TO: Senator Dole

FR: Kerry

RE: National Grocers Association

Sunday June 11 Mayflower Hotel

\*Meeting of 250-300 leaders of the retail and wholesale grocery industry from across the country.

\*They have requested that you speak for 15-20 minutes on legislative agenda, and the outlook for the business community. They also indicated that you should feel free to talk about 1996.

\*They specifically suggested that you mention regulatory reform, tax reform, and striker replacement.

\*They also requested a brief Q&A session, if time allows.



wesday-June13-7:30 a.n

By FAX (202) 224-4569

National Grocers Association. [Sunday, June 11 - 4 pm, 8. Monday, June 12, 9:30 am, Tuesday, June 13, 7:30 am, 619.95 Mayflower]

honorarium

Assoc. national conference

expect 500 retail and wholesale grdcers

Recommendation: Yes, recommend 9:30 am Monday, June 12

Dear Senator Dole:

On behalf of the board of directors and members of the National Grocers Association (N.G.A.), it is my pleasure to invite you to be a keynote speaker at N.G.A.'s 1995 Washington Conference, June 11-14, 1995.

The theme of this year's conference is "An Agenda for Reform --Opportunity and Responsibility." This theme reflects the optimism of the N.G.A. members regarding the changing political landscape under the new Republican leadership of the 104th Congress. N.G.A. represents retail and wholesale grocers who comprise the independent sector of the food distribution industry. Many are owners or operators of small businesses who have struggled for generations under a mountain of federal regulation and are concerned about their future because of the increasing federal debt. They will be receptive to your views on the outlook for American business under the new leadership.

Specifically, we have scheduled general session programs at the Mayflower Hotel for Sunday, June 1 at 4:00 p.m., Monday, June 12 at 9:30 a.m., and Tuesday, June 13 at 7:30 a.m. We would welcome your participation as a keynote speaker at any of these times. We hope you will share your outlook on the "Agenda for Reform" and speak of your expectations regarding the upcoming Presidential election. We invite you to speak for 20 to 30 minutes and hope you will agree to accept a few questions following your presentation.

In June, approximately 500 retail and wholesale grocers from across the country will come to Washington for the N.G.A. conference, specifically for the purpose of meeting with members of Congress to discuss public policy issues affecting their business operations. You will speak to an audience comprised of CEOs of retail and wholesale grocery companies and representatives from major food manufacturers from all 50 states, including many from Kansas. sim lotter

Page Two March 30, 1995

Please ask Yvonne to contact Peggy Rochette, director of government relations at N.G.A. to confirm this engagement or to provide you with any additional information that you may require. We would be pleased to provide you with transportation to the Mayflower or any special accommodation to facilitate this engagement. In addition, N.G.A. offers an appropriate honorarium to your designated charity.

Thank you in advance for your participation. The members of the National Grocers Association would be honored to have you with us.

Sincerely,

Thomas F. Wenning

Senior Vice President and General Counsel

## SENATOR BOB DOLE NATIONAL GROCERS ASSOCIATION JUNE 11, 1995

THANK YOU, AND WELCOME TO WASHINGTON. AS YOU MIGHT IMAGINE FROM TODAY, BEFORE THE INVENTION OF AIR CONDITIONING, WASHINGTON, D.C. WAS PRETTY INTOLERABLE IN THE SUMMER.

IN FACT, I'M TOLD THAT BACK
THEN, WASHINGTON PRETTY MUCH

SHUT DOWN IN JUNE, AND CONGRESS WAS SENT HOME FOR THREE MONTHS.

AFTER AIR CONDITIONING, HOWEVER, CONGRESS BEGAN TO STICK AROUND FOR MUCH OF THE SUMMER. AND FOR A LOT OF YEARS WAS ONE OF THOSE WHO THOUGHT THE INVENTION OF AIR CONDITIONING DID GREAT DAMAGE TO AMERICA, BECAUSE TAXPAYERS AND SMALL BUSINESSMEN AND WOMEN WERE A LOT SAFER WHEN CONGRESS WASN'T IN TOWN.

