SENATE MAJORITY LEADER BOB DOLE NATIONAL GOVERNORS ASSOCIATION

REMARKS PREPARED FOR DELIVERY FEBRUARY 6, 1996

I KNOW I SPEAK FOR ALL GOVERNORS IN SAYING THAT OUR BEST WISHES TODAY ARE WITH PRESIDENT REAGAN, AS HE CELEBRATES WHAT HE WOULD CALL THE 46TH ANNIVERSARY OF HIS 39TH BIRTHDAY.

IN FACT, I'M TEMPTED THIS MORNING TO LIMIT MY REMARKS TO JUST ONE LINE THAT PRESIDENT REAGAN SPOKE TO THIS GATHERING NINE YEARS AGO.

HE SAID--AND I QUOTE--"THE BEST THING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT CAN DO FOR THE STATES IS TO GET OUT OF YOUR POCKETS, AND OUT OF YOUR WAY."

THAT WAS GOOD ADVICE THEN, AND IT'S GOOD ADVICE NOW--AND IT'S PRECISELY WHAT I PROMISED YOU THIS CONGRESS WOULD TRY TO DO WHEN I MET WITH YOU LAST JULY IN VERMONT.

I'M PROUD TO SAY THAT DAY AFTER DAY ON MEASURE AFTER MEASURE WE HAVE WORKED TO KEEP THAT PROMISE.

I'M ALSO PROUD TO SAY THAT NEVER BEFORE IN AMERICAN HISTORY HAVE A CONGRESS AND THE NATION'S GOVERNORS WORKED AS CLOSELY AND AS COOPERATIVELY TOGETHER AS WE HAVE THIS PAST YEAR.

AND BY WORKING TOGETHER--BY REPLACING PATERNALISM WITH PARTNERSHIP--WE HAVE MADE GREAT PROGRESS FOR AMERICA.

WORKING TOGETHER, WE BURIED THE UNFUNDED MANDATES THAT ONCE ALMOST BURIED YOU.

AND WORKING TOGETHER, WE HAVE CHANGED ENTIRE DEBATES HERE IN WASHINGTON AND AROUND THE COUNTRY.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR STATE GOVERNMENTS WERE BEST ABLE TO MEET THE NEEDS OF AMERICANS.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR UNANIMOUS ACCEPTANCE THAT OUR FOREFATHERS KNEW WHAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT, AND THAT THE 10TH AMENDMENT WAS A PRETTY GOOD IDEA.

AND THE DEBATE TODAY IS NOT WHETHER POWER SHOULD BE SHIFTED OUT OF WASHINGTON, IT'S HOW FAST WE SHOULD DO IT.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER OR NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD DO AS OUR STATES DO AND AS AMERICAN FAMILIES DO--AND BALANCE THE BUDGET.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR-UNANIMOUS ACCEPTANCE OF WHAT I HAVE BEEN SAYING FOR A LONG, LONG, TIME--AND THAT'S THE FACT THAT WE NEED TO LEAVE OUR CHILDREN THE AMERICAN DREAM AND NOT THE AMERICAN DEBT.

AND THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER THE BUDGET SHOULD BE BALANCED, INSTEAD, IT'S HOW IT SHOULD BE BALANCED.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER OR NOT OUR WELFARE SYSTEM WAS WORKING.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR UNANIMOUS ACCEPTANCE OF THE SAD FACT THAT OUR WELFARE SYSTEM HAS BETRAYED THE TAXPAYERS WHO FUND IT, AND THOSE AMERICANS WHO DEPEND ON IT.

AND THE QUESTION IS NOT WHETHER OUR WELFARE SYSTEM WORKS, IT'S WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO TRULY END WELFARE AS WE KNOW IT.

THESE ARE THE QUESTIONS YOU HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING THESE PAST FEW DAYS, AND THEY ARE THE ONES THAT WILL FACE CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT IN THE MONTHS AHEAD.

