever share in it.

"Congress should possibly reverse the unwise action which was taken in haste last October. The first step is the repeal of the unsound measure which was passed. When this has been accomplished, the Congress should consider seriously and enact an effective law which will eliminate improper and excessive influence of money in Federal election campaigns, "Dole concluded.