REMARKS BY SEN. BOB DOLE, ST. PETERSBURG, FLORIDA, PORT O' CALL, MARCH 4, 1972

IT IS GOOD TO BE HERE WITH YOU TODAY IN FLORIDA. MANY
OF MY DEMOCRAT COLLEAGUES IN THE SENATE HAVE BEEN SPENDING
A LOT OF THEIR TIME HERE IN THE SUNSHINE STATE AND I AM GRATEFUL
FOR YOUR INVITATION TO COME DOWN FROM WASHINGTON AND PARTICIPATE IN THIS REPUBLICAN DINNER. I MIGHT EVEN GET A CHANCE
TO SEE SOME OF THOSE DEMOCRAT SENATORS AND RENEW OLD
ACQUAINTANCES WITH THOSE WHO ARE RUNNING FOR PRESIDENT.
YOU KNOW WE DON'T GET A CHANCE TO SEE THEM MUCH IN THE SENATE
ANYMORE.

IN ONE WAY, THAT MAY BE A BLESSING BUT IT IS A REAL SHAME
THAT WE DON'T SEE MORE OF THEM BECAUSE MOST OF THEM HAVE
BEEN TALKING A LOT ABOUT NATIONAL PROBLEMS IN THE PAST FEW
WEEKS WHILE IN THE SENATE WE HAVE BEEN TRYING TO DO SOMETHING
ABOUT THEM.

THIS PAST WEEK, FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAD SEVERAL VOTES ON SCHOOL BUSING. AND THERE WERE SEVERAL AMENDMENTS OFFERED

WHICH WOULD HAVE LIMITED BUSING TO ACHIEVE RACIAL BALANCE.

BUT WHERE WERE THESE SENATORS WHO HAVE BEEN TALKING SO

MUCH ABOUT THE PROBLEM. WHERE WERE THEY WHEN THEY HAD

A CHANCE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT?

ON WEDNESDAY, I INTRODUCED AN AMENDMENT TO THE EDUCATION ACT WHICH THE SENATE WAS DEBATING AND IT LOST BY ONE VOTE.

MY AMENDMENT WAS INTENDED TO LIMIT BUSING AND IT WOULD HAVE DONE THAT IN A RESPONSIBLE WAY. I T WOULD HAVE DONE IT

IN A RESPONSIBLE WAY THAT ONE WOULD THINK SENATOR JACKSON,
WHO SAYS HE FAVORS LIMITATIONS ON SCHOOL BUSING, WOULD HAVE WANTED TO SUPPORT.

BUT WHEN THEY CALLED THE ROLE, SENATOR JACKSON WASN'T
THERE TO VOTE AND NEITHER WAS SENATOR HARTKE. AND ON TUESDAY,
THE SENATE VOTED ON AN AMENDMENT BY SENATOR GRIFFIN TO LIMIT
BUSING. THAT AMENDMENT WAS REJECTED BY A CLOSE MARGIN.

BUT SENATOR JACKSON AND SENATOR HARTKE WERE TOO BUSY CAMPAIGNING TO COME BACK AND VOTE.

- 3 -

AND ON FRIDAY OF LAST WEEK, WHEN THE SENATE DID PASS AN AMENDMENT BY SENATOR GRIFFIN ON BUSING, IT WAS DONE WITHOUT THE HELP OF ALL OF THE SENATE DEMOCRATS WHO WANT TO BE PRESIDENT. SENATORS JACKSON AND HUMPHREY AND MUSKIE AND MC GOVERN AND HARTKE ALL SEEM TO THINK THAT THE SENATE CAN GET ALONG VERY WELL WITHOUT THEM. AND THAT MAY BE TRUE. BUT IF THEY SAY THEY ARE IN FAVOR OF LIMITING SCHOOL BUSING TO ACHIEVE RACIAL BALANCE, ONE WOULD EXPECT THAT THEY WOULD WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO DROP IN ON THE SENATE AND LET THEIR VOTE BE COUNTED. BUT INSTEAD, THEY HAVE ALL BEEN RUNNING AROUND THE COUNTRY AND SPENDING A LOT OF TIME IN YOUR OWN STATE. SOME OF THEM SAYING CURIOUS THINGS ABOUT SCHOOL BUSING. HENRY JACKSON IS SAYING THAT HE FEELS OTHER CANDIDATES ARE "EXTREME" ON THE ISSUE. HUBERT HUMPHREY SAYS HE IS "AGAINST FORCED BUSING." GEORGE MC GOVERN SAYS WE SHOULDN'T "TRY TO