ONE THING I KNOW FOR SURE IS THAT FOR AS MUCH AS WE HAVE COOPERATED THESE PAST MONTHS, OUR COOPERATION MUST INCREASE IF WE ARE TO ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS AS THEY MUST BE ANSWERED.

WELFARE REFORM

LET ME BEGIN WITH WELFARE REFORM. MANY OF YOU--INCLUDING GOVERNORS THOMPSON, CARPER, AND ENGLER--WORKED ON A DAILY BASIS WITH US THESE PAST MONTHS AS CONGRESS PASSED HISTORIC WELFARE REFORM LEGISLATION.

WAS THIS LEGISLATION PERFECT? NO.

WAS IT MUCH MUCH BETTER THAN OUR PRESENT SYSTEM? YES.

WAS IT BASED ON THE CONCEPTS OF WORK, FAMILY, AND PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY? YES.

DID IT RETURN POWER TO OUR STATES? YES.

SHOULD WE GIVE UP BECAUSE PRESIDENT CLINTON VETOED IT? NO.

WE HAVE COME TOO FAR AND WORKED TOO HARD TO SIMPLY THROW UP OUR HANDS AND ALLOW THE PRESENT SYSTEM TO TRAP A NEW GENERATION OF AMERICANS IN DESPAIR.

OUR GOAL SHOULD BE A SYSTEM THAT MAKES SENSE TO YOU. HOWEVER, HAVING SAID THAT, ANY AGREEMENT MUST HOLD TRUE TO THE PRINCIPLES CONTAINED IN THE LEGISLATION PASSED BY CONGRESS.

BECAUSE WHILE HOW MUCH MONEY WE SAVE IS VERY IMPORTANT TO OUR EFFORTS TO BALANCE THE BUDGET, THE POLICIES WHICH PROVIDE FOR REAL REFORM IN THIS ENTITLEMENT PROGRAM ARE EVEN MORE CRITICAL TO AMERICA'S LONG TERM FUTURE.

THE WORK REQUIREMENTS MUST BE REAL. PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY IS CRITICAL. AND THE PRESERVATION

OF FAMILIES AND THE PROTECTION OF CHILDREN MUST BE AT ITS CORE.

I UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE MADE GREAT PROGRESS, AND I LOOK FORWARD TO HEARING YOUR REPORT.

BALANCED BUDGET

I AM ALSO ANXIOUS TO HEAR YOUR SUGGESTIONS ON HOW WE CAN REACH A BALANCED BUDGET AGREEMENT. HERE, AS WITH WELFARE REFORM, WE HAVE COME TOO FAR TO TURN BACK.

THE BIGGEST PARLOR GAME IN WASHINGTON-BESIDES TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHO WROTE "PRIMARY COLORS--" IS TRYING TO DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT PRESIDENT CLINTON WANTS A REAL BALANCED BUDGET AGREEMENT.

WELL, I'M WILLING TO TAKE THE PRESIDENT AT HIS WORD--EVEN THOUGH INSTEAD OF BEING A SERIOUS FISCAL DOCUMENT, THE FY 97 BUDGET HE ANNOUNCED YESTERDAY IS A 20 PAGE POLITICAL PAMPHLET THAT DELAYS THE TOUGH DECISIONS UNTIL THE NEXT CENTURY.

WHILE REPUBLICANS ARE WILLING TO BE FLEXIBLE, WE HAVE ALSO LEFT NO DOUBT THAT THERE ARE PRINCIPLES THAT CAN NOT BE COMPROMISED, INCLUDING REAL SPENDING RESTRAINT, REAL ENTITLEMENT REFORM, AND TAX RELIEF FOR AMERICAN WORKING FAMILIES.

MEDICAID

PRESIDENT CLINTON STAKED A GREAT DEAL OF HIS OPPOSITION TO OUR BALANCED BUDGET RESOLUTION ON THE PROPOSED REFORMS IN MEDICAID, AND HIS OFTEN STATED BELIEF THAT THE STATES WOULD BE TEMPTED TO PARTICIPATE IN A SO-CALLED "RACE TO THE BOTTOM."

