This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

May 14, 1995

TO:

SENATOR DOLES

FROM:

ADO MACHIDA

SUBJECT:

INDEPENDENT INSURANCE AGENTS OF AMERICA (IIAA)

It is my understanding that you will be speaking to the members of the Insurance Agents of America tomorrow, May 17, 1995. This group's main concern is:

GLASS-STEAGALL REFORM

Two bills are already introduced -- H.R. 1062 by Congressman Leach of the House Banking Committee; and S. 337, by Senator D'Amato of the Senate Banking Committee (Administration's plan is still in conception -- although they are in favor of Glass-Steagall Reform, no formal proposal has been sent to Congress). H.R. 1062 was marked-up by the House Banking Committee last week, and awaits mark-up by the House Energy and Commerce Committee (House-side had a joint committee referral). Senator D'Amato has not yet scheduled any hearing on his bill. It is my understanding that he will wait until a bill is passed in the House.

Both Congressman Leach's bill and Senator D'Amato's bill reform the Glass-Steagall Act and will allow commercial banks to participate in the securities and, possibly, insurance industries, which are currently prohibited. Senator D'Amato's bill is more far-reaching than Congressman Leach's bill.

IIAA Position

The IIAA is opposed to either bill -- they are concerned that some form of "insurance affiliation language" will be included in the bill. Allowing banks to participate in the insurance industry is equivalent to allowing an unfair competitive advantage to banks, in IIAA's view -- "Banks will have immediate access to who needs insurance for a car or a house through their car and home lending side. Insurance agents have to create their own clientele without the benefit of knowing who just got a car loan."

Chairman Leach has already voiced his opposition for any "insurance affiliation" amendments. Chairman Bliley of the House Energy and Commerce Committee has already expressed that he does not want to "deal" with the issue in his committee (Congressman Bliley has his own bill -- H.R. 1317 that simply reaffirms the preeminence of State law in all insurance matters). Senator D'Amato has expressed some flexibility on this issue when it comes to the Senate.

Plan of Action

IIAA will most likely ask that the Bliley bill be incorporated in the Leach bill. What will happen in the Senate, however, is less known. Regardless, I strongly believe that some for of Glass-Steagall reform will pass Congress.

On this issue, your position has been of "cautious neutrality." Glass-Steagall Reform is good for the financial community and more importantly, the end-user, the consumer. "One-stopshopping" for all financial products is the wave of the future. However, you are very much in favor of States' rights. On the "insurance" question as it relates to Glass-Steagall reform, you should not have any problem in paralleling Blilely's position.

TO: Senator Dole

FR: Kerry

RE: Independent Insurance Agents of America

*Remarks before approximately 600 independent insurance agents representing all 50 states.

*Invitation sent by their IIAA Vice President Bob Rusbuldt, who asked that you mention his support of you in your remarks.

*You will be introduced by Charles Hostetler from Manhattan, Kansas. He is a long time supporter of yours. Upon completion of your speech, Robert Kerr of the Kansas Association of Insurance Agents will present you with a gift.

*Remarks on national issues such as the budget outlook were requested.

- *Issues of specific interest
- *Financial Services Reform
- *Superfund
- *Civil Justice Reform
- *Remarks on those topics were submitted by Ado, Janet, and Kyle and are in talking points.

Independent Insurance Agents of America



CAPITOL HILL OFFICE SUITE 300 412 FIRST STREET, S.E. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20003

202/863-7000 FAX 202/863-7015

MEMORANDUM

May 12, 1995

TO:

Majority Leader Bob Dole

FROM:

Bob Rusbuldt, Vice President, Federal Affairs

RE:

Address at the National Legislative Conference of IIAA on

Wednesday, May 17

Thanks again for agreeing to address my Legislative Conference. Following is some information regarding your speech:

Date and Time:

Wednesday, May 17, 8:30 a.m.

Location:

Grand Hyatt Hotel 1000 H Street, NW

(10th & H Streets, N.W.)