BUT LAST NOVEMBER, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE GAVE REPUBLICANS CONTROL **CONGRESS FOR THE FIRST TIME IN 40** YEARS. AND NOW I THINK AIR CONDITIONING WAS A GOOD IDEA. **BECAUSE INSTEAD OF PASSING BILLS** THAT INCREASE THE DEFICIT, RAISE YOUR TAXES, AND ADD STILL MORE
MANDATES AND REGULATIONS, THIS
HISTORIC REPUBLICAN CONGRESS IS
DOING JUST THE OPPOSITE.

## **LEGISLATIVE AGENDA**

WE'RE WORKING TO BALANCE THE BUDGET AND LOWER THE DEFICIT.
WE'RE WORKING TO REDUCE YOUR TAXES. WE'RE WORKING TO CUT DOWN REGULATIONS AND MANDATES. IN SHORT, OUR MISSION

IS TO REIN IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND TO RETURN POWER TO THE LOCAL LEVELS AND POWER TO THE PEOPLE.

AND IF WE SUCCEED IN DOING THAT, THEN I DON'T THINK THE AMERICAN PUBLIC WOULD MIND IF WE STAYED IN SESSION FOR ALL TWELVE MONTHS OF THE YEAR.

WE'RE NOW INTO OUR SIXTH
MONTH, AND WE HAVE ALREADY

ACCOMPLISHED A GREAT DEAL IN
KEEPING OUR PROMISES TO THE
AMERICAN PEOPLE.

THE FIRST THING WE DID WAS TO ENSURE ALL LAWS WE IMPOSE ON YOU WOULD ALSO BE IMPOSED ON CONGRESS.

AND THEN WE BROUGHT AN END
TO THE PRACTICE OF GOVERNMENT
PASSING ALONG MANDATES TO
STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

WITHOUT PASSING ALONG ANY MONEY TO PAY FOR THEM. YOU KNOW WHAT HAPPENED THEN. THE STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT EITHER PASSED THE COSTS ON TO BUSINESSES, OR THEY INCREASED YOUR TAXES. AND THE **BOTTOM LINE IS THAT A MANDATE IS NOTHING MORE THAN A TAX. BUT THANKS TO SENATE BILL 1--I** 

BUT THANKS TO SENATE BILL 1--I
ASSIGNED IT THE FIRST NUMBER,

BECAUSE I THOUGHT IT SHOULD BE
OUR TOP PRIORITY--UNFUNDED
MANDATES ARE NOW A THING OF
THE PAST.

AFTER THAT, WE MOVED ON TO THE LINE ITEM VETO, AND AFTER YEARS AND YEARS OF TRYING, WE PASSED THAT, AS WELL.

AND THEN CAME THE BALANCED
BUDGET AMENDMENT. AND IN THE
SENATE, WE FELL ONE VOTE SHORT

OF SENDING THE AMENDMENT TO THE STATES FOR RATIFICATION.

AND PRESIDENT CLINTON AND A
LOT OF LIBERAL DEMOCRATS WHO
OPPOSED THE AMENDMENT, SAID
THAT IF REPUBLICANS WERE SERIOUS
ABOUT BALANCING THE BUDGET,
THEY'D LAY OUT A PLAN TO DO IT.

AND THAT'S JUST WHAT WE DID.

LAST MONTH, IN HISTORIC VOTES IN

THE HOUSE AND SENATE, WE

PASSED PLANS THAT WILL BALANCE
THE BUDGET IN THE YEAR 2002.

AND NOW A HOUSE-SENATE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE IS WORKING ON THE DIFFERENCE IN THE BUDGETS--AND THERE WERE A FEW DIFFERENCES.

BUT FAR MORE IMPORTANT THAN
THE DIFFERENCES IS WHAT THE
BUDGETS HAD IN COMMON.

AS I SAID, THEY BOTH BALANCE
THE BUDGET BY 2002 BY SLOWING
THE RATE OF GROWTH OF
GOVERNMENT SPENDING.

THEY BOTH ELIMINATE WASTEFUL,

INEFFECTIVE GOVERNMENT

PROGRAMS.

THEY BOTH PRESERVE, IMPROVE, AND PROTECT MEDICARE.

THEY BOTH DON'T TOUCH SOCIAL SECURITY.

AND THEY BOTH PROVIDE FUNDS

FOR TAX RELIEF AMERICANS YOU

NEED AND RESERVE. TAX RELIEF

LIKE A \$500 PER CHILD TAX CREDIT

FOR AMERICA'S FAMILIES. TAX

RELIEF LIKE CAPITAL GAINS TAX

REDUCTION.