I DISAGREED WITH THAT PREMISE THEN, AND I DISAGREE WITH IT NOW. THIS IS NOT A DEBATE ABOUT COMPASSION AND WHO CARES MORE ABOUT THE MOST VULNERABLE IN OUR SOCIETY. IT IS A DEBATE ABOUT WHO CAN BEST CARE FOR THEM.

AS WAS THE CASE WITH WELFARE, WE TURNED TO YOU TO HELP US DESIGN A SOLUTION THAT BRIDGES OUR DIFFERENCES WITHOUT COMPROMISING OUR PRINCIPLES.

I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT OUR TRUST IS WELL PLACED IN OUR STATES--AND IN YOU, OUR NATION'S GOVERNORS.

GOVERNORS LEAVITT, THOMPSON, ENGLER, CHILES, MILLER, ROMER, AND MANY OTHERS OF YOU HAVE COMMITTED WEEKS AND MONTHS TO THESE CONVERSATIONS, AND ARE TO

BE CONGRATULATED AND THANKED FOR YOUR EFFORTS.

I AM DELIGHTED AT WHAT APPEARS TO BE A PROPOSAL THAT PROTECTS WOMEN, CHILDREN, THE ELDERLY, AND THE DISABLED, WHILE GIVING YOU THE FLEXIBILITY YOU NEED AND DESERVE.

OUR GOAL HAS ALWAYS BEEN NOT ONLY TO SAVE MONEY IN A RESPONSIBLE WAY, BUT ALSO TO DESIGN A PROGRAM THAT WILL TRULY SERVE THE CITIZENS OF YOUR STATE.

I HAVE BEEN BRIEFED ON THE BROAD OUTLINES OF YOUR PROPOSAL, AND BELIEVE IT HOLDS GREAT PROMISE.

MEDICARE

EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE AGREED THAT SAVING MEDICARE FROM BANKRUPTCY IS LARGELY A FEDERAL RESPONSIBILITY, I KNOW YOU WERE AS DISTURBED AS I WAS YESTERDAY TO HEAR THE NEWS THAT MEDICARE'S INSOLVENCY IS EVEN CLOSER THAN PREVIOUSLY PREDICTED.

AS WITH WELFARE REFORM AND MEDICAID REFORM, SIMPLE TINKERING IS NOT ENOUGH.

I HOPE THE ADMINISTRATION TAKES YESTERDAY'S REPORT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE BEYOND THE RHETORIC OF THE PAST MONTHS, AND WORK WITH US TO PRESERVE AND STRENGTHEN MEDICARE FOR THE GENERATIONS TO COME.

UNDER PRESIDENT REAGAN'S LEADERSHIP, WE SAVED SOCIAL SECURITY ON A BI-PARTISAN BASIS IN 1983, AND THERE'S NO REASON WHY WE CAN'T SAVE MEDICARE ON A BI-PARTISAN BASIS, AS WELL.

AGRICULTURE

FINALLY, WHILE SOME OF YOU MAY NOT VIEW YOURSELF AS A "FARM STATE" GOVERNOR, THERE IS NOT A GOVERNOR HERE--OR ANYONE IN YOUR STATE--WHO DOES NOT BENEFIT FROM THE MIRACLE PRODUCED EVERY DAY BY AMERICA'S AGRICULTURE COMMUNITY.

AND ALL OF YOU SHOULD BE AS FRUSTRATED AS I AM WITH THE FILIBUSTER THAT IS BLOCKING PASSAGE OF A FARM BILL.

IF RURAL AMERICA IS TO SURVIVE, IT MUST HAVE A GROWTH ORIENTED FARM POLICY.

THAT MEANS NO LONGER PAYING FARMERS NOT TO PLANT.
IT MEANS ALLOWING FARMERS TO PRODUCE FOR THE MARKET PLACE

AND NOT THE GOVERNMENT.

THESE ARE THE PRINCIPLES OF THE FREEDOM TO FARM LEGISLATION WE ARE TRYING TO PASS IN THE SENATE TODAY.