202-582-1234

Logistics:

The breakfast begins at 8:00 a.m., and your speech begins at 8:30. Please feel free to join us for the breakfast, or you may arrive at 8:25, just prior to your speech. You will be met at the front entrance of the Grand Hyatt by Phil Anderson, IIAA's Senior Washington Representative, who will escort you to the Constitution Ballroom. Your car and driver can wait for you at the front entrance. I will be the first person you see and meet at the head table. The podium will be situated in the middle of the head table. Sitting on your left will be Harlan Miller, President of IIAA, who is from California.

Introduction:

You will be introduced by Charles Hostetler from

Manhattan, Kansas. He is a long time and strong supporter

of yours.

Speech:

I believe this audience of 600 small business owners from all 50 states is a good forum for the announcement of a new policy or political initiative. The agents of course want to hear your thoughts on all the salient issues -i.e. the budget outlook, and other national issues. The specific insurance issues of major importance are:

Page 2 May 12, 1995

Ships Into

1) Financial Services Reform: IIAA opposes bills, such as the one introduced by Sen. D'Amato, that would preempt state insurance laws. IIAA wants Congress to clarify that unelected federal regulators cannot preempt state insurance laws.

- 2) Superfund: IIAA supports true Superfund reform that eliminates retroactive liability.
- 3) Civil Justice Reform: IIAA supports the House version of liability reform, and applauds your efforts to broaden the Senate bill.

Following Speech:

Upon completion of your speech, Robert Kerr of the Kansas Association of Insurance Agents will present you with a gift on behalf of the Kansas agents.

Press:

The speech is open to the press. C-Span, the <u>Wall Street</u> <u>Journal</u>, <u>New York Times</u>, the <u>Washington Post</u> and others have already stated they plan to cover your speech.

Miscellaneous:

Addressing our Conference on Tuesday morning are Newt Gingrich and Tom Daschle. Also, Rep. John Boehner will address my national leadership at a Friday luncheon before your speech. Finally, the Kansas agents will be sitting at the front tables during your speech.

Thanks again Mr. Leader. As always, let me know if I can help. (Note: any mention in your remarks of my personal help and involvement in your campaign effort and Republican causes would be appreciated -- and mutually beneficial. I have been helping my former boss Carroll Campbell on your presidential campaign efforts. My leadership knows that I am committed to the Dole campaign.

*THANK YOU, CHARLES, FOR
THAT GENEROUS INTRODUCTION.
IT'S GREAT TO SEE YOU--AND SO
MANY OTHER KANSANS--HERE THIS
MORNING.

*I KNOW YOU'VE BEEN HERE A
FEW DAYS, AND HEARD QUITE A FEW
SPEECHES--INCLUDING ONES FROM
SPEAKER GINGRICH AND SENATOR
DASCHLE YESTERDAY.

*SO, I'LL TRY AND KEEP MY REMARKS BRIEF, AND THEN TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO HEAR YOUR THOUGHTS AND CONCERNS.

*I DO WANT TO THANK MY
FRIEND BOB RUSBULDT, YOUR VICE
PRESIDENT FOR FEDERAL AFFAIRS,
FOR INVITING ME THIS MORNING,
AND WHO DOES A GREAT JOB FOR
YOU. BOB AND I AGREE ON MANY
ISSUES, INCLUDING, I'M PROUD TO

SAY, WHO WE THINK SHOULD BE THE REPUBLICAN NOMINEE FOR PRESIDENT.

***YOU PICKED A VERY EXCITING** TIME TO BE IN WASHINGTON, D.C. **EXCITING BECAUSE FOR THE FIRST** TIME IN 40 YEARS, THE REPUBLICANS HAVE MAJORITIES IN THE HOUSE AND SENATE. AND EXCITING BECAUSE WE ARE USING OUR MAJORITIES TO TRULY CHANGE GOVERNMENT, TO CHANGE THE STATUS QUO, AND TO CHANGE GOVERNMENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARD SMALL BUSINESSMEN AND WOMEN AND TOWARD THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

*A FORMER GOVERNOR OF KANSAS, THE GREAT ALF LANDON, ONCE SAID, "THERE ARE SOME SMART PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON, D.C. THERE ARE MORE OF 'EM IN

KANSAS. AND I ADD THE SAME IS
TRUE FOR THE OTHER 49 STATES AS
WELL.

*THE FACT IS THAT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT DOESN'T KNOW BEST. AND FROM EDUCATION TO WELFARE, MY MISSION AS MAJORITY LEADER IS TO RETURN POWER TO THE STATES AND TO THE PEOPLE.

