

8:AM -

REMARKS OF SENATOR BOB DOLE
CONGRESSIONAL CANDIDATES CONFERENCE
MARRIOTT TWIN BRIDGES
WASHINGTON, D. C.
SATURDAY, JULY 1, 1972

on a plane
to Chicago
with
a heavy
bag

I KNOW YOU HAVE SPENT A LOT OF TIME HERE, OVER THE LAST FEW DAYS, DISCUSSING THE ISSUES AND HEARING FROM THE EXPERTS ABOUT HOW TO RUN A SUCCESSFUL CAMPAIGN. YOU'VE HEARD FROM CABINET MEMBERS, ADMINISTRATION OFFICIALS AND FROM SOME OF THE BEST POLITICAL TALENT IN TOWN. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT JUST LAST NIGHT, YOU GOT THE FULL INSIDE STORY ON WASHINGTON FROM ONE OF THE MOST LISTENED-TO POLITICAL COMMENTATORS IN WASHINGTON, MARK RUSSELL, WHO GAVE YOU, I AM SURE, THE LIGHTER SIDE OF THE MC GOVERN COLLAPSE.

I AM SURE THAT, AS YOU HAVE LISTENED TO THE PRESENTATIONS HERE IN YOUR WORKSHOPS, THE EVENTS OF THE WEEK DOWNTOWN AT THE STATLER HILTON HAVE NOT ESCAPED YOUR ATTENTION. THE ACTIVITIES OF THE DEMOCRAT PLATFORM COMMITTEE AND THE CREDENTIALS COMMITTEE ARE STRONG EVIDENCE OF WHAT THE DEMOCRATS HAVE BEEN SAYING ALL ALONG DURING THIS ELECTION CAMPAIGN. THERE IS A GROWING SECOND PARTY MOVEMENT IN THIS COUNTRY AND IT LOOKS -- THOUGH IT'S STILL TOO SOON TO TELL -- LIKE THEY MAY EVEN BE ABLE TO FIELD A PRESIDENTIAL CANDIDATE THIS YEAR.

-2-

NOT AN EASY CAMPAIGN

BUT SERIOUSLY, THEY ARE THE MAJORITY PARTY, AND THAT ALONE CONVINCES ME THAT WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT AN EASY CAMPAIGN THIS YEAR, NO MATTER WHOM THE DEMOCRATS PICK AS THEIR NOMINEE.

WE HAVE SOME TREMENDOUS ADVANTAGE IN THIS CAMPAIGN. WE HAVE A GREAT RECORD. WE HAVE A UNIFIED PARTY. ~~X~~ AND WE HAVE A CANDIDATE WE ALL CAN AND DO SUPPORT.

MY POINT , HOWEVER, IS THAT CONFUSED AS THINGS MAY NOW SEEM FOR THE DEMOCRATS, THEY HAVE A FEW HOLE CARDS OF THEIR OWN. THEY HAVE A NUMERICAL ADVANTAGE OVER US IN VOTER REGISTRATIONS. THEY HAVE THE EXPECTATION OF MORE THAN ADEQUATE FUNDING FROM THE LABOR UNIONS AND OTHER SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS. AND THEY HAVE THE BENEFIT OF A LOOSE ALLIANCE WITH SOME ELEMENTS OF THE NATIONAL PRESS WHICH IS VERY OFTEN VERY FRIENDLY.

WHAT THEY DON'T HAVE IS ISSUES. TRY AS THEY MIGHT, THEY HAVEN'T BEEN ABLE TO GENERATE ANY CREDIBLE SUBSTANTIVE CLAIM ON THE ALLEGIANCE OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE.

-3-

✓ THEY HAVE TRIED WITH VIETNAM -- BUT THE PRESIDENT'S
DECISIONS ON THE WAR HAVE ONLY WON HIM INCREASED SUPPORT.

✓ THEY HAVE TRIED ON THE ECONOMY -- BUT THE PRESIDENT'S
NEW ECONOMIC POLICY HAS WORKED TOO WELL. EVEN ARTHUR OKUN AND
WALTER HELLER, FORMER KENNEDY-JOHNSON ECONOMIC COUNCIL CHAIRMEN,
AGREE THAT OUR ECONOMY IS AT THE START OF A BIG BOOM.