IN FACT, WE HAVE A VOTE SCHEDULED AROUND 1:00 TODAY--SO IF ANY OF YOU HAVE A FREE MINUTE OR TWO, GIVE YOUR SENATOR A CALL, AND URGE THEM TO GIVE YOUR FARMERS THE CERTAINTY THEY NEED.

LET ME CLOSE BY STATING THAT WHEN I GO HOME AT NIGHT, I WATCH C-SPAN; AND I HAVE ENJOYED WATCHING MANY OF YOUR "STATE OF THE STATE" SPEECHES OVER THE PAST FEW WEEKS.

THESE SPEECHES LEAVE NO DOUBT THAT AMERICA'S GOVERNORS ARE ABOUT ENERGY, CHANGE, LEADERSHIP, AND INNOVATION.

I HEARD THAT IN GOVERNOR WHITMAN'S WELFARE REFORM PROPOSAL IN NEW JERSEY...

I HEARD IT IN GOVERNOR THOMPSON'S CALL FOR AN EDUCATION SYSTEM BASED ON CHOICE, ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT, AND TECHNOLOGY" IN WISCONSIN...

AND I SAW YOUR LEADERSHIP IN THE NO-NONSENSE WAY THAT MANY OF YOU ADDRESSED THE COMING JUVENILE CRIME STORM.

IN YOUR SPEECHES, MANY OF YOU ALSO WENT BEYOND LEGISLATIVE ISSUES, AND SPOKE ABOUT THE VALUES WHICH HAVE MADE THIS COUNTRY GREAT, AND WHICH WE MUST PASS ON TO OUR CHILDREN.

THIS WAS A POINT MADE VERY EFFECTIVELY IN THE STATE OF THE STATE ADDRESS DELIVERED BY GOVERNOR ROMER, WHO SHARED A STORY ABOUT A JOURNAL THAT HIS FATHER KEPT WHEN THE GOVERNOR WAS A BOY.

IN THIS JOURNAL, HIS FATHER DETAILED HOW MUCH YOUNG ROY EARNED AND WHAT HE SPENT. AND EACH DAY HAD A NOTATION LIKE:

"JANUARY 4, 1935; SINGING WELL AT THE CHURCH PROGRAM, 25 CENTS."

"OCTOBER 1, 1938: FOR THINGS HE DID NOT DO--MINUS 25 CENTS. AND GOVERNOR ROMER THREW OUT A CHALLENGE TO THE COLORADO STATE LEGISLATORS--A CHALLENGE YOU AND I SHARE TODAY.

HE SAID AS WE CONDUCT OUR BUSINESS, WE SHOULD SET AN EXAMPLE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS LIKE THE ONE HIS FATHER SET FOR HIM.

AND AS WE REACH DECISIONS ON THE ISSUES OF THE DAY, WE SHOULD IMAGINE THAT A YOUNG CHILD WAS STANDING AT OUR SIDE ASKING THE QUESTION "WHAT KIND OF WORLD ARE YOU CREATING FOR ME?"

IF WE DO OUR JOBS...IF WE KEEP WORKING TOGETHER...IF THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT GETS OUT OF YOUR POCKETS AND OUT OF YOUR WAY, THEN WE WILL CREATE A FUTURE OF WHICH WE AND OUR CHILDREN CAN BE VERY PROUD.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

###

THANK YOU.

I KNOW YOU'VE HAD A LONG
COUPLE OF DAYS OF MEETINGS AND
SPEECHES, SO I THOUGHT I'D LIVEN
THINGS UP BY GIVING MY STATE OF
THE UNION RESPONSE AGAIN.

ACTUALLY, I GOT A MEMO THE DAY
AFTER THAT SPEECH FROM THE
PRESIDENT'S POLITICAL CONSULTANT,
DICK MORRIS.

HE ASSURED ME HIS POLLS SAID
PEOPLE LOVED MY SPEECH, AND TO
KEEP RIGHT ON GIVING IT.

