agus W. 1987

TRADE ISSUES IN GEORGIA

- 1. Textiles. Very important in northern part of state, such as Dalton. Whole delegation in on textile bill. Industry is not doing badly, but sees a chance to do a whole lot better if they can get imports down.
- 2. <u>Highway bill.</u> Contained funds for Talmadge bridge in Savannah, important to farmers who want better shipping arrangements for their exports. Congressman Swindle joined in override effort because farmers so upset about veto.
- 3. General. Feeling that lower taxes, less regulation would solve trade problems like other economic problems.

27 March 1987

BUDGET TALKING POINTS

- o There they go again. Both Budget Committees got down to the business of drafting next year's budget this week. And guess how they're going to reduce the deficit -- by raising taxes.
- o Nearly half of the deficit reductions -- \$11.5-18.5 billion in the Senate plan, and \$18 billion in the House's -- would come from tax increases.
- o That might not be so bad, but on top of that the Democrats have thrown in the towel on meeting the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings deficit target. Instead of getting down to \$108 billion, which is the mandated level, these Democratic plans would result in a deficit around \$134 billion.
- o We must keep the promise we made when we adopted Gramm-Rudman-Hollings. And we must do so without raising taxes, without threatening our national security capability, and without harming programs for the elderly, needy and handicapped.
- o Every spring we begin this ritual of budget writing, spending weeks in committee, weeks on the floor of both the House and Senate, adopting a fiscal blueprint that we then go on to ignore. Something's go to give if we are ever to put our fiscal house in order.
- o One answer -- though not a cure-all -- is to reform the budget process itself. And this week I joined former Senate Budget Committee Chairman Pete Domenici in co-sponsoring a package of budget process reforms.
- o Though it includes many technical changes, the key feature would be for us to go from a one-year, to a two-year budget cycle. This would give us the opportunity to try some long-range planning, and allow committees the opportunity to focus on policy making instead of accounting.
- o If we could pass this bill, and if Congress would finally adopt a Constitutional amendment requiring a balanced federal budget, we would have gone a long, long, way toward insuring that future generations live free of the burden of our debt.

Then Congress made a crucial commitment when it adopted the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings balanced budget law -- a commitment to put this government's fiscal policy on the right track.