NEW POPULISM -- JUST OLD EXPEDIENCY

✓ INDIVIDUALLY AND IN CONCERT, THE DEMOCRAT CANDIDATES HAVE
TRIED TO MAKE ISSUES OUT OF THE ADMINISTRATION'S DEFENSE POLICIES,
OUT OF TAX REFORM AND WELFARE REFORM, AND THEY HAVE FALLEN FLAT.

WHEN THEY COULDN'T FIND THE ISSUES TO RUN ON, THEY DECIDED
TO PACKAGE THEMSELVES DIFFERENTLY, TO START TALKING ABOUT LITTLE
GUYS, AND TO DESIGNATE THEMSELVES THE "NEW POPULISTS." BUT THE
EVENTS OF THE LAST WEEK OR SO HAVE PROVEN TO ME -- IF NOT TO THE
DEMOCRATS -- THAT WHEN IT COMES TO POLITICAL AMBITION AND THE LUST
FOR POWER, THE NEW POPULISM WILL GIVE WAY TO THE OLD EXPEDIENCY
EVERYTIME.

-4-

X AND SPEAKING OF THE OLD POLITICS -- AND EXPEDIENCY --
THERE IS NO MORE BLATANT INSTANCE OF THE OLD DEMOCRAT POLITICS
THAN THE MOCK-INDIGNATION OF CERTAIN LEADERS OF THE DEMOCRAT PARTY
OVER THE UNFORTUNATE INCIDENT AT THE DEMOCRAT NATIONAL COMMITTEE
OFFICES A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. IT ISN'T KNOWN WHO IS ULTIMATELY
RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT DESPICABLE ACT. I DO ~~KNOW~~ THAT THE REPUBLICAN
PARTY HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH IT AND I KNOW THAT THE DEMOCRAT EFFORTS
TO LAY RESPONSIBILITY FOR IT AT THE DOOR OF THE WHITE HOUSE, IN THIS
ATTEMPT TO SLANDER THIS ADMINISTRATION, DOES NO SERVICE TO THOSE
DEMOCRATS WHO HAVE BEEN MAKING THE CHARGE. X ON THE THINNEST TISSUE
OF CIRCUMSTANCES, AND ON THE BASIS OF NO MORE THAN FIFTEEN OR TWENTY
SECONDS OF CAREFUL THOUGHT AND CONSIDERATION, THE CHAIRMAN OF THE
DEMOCRAT NATIONAL COMMITTEE HAS MADE UP HIS MIND, AND WOULD MAKE UP
THE PUBLIC'S MIND, AS WELL, IF HE COULD GENERATE ENOUGH NEWSPRINT,
THAT AN AIDE TO THE PRESIDENT, OR THE LEADERS OF HIS CAMPAIGN EFFORT
ARE GUILTY.

*Pick
up
sum
per
letter
to
Candidat*

-5-

1972 -- GOP YEAR

* IF YOU'LL ALLOW ME A PARAPHRASE, NEVER IN MY TWEEVE YEARS IN WASHINGTON, HAVE I SEEN A MORE OUTRAGEOUS ATTEMPT TO CONSCIOUSLY AND WILFULLY PREJUDGE THE FACTS AND ENGAGE IN CHARACTER ASSASSINATION.

YOU CAN BE CERTAIN THAT WHEN THE AUTHORITIES HAVE COMPLETED THEIR INVESTIGATION OF THE MATTER, AND ALL THE FACTS ARE KNOWN, WE WILL BE DUE AN APOLOGY FROM THE DEMOCRATS. WE CANNOT BE AS SURE THAT WE WILL GET ONE, BUT ONE WILL SURELY BE DUE.

BUT I AM NOT HERE TO TALK SO MUCH ABOUT THE DEMOCRATS HANG-UPS AND PROBLEMS SO MUCH AS I AM HERE TO TALK ABOUT THE POSSIBILITIES FOR THE REPUBLICANS IN THIS 1972 ELECTION CAMPAIGN.