I KNOW I SPEAK FOR ALL
GOVERNORS IN SAYING THAT OUR
BEST WISHES TODAY ARE WITH
PRESIDENT REAGAN, AS HE
CELEBRATES WHAT HE WOULD CALL
THE 46TH ANNIVERSARY OF HIS 39TH
BIRTHDAY.

IN FACT, I'M TEMPTED THIS

MORNING TO LIMIT MY REMARKS TO

JUST ONE LINE THAT PRESIDENT
REAGAN SPOKE TO THIS GATHERING
NINE YEARS AGO.

HE SAID--AND I QUOTE--"THE BEST
THING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
CAN DO FOR THE STATES IS TO GET
OUT OF YOUR POCKETS, AND OUT OF
YOUR WAY."

THAT WAS GOOD ADVICE THEN,

AND IT'S GOOD ADVICE NOW--AND

IT'S PRECISELY WHAT I PROMISED YOU

THIS CONGRESS WOULD TRY TO DO

WHEN I MET WITH YOU LAST JULY IN VERMONT.

I'M PROUD TO SAY THAT DAY

AFTER DAY ON MEASURE AFTER

MEASURE WE HAVE WORKED TO KEEP

THAT PROMISE.

I'M ALSO PROUD TO SAY THAT

NEVER BEFORE IN AMERICAN HISTORY

HAVE A CONGRESS AND THE NATION'S

GOVERNORS WORKED AS CLOSELY

AND AS COOPERATIVELY TOGETHER

AS WE HAVE THIS PAST YEAR.

AND BY WORKING TOGETHER--BY
REPLACING PATERNALISM WITH
PARTNERSHIP--WE HAVE MADE GREAT
PROGRESS FOR AMERICA.

WORKING TOGETHER, WE BURIED
THE UNFUNDED MANDATES THAT
ONCE ALMOST BURIED YOU.

AND WORKING TOGETHER, WE
HAVE CHANGED ENTIRE DEBATES HERE
IN WASHINGTON AND AROUND THE
COUNTRY.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER
THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT OR STATE
GOVERNMENTS WERE BEST ABLE TO
MEET THE NEEDS OF AMERICANS.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR UNANIMOUS
ACCEPTANCE THAT OUR
FOREFATHERS KNEW WHAT THEY
WERE TALKING ABOUT, AND THAT THE
10TH AMENDMENT WAS A PRETTY
GOOD IDEA.

AND THE DEBATE TODAY IS NOT WHETHER POWER SHOULD BE SHIFTED

OUT OF WASHINGTON, IT'S HOW FAST WE SHOULD DO IT.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER
OR NOT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
SHOULD DO AS OUR STATES DO AND
AS AMERICAN FAMILIES DO--AND
BALANCE THE BUDGET.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR-UNANIMOUS

ACCEPTANCE OF WHAT I HAVE BEEN

SAYING FOR A LONG, LONG, TIME-
AND THAT'S THE FACT THAT WE NEED

TO LEAVE OUR CHILDREN THE

AMERICAN DREAM AND NOT THE AMERICAN DEBT.

AND THE QUESTION IS NOT
WHETHER THE BUDGET SHOULD BE
BALANCED, INSTEAD, IT'S HOW IT
SHOULD BE BALANCED.

THE DEBATE USED TO BE WHETHER OR NOT OUR WELFARE SYSTEM WAS WORKING.

NOW, THERE IS NEAR UNANIMOUS

ACCEPTANCE OF THE SAD FACT THAT

OUR WELFARE SYSTEM HAS BETRAYED

THE TAXPAYERS WHO FUND IT, AND THOSE AMERICANS WHO DEPEND ON IT.

AND THE QUESTION IS NOT
WHETHER OUR WELFARE SYSTEM
WORKS, IT'S WHAT NEEDS TO BE
DONE TO TRULY END WELFARE AS WE
KNOW IT.