*SOME THINK THAT'S A
REVOLUTIONARY NEW IDEA. BUT

IT'S BEEN AROUND SINCE THE AMERICAN REVOLUTION. YOU CAN FIND IT IN THE 10TH AMENDMENT, WHICH I CARRY AROUND WITH ME WHEREVER I GO.

*I THINK IT'S HIGH TIME WE DUSTED OFF THE 10TH AMENDMENT AND RESTORED IT TO THE CONSTITUTION. WE GOT A GOOD START BY PASSING LEGISLATION TO PUT AN END TO UNFUNDED

MANDATES. BUT WE STILL HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO.

FEDERAL BUDGET

*AND THE BIG, BIG, BATTLE WILL OCCUR IN THE COMING WEEKS AND MONTHS AS WE DEBATE THE FEDERAL BUDGET.

*WHEN PRESIDENT CLINTON AND
THE DEMOCRATS DID ALL THEY
COULD TO DEFEAT THE BALANCED

BUDGET AMENDMENT, THEY CALLED IT A GIMMICK, AND CHALLENGED REPUBLICANS TO LAY OUT A PLAN THAT BALANCED THE BUDGET.

*AND NOW, WE'VE DONE IT. BOTH
THE HOUSE AND SENATE BUDGET
COMMITTEES HAVE PUT TOGETHER
HISTORIC PLANS THAT WILL STOP
THE MORTGAGING OF OUR
CHILDREN'S AND GRANDCHILDREN'S
FUTURE, AND THAT WILL BALANCE

THE BUDGET BY THE YEAR 2002.

*AND THE RESPONSE FROM THE WHITE HOUSE--WHICH ONLY A FEW MONTHS AGO SAID THAT BALANCING THE BUDGET WAS A TOP PRIORITY--WAS TO GO AWOL--ABSENT WITHOUT LEADERSHIP.

*THEY PUT FORWARD A PLAN
THAT WILL RESULT IN \$200 MILLION
DEFICITS FOR AS FAR AS THE EYE
CAN SEE. BUT THEY'RE NOT

SPENDING ANYTIME PUSHING THAT PLAN...INSTEAD, THEY'RE SITTING ON THE SIDELINES, THROWING ROCKS AT THE REPUBLICAN PLAN, AND TRYING TO SCARE THE AMERICAN PUBLIC.

*THE FACT IS--AND WE'RE GOING
TO HAVE REPEAT IT AGAIN AND
A G A I N TO C O M B A T T H E
FALSEHOODS THE DEMOCRATS ARE
SPREADING--THAT REPUBLICAN

PLANS DO NOT CUT SPENDING IN MANY SENSITIVE AREAS LIKE MEDICARE--THEY JUST SLOW THE RATE OF SPENDING GROWTH FROM 5% A YEAR TO 3%.

*AND ONLY IN WASHINGTON, D.C.
IS A "CUT" DEFINED AS SOMETHING
WHERE YOU WILL GET MORE MONEY
NEXT YEAR, THAN YOU DID THE
PREVIOUS ONE.

CIVIL JUSTICE REFORM

*I ALSO WANT TO THANK THIS GROUP FOR YOUR SUPPORT OF HOUSE AND SENATE REPUBLICAN EFFORTS TO REFORM OUR CIVIL JUSTICE SYSTEM. I ESPECIALLY APPRECIATE YOUR SUPPORT FOR MY AMENDMENT TO OFFER PROTECTION FROM OUTRAGEOUS PUNITIVE DAMAGE AWARDS TO ALL AMERICANS.

*WE HAD GREAT SUCCESS IN THE HOUSE, AND MORE LIMITED SUCCESS IN THE SENATE, AND ARE HOPEFUL WE CAN GAIN SOME ADDITIONAL GROUND IN THE CONFERENCE COMMITTEE.

*IT WILL BE A TOUGH FIGHT,
HOWEVER, AS THE AMERICAN TRIAL
LAWYERS ASSOCIATION HAS A LOT
OF FRIENDS ON CAPITOL HILL. THEY
ARE SPENDING \$20 MILLION IN A

CAMPAIGN TO CONVINCE
AMERICANS THAT THOSE WHO
WANT TO REFORM OUR CIVIL
JUSTICE SYSTEM WANT TO LET
WRONGDOERS OFF THE HOOK.