I HAVE TALKED TO REPUBLICAN GROUPS ALL OVER THIS COUNTRY DURING THE COURSE OF THE LAST YEAR AND A HALF AS REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE CHAIRMAN. I HAVE TOLD THEM ALL -- AND I BELIEVE IT -- THAT ALL OF THEM ARE ESSENTIAL TO OUR SUCCESS IN THE FALL. WE CAN'T EXPECT TO WIN WITHOUT THE HARD WORK AND DEDICATION OF LOYAL PARTY MEMBERS ALL AROUND THE COUNTRY. THEY ARE ALL-IMPORTANT TO THAT EFFORT.

-6-

BUT IT IS NO EXAGGERATION TO SAY THAT NO GROUP OF REPUBLICANS -- ANYWHERE -- IS MORE SIGNIFICANT AND CAN EXERT A MORE PROFOUND EFFECT ON THE PARTY'S CHANCES IN NOVEMBER THAN THIS GROUP ASSEMBLED RIGHT HERE. YOU, THE CANDIDATES FOR CONGRESSIONAL OFFICE IN THE VARIOUS STATES, MAY WELL HAVE IT IN YOUR POWER TO MAKE OR BREAK THE ENTIRE REPUBLICAN TICKET IN YOUR STATE OR YOUR DISTRICT.

THE KIND OF CAMPAIGN YOU RUN, THE KIND OF RESPONSIBLE APPROACH TO THE ISSUES YOU PUT FORTH CAN ~~MAKE~~ THE DIFFERENCE, NOT JUST BETWEEN SUCCESS OR FAILURE FOR YOURSELVES, BUT IT CAN DETERMINE WHETHER OR NOT THE NATIONAL TICKET AND YOUR STATE-WIDE TICKET -- WHERE THAT APPLIES -- HAS THE WINNING MARGIN OF VICTORY.

CONTROL OF CONGRESS POSSIBLE

AND EVEN MORE IMPORTANTLY -- TO YOU, OF COURSE -- AND TO THE PARTY AND, ULTIMATELY, TO THE FUTURE OF THIS NATION, IS WHETHER YOU YOURSELVES WIN. TO HEAR SOME OF THE DEMOCRATS IN CONGRESS TELL IT, FACED WITH THE PROSPECT OF A RADICAL TAKE-OVER OF THEIR NATIONAL PARTY AND A RADICAL CANDIDATE LEADING THEIR NATIONAL TICKET, THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS A SAFE DEMOCRAT SEAT ANYMORE. IT IS NOT INCONCEIVABLE,

-7-

WHETHER GEORGE MC GOVERN IS THE DEMOCRAT NOMINEE, OR ED MUSKIE, OR TED KENNEDY OR HUBERT HUMPHREY, THAT THE GOP COULD PICK UP A SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF SEATS IN THE CONGRESS THIS ELECTION. AND THERE HAS EVEN BEEN TALK -- I AM SURE YOU HAVE HEARD IT -- OF WINNING CONTROL AGAIN FOR THE FIRST TIME IN ALMOST TWENTY YEARS.

X THAT IS NOT JUST IDLE TALK.

y IT IS NOT A CERTAINTY EITHER. BUT IT MAY HAPPEN. IT CAN BE DONE.

AND NO ONE HERE CAN DENY THAT IT NEEDS TO BE DONE.

PRESIDENT NEEDS SUPPORTIVE CONGRESS

THIS PRESIDENT HAS TAKEN THIS COUNTRY A LONG WAY IN THE LAST THREE AND A HALF YEARS. A LONG WAY TOWARD PEACE AND TOWARD A REAL PROSPERITY ROOTED IN PEACE.