THESE ARE THE QUESTIONS YOU
HAVE BEEN DISCUSSING THESE PAST
FEW DAYS, AND THEY ARE THE ONES

THAT WILL FACE CONGRESS AND THE PRESIDENT IN THE MONTHS AHEAD. ONE THING I KNOW FOR SURE IS THAT FOR AS MUCH AS WE HAVE COOPERATED THESE PAST MONTHS. **OUR COOPERATION MUST INCREASE IF** WE ARE TO ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS AS THEY MUST BE ANSWERED.

WELFARE REFORM

LET ME BEGIN WITH WELFARE REFORM. MANY OF YOU--INCLUDING **GOVERNORS THOMPSON, CARPER,** AND ENGLER--WORKED ON A DAILY **BASIS WITH US THESE PAST MONTHS** AS CONGRESS PASSED HISTORIC WELFARE REFORM LEGISLATION. WAS THIS LEGISLATION PERFECT? NO.

WAS IT MUCH MUCH BETTER THAN
OUR PRESENT SYSTEM? YES.

WAS IT BASED ON THE CONCEPTS
OF WORK, FAMILY, AND PERSONAL
RESPONSIBILITY? YES.

DID IT RETURN POWER TO OUR STATES? YES.

SHOULD WE GIVE UP BECAUSE
PRESIDENT CLINTON VETOED IT? NO.

WE HAVE COME TOO FAR AND
WORKED TOO HARD TO SIMPLY
THROW UP OUR HANDS AND ALLOW
THE PRESENT SYSTEM TO TRAP A NEW

GENERATION OF AMERICANS IN DESPAIR.

OUR GOAL SHOULD BE A SYSTEM
THAT MAKES SENSE TO YOU.
HOWEVER, HAVING SAID THAT, ANY
AGREEMENT MUST HOLD TRUE TO THE
PRINCIPLES CONTAINED IN THE
LEGISLATION PASSED BY CONGRESS.

BECAUSE WHILE HOW MUCH
MONEY WE SAVE IS VERY IMPORTANT
TO OUR EFFORTS TO BALANCE THE
BUDGET, THE POLICIES WHICH

PROVIDE FOR REAL REFORM IN THIS
ENTITLEMENT PROGRAM ARE EVEN
MORE CRITICAL TO AMERICA'S LONG
TERM FUTURE.

THE WORK REQUIREMENTS MUST
BE REAL. PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY
IS CRITICAL. AND THE PRESERVATION
OF FAMILIES AND THE PROTECTION OF
CHILDREN MUST BE AT ITS CORE.

I UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE MADE
GREAT PROGRESS, AND I LOOK
FORWARD TO HEARING YOUR REPORT.

BALANCED BUDGET

I AM ALSO ANXIOUS TO HEAR
YOUR SUGGESTIONS ON HOW WE CAN
REACH A BALANCED BUDGET
AGREEMENT. HERE, AS WITH
WELFARE REFORM, WE HAVE COME
TOO FAR TO TURN BACK.

THE BIGGEST PARLOR GAME IN
WASHINGTON--BESIDES TRYING TO
FIGURE OUT WHO WROTE "PRIMARY
COLORS--" IS TRYING TO DECIDE
WHETHER OR NOT PRESIDENT CLINTON

WANTS A REAL BALANCED BUDGET AGREEMENT.

WELL, I'M WILLING TO TAKE THE
PRESIDENT AT HIS WORD--EVEN
THOUGH INSTEAD OF BEING A SERIOUS
FISCAL DOCUMENT, THE FY 97
BUDGET HE ANNOUNCED YESTERDAY
IS A 20 PAGE POLITICAL PAMPHLET
THAT DELAYS THE TOUGH DECISIONS
UNTIL THE NEXT CENTURY.

WHILE REPUBLICANS ARE WILLING
TO BE FLEXIBLE, WE HAVE ALSO LEFT

NO DOUBT THAT THERE ARE
PRINCIPLES THAT CAN NOT BE
COMPROMISED, INCLUDING REAL
SPENDING RESTRAINT, REAL
ENTITLEMENT REFORM, AND TAX
RELIEF FOR AMERICAN WORKING
FAMILIES.