*IT'S A SEXY ACCUSATION
THAT'S SURE TO GET LOTS OF NEWS.
BUT YOU AND I KNOW IT'S
COMPLETE AND TOTALLY FALSE.

*THE FACT IS THAT IT'S SMALL
BUSINESSMEN AND WOMEN...AND

14

WHO ARE HURT MOST BY LAW SUIT ABUSE, THROUGH HIGHER PRICES AND LOST JOBS.

FINANCIAL SERVICES REFORM:

*I ALSO KNOW THAT YOU SOME
BIG CONCERNS WITH THE FINANCIAL
SERVICES REFORM LEGISLATION
THAT CONGRESSMAN LEACH AND
SENATOR D'AMATO ARE MOVING
THROUGH THE HOUSE AND SENATE.

*THE HOUSE BANKING COMMITTEE HAS ALREADY PASSED CHAIRMAN LEACH'S GLASS-STAEGALL REFORM BILL, BUT THEY DONE SO WITHOUT HAVE INSURANCE AFFILIATION LANGUAGE. THE HOUSE COMMERCE COMMITTEE IS NOW CONSIDERING SOME SORT OF "COMPROMISE" ON THE INSURANCE QUESTION.

*WHILE THERE SEEMS TO BE A LOT OF MOVEMENT REGARDING **ALLOWING INSURANCE AFFILIATION,** LET ME JUST SAY THAT IT IN A TIME WHEN WE'RE DOWNSIZING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT, AND RETURNING POWER TO THE STATES, IT SEEMS A BIT FUNNY TO PASS REGULATIONS THAT PREEMPT STATE **INSURANCE LAWS.**

SUPERFUND

*SUPERFUND IS ANOTHER PRIORITY FOR THIS YEAR. AS I SAID LAST YEAR, I BELIEVE THAT REAL SUPERFUND REFORM IS NEEDED. REAL REFORMS INCLUDE CHANGES TO REMEDY SELECTION AND RISK ASSESSMENT: CHANGES TO THE NATIONAL PRIORITY LIST AND INCREASING STATE AND LOCAL INVOLVEMENT IN CLEANUPS.

ABOVE ALL, THE ELIMINATION OF RETROACTIVE LIABILITY SHOULD BE PURSUED AS SEN. SMITH HAS INDICATED. IN COMBINATION WITH PROGRAMMATIC REFORMS, RESOLVING RETROACTIVE LIABILITY WILL RIGHT A MAJOR WRONG WITH THE SUPERFUND PROGRAM.

*THERE WILL NOT BE A TAX
INCREASE IN THE SUPERFUND
PROGRAM. ALTHOUGH

RETROACTIVE LIABILITY PROPOSALS
CAN BE COSTLY, REAL REFORM CAN
OCCUR WITHIN THE EXISTING BASE.

I UNDERSTAND YOUR INDUSTRY IS DISCUSSING WAYS OF CHANGING THE MAKEUP OF THE TAX STRUCTURE, AND THERE IS INTEREST THOSE PROPOSALS. I AM IN **ENCOURAGED THAT INDUSTRY IS** WORKING TOGETHER ON THIS EFFORT IN THE NAME OF TRUE SUPERFUND REFORM. I AM NOT, HOWEVER, ANXIOUS TO HAVE THE INSURANCE ISSUES FALL INTO THE QUAGMIRE THAT OCCURRED LAST YEAR.

EVERYONE WANTS SUPERFUND
REFORM AND I LOOK FORWARD TO
FUTURE DISCUSSIONS WITH YOUR
INDUSTRY ON THIS ISSUE.

*AGAIN, IT'S A PLEASURE TO BE HERE, AND I'D BE HAPPY TO TAKE A FEW QUESTIONS. MY TIME IS LIMITED, AS I HEAD STRAIGHT FROM HERE TO A MEETING WITH ABOUT 50 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CALIFORNIA WINE INDUSTRY, AND I'LL BE HAPPY TO SEE WHAT I CAN DO ABOUT GETTING YOU SOME FREE SAMPLES.