AND HE HAS DONE IT -- FAR TOO OFTEN -- IN SPITE OF THE CONGRESS, RATHER THAN WITH ITS HELP AND COOPERATION. HE NEEDS, FOR HIS SECOND TERM, WHAT HE HAS NOT HAD IN HIS FIRST. A SUPPORTIVE CONGRESS, READY TO RESPONSIBLY CONSIDER HIS PROPOSALS WITH AN EYE TO THE GOOD OF THE COUNTRY. WHAT THE PRESIDENT HAS HAD TO CONTEND WITH SO FAR, AND HAS HAD TO PUT UP WITH INCREASINGLY AS THE ELECTION TIME DRAWS

-8-

NEARER, HAS BEEN AN OPPOSITION CONGRESS, UNWILLING TO CONSIDER MANY OF HIS PROPOSALS AT ALL, AND ALWAYS WILLING TO LOOK AT ITS LEGISLATIVE BUSINESS WITH AN EYE FIRST TO THE PARTISAN NEEDS AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE DEMOCRAT PARTY.

THIS SIMPLY CANNOT CONTINUE. AND IT NEED NOT CONTINUE. IF WE HERE IN WASHINGTON AT THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE, AND YOU OUT IN THE STATE AND DISTRICT CAMPAIGNS, DEVOTE OUR ENERGY TO IT, IN FACT, IT WILL NOT HAPPEN.

ALTERNATIVE IS CATASTROPHE

THINK ABOUT SOME OF THE ALTERNATIVES. THINK ABOUT A PRESIDENT MC GOVERN WITH A DEMOCRAT CONGRESS BACKING HIM UP, REORDERING PRIORITIES AS THEY WOULD CALL IT -- AND REORDERING THE UNITED STATES RIGHT INTO A POSITION OF STRATEGIC INFERIORITY AND DOMESTIC DISARRAY.

THINK ABOUT IT.

-9-

THAT IS NOT AN ALTERNATIVE. IT'S A CATASTROPHE. THERE ARE SIGNS THE DEMOCRATS THEMSELVES ARE BEGINNING TO REALIZE THAT, BUT WE DON'T KNOW. I MAY BE TOO LATE FOR THE DEMOCRATS TO STOP MC GOVERN. BUT IT IS NOT TOO LATE FOR THE REPUBLICANS TO STOP MC GOVERN OR TO STOP HUMPHREY OR MUSKIE, OR EVEN STOP TERRY SANFORD, IF IT COMES TO THAT. WHICH IT MIGHT.

BUT SPEAKING OF STOP MOVEMENTS AND GEORGE MC GOVERN, IT APPEARS THAT HE CAN'T STOP HIS OWN PEOPLE. OR EVEN WORSE, IT APPEARS THAT ~~HE~~ PERHAPS DOESN'T EVEN TRY. NOT EVEN WHEN THEY STOOP TO PROBABLE VIOLATIONS OF LAW IN THEIR ZEALOUS ANTIPATHY TOWARD THE PRESIDENT.

I REFER TO AN AD YOU MAY HAVE SEEN -- OR HEARD OF -- IN THE NEW YORK TIMES. THE AD APPEARED ON MAY 31st AND CALLED FOR THE IMPEACHMENT OF THE PRESIDENT. THE INK WASN'T EVEN DRY ON THE SALT AGREEMENT HE HAD JUST SIGNED IN MOSCOW WITH THE LEADERS OF THE SOVIET UNION, WHEN THE AD WAS PUBLISHED.

-10-

MC GOVERN SUPPORTERS PUSH AD

THE LIST OF SPONSORS OF THE AD READS LIKE AN ABBREVIATED WHO'S WHO OF MC GOVERN SUPPORTERS. LEADING THE LIST, AS HONORARY CHAIRMAN, IS FORMER UNITED STATES SENATOR GRUENING FROM ALASKA, WHO HAS BEEN TRAVELING AROUND THE COUNTRY AS AN OFFICIAL SPOKESMAN, TALKING IN BEHALF OF THE MC GOVERN CANDIDACY. ALSO LISTED WERE MISS NANCY WOOD, DESIGNATED AS ASSISTANT TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COMMITTEE TO IMPEACH THE PRESIDENT. IN HER HOME STATE OF NEW JERSEY, SHE SERVES AS ONE OF THREE OFFICIAL FINANCE CHAIRMEN FOR THE MC GOVERN CAMPAIGN. AND YET A THIRD OF THE LISTED SPONSORS OF THE AD, CORLISS LAMONT, IS A MC GOVERN CONTRIBUTOR.