MEDICAID

PRESIDENT CLINTON STAKED A

GREAT DEAL OF HIS OPPOSITION TO

OUR BALANCED BUDGET RESOLUTION

ON THE PROPOSED REFORMS IN
MEDICAID, AND HIS OFTEN STATED
BELIEF THAT THE STATES WOULD BE
TEMPTED TO PARTICIPATE IN A SOCALLED "RACE TO THE BOTTOM."

I DISAGREED WITH THAT PREMISE
THEN, AND I DISAGREE WITH IT NOW.

THIS IS NOT A DEBATE ABOUT

COMPASSION AND WHO CARES MORE

ABOUT THE MOST VULNERABLE IN OUR

SOCIETY. IT IS A DEBATE ABOUT WHO

CAN BEST CARE FOR THEM.

AS WAS THE CASE WITH WELFARE,
WE TURNED TO YOU TO HELP US
DESIGN A SOLUTION THAT BRIDGES
OUR DIFFERENCES WITHOUT
COMPROMISING OUR PRINCIPLES.

I CONTINUE TO BELIEVE THAT OUR
TRUST IS WELL PLACED IN OUR
STATES--AND IN YOU, OUR NATION'S
GOVERNORS.

GOVERNORS LEAVITT, THOMPSON,
ENGLER, CHILES, MILLER, ROMER, AND
MANY OTHERS OF YOU HAVE

COMMITTED WEEKS AND MONTHS TO THESE CONVERSATIONS, AND ARE TO BE CONGRATULATED AND THANKED FOR YOUR EFFORTS.

I AM DELIGHTED AT WHAT

APPEARS TO BE A PROPOSAL THAT

PROTECTS WOMEN, CHILDREN, THE

ELDERLY, AND THE DISABLED, WHILE

GIVING YOU THE FLEXIBILITY YOU

NEED AND DESERVE.

OUR GOAL HAS ALWAYS BEEN NOT ONLY TO SAVE MONEY IN A

RESPONSIBLE WAY, BUT ALSO TO
DESIGN A PROGRAM THAT WILL TRULY
SERVE THE CITIZENS OF YOUR STATE.

I HAVE BEEN BRIEFED ON THE
BROAD OUTLINES OF YOUR PROPOSAL,
AND BELIEVE IT HOLDS GREAT
PROMISE.

MEDICARE

EVEN THOUGH WE HAVE AGREED
THAT SAVING MEDICARE FROM
BANKRUPTCY IS LARGELY A FEDERAL

RESPONSIBILITY, I KNOW YOU WERE
AS DISTURBED AS I WAS YESTERDAY
TO HEAR THE NEWS THAT MEDICARE'S
INSOLVENCY IS EVEN CLOSER THAN
PREVIOUSLY PREDICTED.

AS WITH WELFARE REFORM AND MEDICAID REFORM, SIMPLE TINKERING IS NOT ENOUGH.

TAKES YESTERDAY'S REPORT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE BEYOND THE RHETORIC OF THE PAST MONTHS, AND

WORK WITH US TO PRESERVE AND STRENGTHEN MEDICARE FOR THE GENERATIONS TO COME.

UNDER PRESIDENT REAGAN'S

LEADERSHIP, WE SAVED SOCIAL

SECURITY ON A BI-PARTISAN BASIS IN

1983, AND THERE'S NO REASON WHY

WE CAN'T SAVE MEDICARE ON A BI
PARTISAN BASIS, AS WELL.

AGRICULTURE

FINALLY, WHILE SOME OF YOU MAY

NOT VIEW YOURSELF AS A "FARM

STATE" GOVERNOR, THERE IS NOT A

GOVERNOR HERE--OR ANYONE IN YOUR

STATE--WHO DOES NOT BENEFIT FROM

THE MIRACLE PRODUCED EVERY DAY

BY AMERICA'S AGRICULTURE

COMMUNITY.

AND ALL OF YOU SHOULD BE AS FRUSTRATED AS I AM WITH THE

FILIBUSTER THAT IS BLOCKING PASSAGE OF A FARM BILL.