AND I WILL ALSO BE WORKING IN
THE WEEKS AND MONTHS AHEAD TO
REDUCE THE ESTATE TAX, WHICH IS

SO UNFAIR FOR FAMILY BUSINESSES AND FAMILY FARMS.

NO DOUBT ABOUT IT, WE'VE DONE
QUITE A LOT THESE FIRST SIX
MONTHS. AND I WANT TO THANK
TOM ZOCKA, YOUR PRESIDENT AND
CEO, FOR ALL THE SUPPORT THE
NGA HAS PROVIDED TO OUR
EFFORTS.

WAS A STRONG VOICE FOR CHANGE,

AND A STRONG VOICE AGAINST THE STATUS QUO.

AND WE'RE GOING TO NEED YOUR
HELP IN THE MONTHS TO COME.
WE'RE OFF TO A GOOD START, BUT
WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK TO DO.

BECAUSE OF THEIR RULES, THE HOUSE CAN MOVE QUICKER THAN THE SENATE, SO WE HAVE A LITTLE CATCHING UP TO DO.

NEXT WEEK, WE WILL MOVE TO WELFARE REFORM. AND OUR GOAL THERE IS TO GIVE OUR STATES AS MUCH FLEXIBILITY AS POSSIBLE, AND TO CREATE A SYSTEM THAT PROMOTES HARD WORK. WE DON'T WANT TO ELIMINATE THE SAFETY NET. BUT WE DON'T WANT IT TO BECOME A HAMMOCK, EITHER.

## **REGULATORY REFORM**

AND SOON WE'LL ALSO BEGIN FINAL ACTION ON AN ISSUE OF GREAT IMPORTANCE TO THE NGA--REGULATORY REFORM.

IF YOU BELIEVE THE RHETORIC COMING OUT OF THE WHITE HOUSE, YOU WOULD THINK THAT IF REGULATORY REFORM IS ADOPTED, OUR WORKPLACES WOULD BECOME DEATH TRAPS, AND OUR

**ENVIRONMENT WOULD BE POLLUTED.** 

THE FACT IS THAT REGULATIONS
HAVE ALLOWED US TO MAKE
ENORMOUS PROGRESS IN MAKING
OUR WORKPLACES SAFER AND OUR
ENVIRONMENT CLEANER.

BUT WE'VE NOW REACHED THE POINT WHERE COUNTLESS REGULATIONS JUST DON'T MAKE SENSE. THEIR BENEFITS ARE OFTEN MINIMAL AT BEST, AND THEIR COSTS

ARE GREAT. IN FACT, ESTIMATES

ARE THAT GOVERNMENT

REGULATIONS NOW COST A TYPICAL

FAMILY NEARLY \$6,000 A YEAR.

AND THEY COST THE U.S. ECONOMY

\$581 BILLION A YEAR.

AND WHO ARE THE LOSERS IN THE GOVERNMENT'S RUSH TO REGULATE? WE ALL ARE.

THE ENVIRONMENT LOSES THE GREATER PROTECTION THAT RISK

ASSESSMENT AND COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS WOULD PROVIDE.

THE ECONOMY LOSES BECAUSE
THE HIGH COST OF REGULATIONS
WEAKEN OUR INTERNATIONAL
COMPETITIVENESS, TRANSLATING
INTO LOST JOBS AND LOST
PRODUCTIVITY.

BUSINESS LOSES THE TALENT,
TIME, AND RESOURCES OF WORKERS

FORCED TO DEAL WITH MOUNTAINS
OF PAPERWORK.

AND CONSUMERS LOSE BECAUSE
BUSINESSES ARE FORCED TO PASS
ALONG THE COSTS OF DEALING WITH
REGULATIONS.

THE HOUSE PASSED A STRONG
REGULATORY REFORM MEASURE BY
A NEAR VETO-PROOF MAJORITY
BACK IN FEBRUARY.

THE SENATE BILL MIRRORS THE HOUSE BILL IN MANY WAYS, AND ADDS A PROVISION THAT WOULD ALLOW EXISTING REGULATIONS TO BE REVIEWED UNDER COST-BENEFIT ANALYSES. BOTH BILLS WOULD PROMOTE THE MOST FAR-REACHING AND SENSIBLE REFORMS OF THE REGULATORY STATE EVER ATTEMPTED.

**BOTH BILLS ARE WORTH FIGHTING** FOR, AND I HOPE YOU WILL DO **EVERYTHING YOU CAN TO ENSURE** THAT MEMBERS FROM YOUR STATES UNDERSTAND HOW IMPORTANT REGULATORY REFORM IS TO YOU AND TO AMERICA.

## STRIKER REPLACEMENT

KNOW ANOTHER ISSUE OF **GREAT INTEREST TO YOU IS STRIKER** REPLACEMENT. WHEN THE

DEMOCRATS HAD CONTROL OF CONGRESS, THEY TRIED EVERYTHING THEY COULD TO PASS LEGISLATION PROHIBITING EMPLOYERS FROM HIRING PERMANENT WORKERS DURING A STRIKE. FORTUNATELY, REPUBLICANS IN THE SENATE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN ABLE TO PREVENT THE BILL FROM PASSING. AND FOR A SIMPLE REASON. IT'S A LOUSY IDEA.

SINCE THE BIG LABOR UNIONS KNEW STRIKER REPLACEMENT LEGISLATION WAS DEAD IN THIS CONGRESS THEY WENT STRAIGHT TO PRESIDENT CLINTON, AND, AS YOU KNOW, HE SIGNED AN EXECUTIVE ORDER PROHIBITING COMPANIES WITH FEDERAL CONTRACTS OF OVER \$100,000 FROM HIRING PERMANENT REPLACEMENTS FOR STRIKING WORKERS.

IN EFFECT, THE PRESIDENT IS TRYING TO DICTATE FEDERAL LABOR LAW BY OVERTURNING EXISTING LAW AND CONGRESSIONAL DECISIONS. AND HE'S DOING SO WITH AN EXECUTIVE ORDER THAT THE ECONOMIC POLICY FOUNDATION CONCLUDES WILL ANNUALLY COST OUR ECONOMY BETWEEN \$520 MILLION AND \$2 BILLION.

THERE ARE TWO WAYS TO OVERTURN THIS ILL-ADVISED **EXECUTIVE ORDER. THE FIRST IS TO** DO IT BY LEGISLATION. AND, IN THE SENATE, WE WOULD NEED TO HAVE 60 VOTES NECESSARY TO STOP A DEMOCRAT FILIBUSTER. WE NOW HAVE 59 COMMITTED VOTES. SO. WHEN YOU'RE UP ON THE HILL THIS WEEK, BE SURE AND MENTION THIS ISSUE TO YOUR SENATOR -- ESPECIALLY IF HE OR SHE IS A DEMOCRAT.

AND THE SECOND WAY TO OVERTURN THIS ORDER IS TO DO IT THROUGH ANOTHER EXECUTIVE ORDER. AND THE ONLY WAY THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN IS IF WE ELECT A NEW PRESIDENT IN 1996.

AND I THINK I KNOW JUST THE MAN FOR THE JOB.

A WORD OR TWO ABOUT A SPEECH I GAVE IN HOLLYWOOD LAST WEEK.
THERE HAS BEEN A GREAT DEAL WRITTEN AND SAID ABOUT MY REMARKS--A LOT OF IT MISSING THE POINT.

AND WOULD NEVER SUPPORT
ANYTHING THAT SMACKS OF "BIG
BROTHERISM." NOR DID I SAY THAT

OUR GROWING SOCIAL PROBLEMS
ARE ENTIRELY HOLLYWOOD'S FAULT.
CLEARLY, THEY ARE NOT.

WHAT I WAS SAYING IS THAT IN EXPOSING OUR CHILDREN TO CERTAIN IMAGES AND CERTAIN LYRICS, SOME CORPORATIONS HAVE CROSSED THE LINE IN PUTTING PROFITS AHEAD OF HUMAN DIGNITY AND DECENCY.

AND I SIMPLY SUGGESTED THAT
THESE CORPORATIONS CONSIDER
THE IMPACT THEIR PRODUCTS HAVE
ON OUR SOCIETY, AND TO CONSIDER
PUTTING THE INNOCENCE OF OUR
CHILDREN ABOVE THEIR FINANCIAL
BOTTOM LINE.

IT'S BEEN A PRIVILEGE TO JOIN
YOU TODAY, AND I HOPE YOUR
CONFERENCE IS A REWARDING ONE.