WE DON'T KNOW -- IN FACT WE CAN'T KNOW -- WHETHER MC GOVERN APPROVED THE AD. WE DON'T KNOW -- AND HERE IS WHERE THE APPARENT VIOLATION OF LAW COMES IN -- BECAUSE THE COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPEACHMENT OF THE PRESIDENT HAS NEVER REGISTERED WITH THE FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICE AS A POLITICAL COMMITTEE. THE NEW CAMPAIGN FINANCE LAW REQUIRES THEM TO DO SO. THE FEDERAL ELECTIONS OFFICE REQUIRED THEM TO DO SO, BUT AS YET, THEY HAVE NOT.

-11-

WHAT WE DON'T KNOW CAN'T HURT MC GOVERN

WE KNOW, IN SPITE OF ALL THAT THE IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEE IS UNWILLING TO TELL US, THAT MC GOVERN SUPPORTERS, AND EVEN AN OFFICIAL SPOKESMAN FOR THE SENATOR, WERE INVOLVED IN THE PLACEMENT OF THE AD.

WE KNOW, IN SPITE OF ALL THAT THE SELF-APPOINTED IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEE IS UNWILLING TO TELL US, THAT THE AD WAS RUN WITHOUT THE REQUIRED OFFICIAL STATEMENTS IDENTIFYING IT AS A POLITICAL AD, AND WE KNOW THAT HAS THE APPEARANCE OF ILLEGALITY.

AND WE KNOW TOO, THAT CONGRESSWOMAN BELLA ABZUG, WHO IS NOT THE MOST ARDENT OF THE PRESIDENT'S SUPPORTERS, REPUDIATED THE AD AND CONDEMNED THE USE OF HER NAME IN IT.

GEORGE MC GOVERN, HOWEVER, HAS NOT REPUDIATED THE AD. SOME OF HIS SUPPORTERS, AT LEAST, SPONSORED IT.

SO WHAT WE DON'T KNOW -- BECAUSE OF ALL THE THINGS THE COMMITTEE WON'T TELL US -- IS WHETHER GEORGE MC GOVERN, HIMSELF, SAW THE AD BEFORE IT WAS PUBLISHED, APPROVED THE AD BEFORE IT WAS PUBLISHED, AND ALLOWED HIS PEOPLE TO PUBLISH THE AD.

-12-

WE DON'T KNOW -- AND WE CAN'T KNOW -- UNLESS GEORGE MC GOVERN WILL EITHER ACKNOWLEDGE IT, OR REPUDIATE IT. AND TO DATE, IN SPITE OF ALL THE CONTROVERSY THAT HAS SURROUNDED IT, HE HAS DONE NEITHER.

THE NEW YORK TIMES PRESSMAN SAW WHAT KIND OF AN AD IT WAS. THEY REFUSED, FOR A TIME, TO PRINT IT. IF GEORGE MC GOVERN DOESN'T SEE IT FOR WHAT IT IS -- A POLITICAL CHEAP SHOT AT VERY BEST, AND MORE ACCURATELY, A CALLOUS ATTEMPT TO BUILD A RIDICULOUS CASE AGAINST THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES WITH NO MORE EVIDENCE THAN POLITICAL PREJUDICE, AND A VERY PROBABLY ILLEGAL ATTEMPT, AS WELL, THEN LET HIM TAKE NOTICE OF THAT NOW.

AND LET HIM EITHER ACKNOWLEDGE THE AD OR REPUDIATE IT.

AND LET US FIND OUT HOW MANY OTHER MC GOVERN SUPPORTERS MAY BE MEMBERS OF THE SELF-STYLED IMPEACHMENT COMMITTEE. THE LAW REQUIRES THAT THE COMMITTEE BE REGISTERED. THE LAW SAYS WE HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW.

WHAT DOES GEORGE MC GOVERN SAY?