IF RURAL AMERICA IS TO SURVIVE,
IT MUST HAVE A GROWTH ORIENTED
FARM POLICY.

THAT MEANS NO LONGER PAYING FARMERS NOT TO PLANT.

IT MEANS ALLOWING FARMERS TO PRODUCE FOR THE MARKET PLACE AND NOT THE GOVERNMENT.

THESE ARE THE PRINCIPLES OF THE FREEDOM TO FARM LEGISLATION WE

ARE TRYING TO PASS IN THE SENATE TODAY.

IN FACT, WE HAVE A VOTE

SCHEDULED AROUND 1:00 TODAY--SO

IF ANY OF YOU HAVE A FREE MINUTE

OR TWO, GIVE YOUR SENATOR A

CALL, AND URGE THEM TO GIVE YOUR

FARMERS THE CERTAINTY THEY NEED.

LET ME CLOSE BY STATING THAT
WHEN I GO HOME AT NIGHT, I WATCH
C-SPAN; AND I HAVE ENJOYED
WATCHING MANY OF

YOUR "STATE OF THE STATE"

SPEECHES OVER THE PAST FEW
WEEKS.

THESE SPEECHES LEAVE NO DOUBT THAT AMERICA'S GOVERNORS ARE ABOUT ENERGY, CHANGE, LEADERSHIP, AND INNOVATION. I HEARD THAT IN GOVERNOR WHITMAN'S WELFARE REFORM PROPOSAL IN NEW JERSEY I HEARD IT IN GOVERNOR THOMPSON'S CALL FOR AN

EDUCATION SYSTEM BASED ON
CHOICE, ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT,
AND TECHNOLOGY" IN WISCONSIN...

AND I SAW YOUR LEADERSHIP IN
THE NO-NONSENSE WAY THAT MANY
OF YOU ADDRESSED THE COMING
JUVENILE CRIME STORM.

IN YOUR SPEECHES, MANY OF YOU
ALSO WENT BEYOND LEGISLATIVE
ISSUES, AND SPOKE ABOUT THE
VALUES WHICH HAVE MADE THIS
COUNTRY GREAT, AND WHICH WE

MUST PASS ON TO OUR CHILDREN.

THIS WAS A POINT MADE VERY
EFFECTIVELY IN THE STATE OF THE
STATE ADDRESS DELIVERED BY
GOVERNOR ROMER, WHO SHARED A
STORY ABOUT A JOURNAL THAT HIS
FATHER KEPT WHEN THE GOVERNOR
WAS A BOY.

IN THIS JOURNAL, HIS FATHER
DETAILED HOW MUCH YOUNG ROY
EARNED AND WHAT HE SPENT. AND
EACH DAY HAD A NOTATION LIKE:

"JANUARY 4, 1935; SINGING WELL
AT THE CHURCH PROGRAM, 25
CENTS."

"OCTOBER 1, 1938: FOR THINGS HE DID NOT DO--MINUS 25 CENTS.

AND GOVERNOR ROMER THREW
OUT A CHALLENGE TO THE COLORADO
STATE LEGISLATORS--A CHALLENGE
YOU AND I SHARE TODAY.

HE SAID AS WE CONDUCT OUR
BUSINESS, WE SHOULD SET AN
EXAMPLE FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS

LIKE THE ONE HIS FATHER SET FOR HIM.

AND AS WE REACH DECISIONS ON
THE ISSUES OF THE DAY, WE SHOULD
IMAGINE THAT A YOUNG CHILD WAS
STANDING AT OUR SIDE ASKING THE
QUESTION "WHAT KIND OF WORLD
ARE YOU CREATING FOR ME?"

IF WE DO OUR JOBS...IF WE KEEP
WORKING TOGETHER...IF THE FEDERAL
GOVERNMENT GETS OUT OF YOUR
POCKETS AND OUT OF YOUR WAY...

THEN I AM CONFIDENT WE WILL
SUCCEED IN CREATING A FUTURE OF
WHICH WE AND OUR CHILDREN CAN
BE VERY PROUD.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH.