This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

BOB DOLE

United States Senate

OFFICE OF THE MAJORITY LEADER WASHINGTON, DC 20510

May 20, 1985

40.1.2

MEMORANDUM FOR SENATOR DOLE

FROM: George Pieler

SUBJECT: Talk to the Political Leadership

You are scheduled to talk to the Political Leadership Day event in Washington at 11:15 a.m. in room 385 Russell Senate Office Building. Attached are talking points on the proposed house budget, key points on the Senate budget and deficit. This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

Proposed House Budget

- The House budget just is not credible or realistic. It won't do the job of keeping the economy on an even keel and reassuring financial markets.
- o First of all, the House plan doesn't even aim as high as the Senate budget. It claims savings of only about \$250 billion over three years, as opposed to the nearly \$300 billion in the Senate plan. The House would leave the deficit nearly 20% higher in 1988 than the Senate
- o The House budget really undermines the National defense at a time when our defense posture is critical to the success of arms control talks. The Senate plan already freezes defense in 1986, allowing no real increase: there just isn't any room for further cuts without jeopardizing security. The President is absolutely adamant on this point.
- About 50% of total savings in the House budget come from defense even though defense only accounts for 28% of the Federal budget.
- The House plan avoids major savings in entitlement programs. It also terminates only <u>one</u> program--revenue sharing--where the Senate ends 14 programs and makes significant reforms in many others. That proves the House plan doesn't bite the bullet--it doesn't do anything to ensure the long-term savings that will reassure investors and shore up business and consumer confidence.
- The House budget also is full of smoke and mirrors.
 \$12.2 billion in savings are assumed from reducing spending for contracting out services. But most analysts view contracting out as a cost saving device.
 \$3.7 billion is saved in "offsetting receipts" that will probably not be realized.

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

KEY POINTS ON SENATE BUDGET

- Through spending cuts alone, the plan would reduce the deficit by \$56 billion in FY 1986, and about \$295 billion 0
- Cuts of this magnitude leave remaining deficits of \$171 0 billion in FY 1986, \$145 billion in FY 1987, and \$104 billion
- This plan reaches the goal we set of getting the deficit down 0 to 2% of GNP by 1988, without tax increases.
- Every area of the budget is hit hard: the President's defense request is cut to zero in 1986, 13 programs are 0 terminated, and permanent entitlement savings are achieved by freezing all non-means tested COLAs for one year.
- These are real, meaningful cuts and should have a significant 0 impact on financial markets. Results from a survey of leading Wall Street financial advisers indicates that we should expect interest rates to drop by 1 or 2 percentage points in the near term and by as much as 3 points in 1988 if we follow through this package.
- If that happens and keeps the recovery on track, we can 0
 - almost 7 million new jobs by 1988
 - -
 - housing starts back up to the 2 million units/year level inflation staying down at 4% or less -
 - national personal income up by \$800 billion by 1988 -
 - potential increase of 18-26% in net income for small business (due to lower interest rates)
 - a potential increase of \$2-4 billion in net farm income (due to lower interest rates)

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

Why the Deficit Matters

- Sustained deficits in the \$200 billion+ range are a direct threat of the economy, because they will lead to either higher inflation or stagnation with rising unemployment. Cutting the deficit is the key to creating lasting jobs and restoring our position in international trade.
- The worst risk is that endless deficits will compound themselves: each year that we add \$200 billion in new Federal debt adds about \$15 billion to the next year's interest costs. The exploding cost of servicing the debt makes controlling spending that much more difficult.
- Endless deficits means higher interest rates--make it more difficult for people to own a home, borrow for their children's education, and plan for the future.

White House-Senate Budget Plan

N 84

 This is a very tough, very serious budget--no one understimates the difficulty of getting it passed. But it also is a balanced, reasonable package that calls on everyone--and every sector with a stake in the Federal budget--to give a little, to do with less Federal largesse than they would otherwise get.

o To demonstrate how serious this budget is: 13 programs would be eliminated. Defense would be held to an inflation increase in 1986 and to a 3% increase in each of the following two years: much less than the President wanted. And permanent savings would be achieved in all inflation-adjusted, non-means tested entitlement programs by freezing the COLA for the next

- In addition, to help lower-income Americans, SSI recipients would get both a full COLA and a \$10 per individual/\$15 per couple increase.
- All Federal pay, civilian and military, would be frozen for one year.
- o The plan meets our goal of reducing the deficit to 2% of GNP by 1988, with reductions totalling about \$295 billion over three years.
- o This program goes beyond a freeze simply because a freeze is not enough to do the job. A freeze would not address the problem of long-term growth in spending and deficits, which is the key to eliminating fears about the stability of our recovery. In addition, a freeze just postpones making the

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu

want to continue a strong economic recovery.

estimated 78% this year to 30% by 1988.

over the next three years.

policy decisions -- in terms of priorities among spending program--that have to be made if we are serious about the Over the past three years deficits have totalled \$606 billion:

If we do nothing, the situation gets much worse, even under optimistic projections: \$716 billion in additional deficits

o Under the compromise Deficit Reduction Plan, Federal borrowing

Nondefense spending still will increase each year, from \$520 billion in 1984 to \$583 billion in 1988. Similarly, combined Medicare and Social Security spending will continue to rise-from \$232 billion in 1984 and \$293 billion in 1988: nearly 7 times the amount that wao spent on those programs in 1970.

dramatically reduce pressure on credit markets due to Treasury borrowing--free available capital for private investment and

as a percent of privage savings would decline from an

job creation--reduce interest rates, and help our trade

continue to grow as scheduled under present law. By 1988, safety net spending will still exceed the 1980 level by 72%.

we will still be spending between \$13 billion and \$15 billion a year--much higher than any year before 1980. And don't. forget that over the past three years we spent a record \$53 billion on farm programs, while largely doing the agricultural

Page 5 of 5

o Even with this budget plan, we will still be spending an enormous amount to meet the basic needs of our citizens.

2

an average of 5.7% of GNP. That is just not sustainable if we

1.5.

0

- o Means-tested safety net programs are kept intact and will

- Agricultural programs will undergo major reforms, but by 1988