-13-

ADVERSARY PRESS AND ADVOCACY PRESS

AT THE START OF MY REMARKS I LISTED SOME OF THE ASSETS THE DEMOCRATS WILL HAVE IN THIS CAMPAIGN. I'VE TALKED ~~SOME~~ ABOUT GEORGE MCGOVERN, SO I GUESS I'VE COVERED SOME OF THEIR LIABILITIES AS WELL.

X BUT ONE OF THOSE ASSETS I WAS TALKING ABOUT, IF YOU REMEMBER, WAS THE DEMOCRATS' LOOSE ALLIANCE WITH SOME ELEMENTS OF THE NATIONAL PRESS. XI HAVE TALKED ABOUT ~~THIS~~ A GREAT DEAL DURING THE CAMPAIGN AND IT'S BEEN A SUBJECT OF NO LITTLE CONTROVERSY.

BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR TO YOU -- ESPECIALLY TO YOU -- AS I HAVE ALWAYS TRIED TO MAKE IT CLEAR WHEN DISCUSSING THE SUBJECT, THAT I AM NOT TALKING ABOUT ALL OF THE MEDIA. I'M TALKING ABOUT SOME ELEMENTS OF THE MEDIA -- SOME VERY LIBERAL ELEMENTS OF THE MEDIA -- WHO SOMETIMES LET THEIR LIBERALISM GET IN THE WAY OF THEIR OBJECTIVITY. I AM TALKING ABOUT THOSE NEWSMEN WHO TOO OFTEN TALK OF THEMSELVES AS ADVERSARY JOURNALISTS, BUT WHO TURN OUT TO BE ADVOCACY JOURNALISTS.

-14-

I SIMPLY POINT OUT THAT IT'S UNFORTUNATE THAT THESE REPORTERS -- GENERALLY MEMBERS OF THE EASTERN ESTABLISHMENT PRESS -- OFTEN PLAY A DISPROPORTIONATE ROLE IN DETERMINING THE QUANTITY AND, YES, THE QUALITY, OF THE NEWS THAT LEAVES WASHINGTON.

I HAVE A FAVORITE EXAMPLE OF THIS, WHICH I'D LIKE TO SHARE WITH YOU. AND IT OCCURS TO ME THAT YOU MAY DO US BOTH A SERVICE BY TAKING THIS NEWS WITH YOU TO YOUR STATES AND DISTRICTS, SINCE THE MEDIA SEEMS SO RELUCTANT TO SEND IT THERE.

AT&T AND THE DEMOCRATS

FOR SEVERAL MONTHS NOW, I HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE CURIOUS FUNDING ARRANGEMENTS WORKED OUT BETWEEN THE DEMOCRATS AND THE AMERICAN TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY. I HAVE SUGGESTED -- AND THE LEGAL OPINIONS AVAILABLE TO ME SUPPORT -- THE CHARGE THAT THESE ARRANGEMENTS APPEAR TO VIOLATE LAW. THE DEMOCRATS HAVE OWED AT&T THE SUM OF \$1.5 MILLION SINCE THE LAST CAMPAIGN. THEY HAVE MADE NO ATTEMPT TO PAY IT OFF THAT I AM AWARE OF. AT&T, TO MY KNOWLEDGE, HAS MADE LITTLE EFFORT TO COLLECT IT.

-15-

WHAT AT&T HAS DONE, HOWEVER, IS TO AGREE TO PROVIDE TELEPHONE SERVICES AT THE DEMOCRATS' NATIONAL CONVENTION LATER THIS MONTH. THEY HAVE CONTINUED TO PROVIDE SERVICES -- WITHOUT ANY CURTAILMENT I AM AWARE OF -- TO THE DEMOCRAT NATIONAL COMMITTEE THROUGHOUT THESE FOUR LONG YEARS OF INDEBTEDNESS.

THIS LOOKS ILLEGAL TO ME. IT LOOKS LIKE A VIOLATION OF FEDERAL LAW ON TWO COUNTS. IT'S A VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL CODE PROHIBITING CORPORATE CONTRIBUTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES, AND IT IS A VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS ACT, WHICH PROHIBITS AT&T AND SIMILAR CORPORATIONS FROM DISCRIMINATING IN THE WAY THEY ASSIGN AND COLLECT FEES FOR THEIR SERVICES.

IT LOOKS ILLEGAL TO ME. IN THE WORDS OF ONE MAN, WHO TRIED TO GET THE CONTRACT FOR HIS INDEPENDENT COMPANY TO PROVIDE COMMUNICATIONS SERVICES FOR THE DEMOCRAT CONVENTION, "THERE IS SOMETHING FISHY," IN THIS ARRANGEMENT BETWEEN AT&T AND THE DEMOCRATS. THIS MAN MADE A BID ON BEHALF OF HIS COMPANY FOR OVER A MILLION DOLLARS, BUT AT&T UNDERBID HIM WHEN THEY SAID THEY COULD DO THE JOB FOR \$60,000.

-16-

THAT'S STRANGE ENOUGH, BUT THE BIGGEST QUESTION MARK IN THE WHOLE AFFAIR IS WHY DOES AT&T APPARENTLY FEEL SO COMPELLED TO GIVE THE DEMOCRATS FAVORABLE TREATMENT. IF YOU DIDN'T PAY YOUR BILL, YOUR PHONES WOULD BE CUT OFF. THOSE OF YOU FROM MICHIGAN KNOW THAT THE REPUBLICAN STATE COMMITTEE THERE HAD ITS SERVICES CURTAILED BECAUSE IT WAS IN ARREARS OF ITS PHONE PAYMENTS. BUT THE REPUBLICANS IN MICHIGAN WERE MAKING EFFORTS TO PAY, UNLIKE THE DEMOCRATS IN WASHINGTON.

THOSE OF YOU FROM FLORIDA MAY KNOW ABOUT THE DIFFICULTY THE ORANGE COUNTY REPUBLICAN EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE IS HAVING -- THE THREATS OF PHONE CUT-OFFS -- OVER SOMETHING LIKE \$185.

WHY DOES AT&T GIVE THE DEMOCRATS SUCH GENEROUS TREATMENT? IS IT BECAUSE THE DEMOCRATS ARE IN CHARGE OF REGULATING AT&T? IS IT BECAUSE THE DEMOCRATS CONTROL THE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES WHICH CONSIDER REGULATORY LEGISLATION? IN SHORT, IS IT BECAUSE THE DEMOCRATS CONTROL CONGRESS?

-17-

GOP CONGRESS TO COVER MULTITUDE OF DEMOCRAT SINS

IF SO, I KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT. AND I THINK YOU DO TOO.
THE ANSWER TO THAT -- AND THE ANSWER TO A LOT OF THINGS IN THIS
COUNTRY WHICH HAVEN'T GONE RIGHT -- IS A REPUBLICAN CONGRESS. WITH
A SECOND TERM FOR RICHARD NIXON AND A SUPPORTIVE CONGRESS BEHIND HIM
IN THAT SECOND TERM, WE CAN CHART THE FUTURE. THE PRESIDENT HAS EARNED
A SECOND TERM. AND HE DESERVES ONE. AND HE NEEDS AND DESERVES A
RESPONSIBLE LEGISLATIVE BRANCH BACKING HIM UP -- ONE THAT WON'T LET
POLITICS AND PARTISAN CONSIDERATION INTERFERE WITH THEIR BETTER
JUDGMENT ABOUT WHAT'S GOOD FOR THIS COUNTRY.

I WISH YOU LUCK IN YOUR CAMPAIGNS. I PLEDGE YOU MY SUPPORT
AND THE SUPPORT OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE. WE HAVE A GREAT CHALLENGE
AND A GREAT OPPORTUNITY. IF WE SEIZE IT AND IF WE ARE SUCCESSFUL,
WE CAN GO FORWARD TOGETHER UNDER THE LEADERSHIP OF RICHARD NIXON
TO ACHIEVE FOR THIS NATION THE GOALS WE ALL SHARE -- A BETTER AMERICA
IN A MORE PEACEFUL WORLD.

1. Understand one fellow who signed D.H.C.
This is a Recording. This is "Lunch" A

We will try to pay
a little next month

2. "Jim McCord" - Mr. Hunt calling

3 - Candidate
→ Runoff