- 4 -

FORCE SCHOOL DESEGRATION BY BUSING." VANCE HARTKE DOESN'T
FAVOR BUSING CHILDREN TO ACHIEVE RACIAL BALANCE. OF COURSE,
WE CAN'T BE SURE WHAT ED MUSKIE THINKS ABOUT BUSING BECAUSE
HE WON'T TELL US. BUT HE DOES SAY THAT THE QUESTION OF
EQUALITY OF EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IS A PROBLEM, AND
THAT "BUSING ISN'T GOING TO SOLVE THAT PROBLEM."

WHAT CONCERNS ME ABOUT THESE SENATORS IS NOT WHAT THEY ARE NOW SAYING, SO MUCH AS HOW IT DIFFERS FROM WHAT THEY USED TO SAY. AND IT DIFFERS RADICALLY FROM WHAT THEY SAID LESS THAN A YEAR AGO WHEN THEY ALL VOTED FOR AN AMENDMENT IN THE SENATE WHICH WOULD HAVE REQUIRED MASSIVE BUSING THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY IN EVERY MAJOR CITY AND ITS SUBURBS. LESS THAN A YEAR AGO, THEY VOTED FOR AN AMENDMENT WHICH WOULD HAVE REQUIRED THAT EVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT IN EVERY LARGE METROPOLITAN AREA OF THE COUNTRY BE RACIALLY BALANCED ACCORDING TO A STRICT FORMULA, AND THEY VOTED TO SPEND A BILLION DOLLARS A YEAR TO DO IT.

AND TODAY HENRY JACKSON SAYS THAT OTHER PEOPLE ARE
BEING EXTREME ON THE BUSING ISSUE. AND ED MUSKIE ASKS US TO
TRUST HIM. I WISH WE COULD TRUST HIM - TO BE CONSISTENT? AND
HOW ABOUT HUMPHREY AND HARTKE AND MC GOVERN - WHERE DO
THEY REALLY STAND ON THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE? THEIR VOTING
RECORDS JUST DON'T STAND ON THIS IMPORTANT ISSUE? THEIR
VOTING RECORDS JUST DON'T JIBE WITH THEIR CAMPAIGN SPEECHES.
JOHN LINDSAY'S SPEECHES HAVE, OF COURSE, BEEN CONSISTENT ON
THE ISSUE. HE SAYS IT IS A MORAL IMPERATIVE. BUT IT IS A MORAL
IMPERATIVE, IN HIS MIND, APPARENTLY ONLY FOR THE PEOPLE OF
THE SOUTH. BECAUSE IN HIS OWN CITY OF NEW YORK WHERE RACIAL
IMBALANCE IS PERHAPS AS BAD AS ANYWHERE IN THE NATION, HE
HAS NEVER TRIED BUSING.

IN 1968, RICHARD NIXON SAID HE WAS AGAINST BUSING. AND TODAY, IN 1972, HE STILL OPPOSES IT. AND HE MEANS IT. HE DOESN'T SAY ONE THING AND DO ANOTHER. AS PRESIDENT, HE CAN'T

STOP BUSING, BUT HE HAS ORDERED IT KEPT TO A MINIMUM. OF COURSE, HIS OPPOSITION TO BUSING DOESN'T PREVENT HIM FROM MAKING PROGRESS IN SCHOOL DESEGREGATION. THAT IS A GOAL WE ALL SHARE, AND A RESPONSIBILITY HE ACKNOWLEDGES.

BUT PRESIDENT NIXON KNOWS THAT THE ONLY WAY TO MAKE
REAL PROGRESS IN THAT EFFORT IS TO DO IT WITH THE SUPPORT OF
THE PEOPLE. AND WHEN HE DID IT THAT WAY, HE GOT RESULTS.
THE PEOPLE OF THE SOUTH WERE CHALLENGED BY THIS PRESIDENT
TO GET THE JOB DONE. THEY WERE NOT THREATENED, AS THEY
HAD BEEN BY OTHER PRESIDENTS. THEY WERE NOT COERCED SO
MUCH AS THEY WERE ENCOURAGED. AND THEY WERE NOT USED AS
THE WHIPPING BOY OF WASHINGTON BUREAUCRATS AND LAWMAKERS.

AND THEY DID THE JOB. THEY RESPONDED TO THE CHALLENGE
SO THAT NOW, IN THE SOUTH, THERE IS MORE INTEGRATION OF SCHOOLS
THAN THERE IS IN NEW YORK CITY AND MUCH OF THE NORTH, IN SPITE
OF WHAT JOHN LINDSAY MIGHT SAY ABOUT MORAL IMPERATIVES.

IT IS NOT JUST ON THE SCHOOL INTEGRATION ISSUE THAT
RICHARD NIXON HAS ACCOMPLISHED SO MUCH. AND MORE IMPORTANTLY,
IT IS NOT JUST ON SCHOOL INTEGRATION THAT HE HAS DEMONSTRATED
THE REPUBLICAN PARTY TO WORK WITH THE PEOPLE, INSTEAD OF
WORKING ON THEM. RICHARD NIXON UNDERSTANDS THAT THE WAY
TO GET THINGS DONE IN THIS COUNTRY IS TO APPEAL TO THE
ENERGIES AND GOOD WILL OF ITS PEOPLE - NOT TO THREATEN
THEM AND RELY ON THE CUDGELS OF FEDERAL COERCION.

I WOULD SUGGEST, IN FACT, THAT THE REAL ISSUE IN THIS

CAMPAIGN IS A CHOICE BETWEEN REPUBLICAN GOVERNMENT BY

COOPERATION AND PARTNERSHIP, OR A RETURN TO DEMOCRAT

GOVERNMENT BY COERCION. IT IS MUCH MORE THAN JUST A SIMPLE

CHOICE BETWEEN TWO MEN OR TWO PARTIES.

EVEN NOW, BEFORE THE DEMOCRATS HAVE SETTLED ON A SINGLE, AGREED UPON CANDIDATE, IT HAS BECOME APPARENT THAT THE AMERICAN VOTER WILL FACE A CHOICE, NOT JUST BETWEEN TWO OPPOSING CANDIDATES FOR PRESIDENT, BUT BETWEEN TWO OPPOSING PHILOSOPHIES OF GOVERNMENT AND TWO DIFFERENT PERCEPTIONS OF AMERICA - OF ITS GREATNESS AND OF ITS POTENTIAL

AND THE REAL TEST OF THESE PHILOSOPHIES AND THESE
PERCEPTIONS LIES NOT SO MUCH IN WHAT MAY BE SAID HERE
TODAY, OR WHAT MAY BE SAID DURING THE CAMPAIGN, AS IT
LIES IN WHAT IS BEING DONE BY THIS REPUBLICAN ADMINISTRATION
HEADED BY RICHARD NIXON AND HOW THAT COMPARES WITH THE
PAST PERFORMANCE OF RECENT DEMOCRAT ADMINISTRATIONS.

DEMOCRAT PHILOSOPHY FLAWED

IN THE SIXTIES, A PHILOSOPHY OF GOVERNMENT HELD SWAY

OVER TWO ADMINISTRATIONS THAT ACCEPTED THE UNQUESTIONED

WISDOM AND SUPERIORITY OF WASHINGTON AS THE FIRST PREMISE

FOR ALL OF THEIR POLICIES, FOREIGN AND DOMESTIC. IT WAS A

PHILOSOPHY THAT PERCEIVED THE WORLD AS SUSCEPTIBLE TO

AMERICAN CONTROL AND PERCEIVED THE COUNTRY AS THE PROPER

PRESERVE OF FEDERAL DOMINATION.

IN FOREIGN POLICY, IT PRESUMED AMERICAN OMNIPOTENCE.
IN WORDS YOU MAY REMEMBER, WORDS OF NOBLE INTENT AND
SINCERE PURPOSE, IT COMMITTED THIS COUNTRY TO "PAY THE
PRICE AND BEAR ANY BURDEN...SUPPORT ANY FRIEND...TO
ASSURE THE SURVIVAL OF LIBERTY...". UNREALISTICALLY AND
SHORTSIGHTEDLY, IT MADE THE ASSUMPTION THAT AMERICA A LONE
POSSESSED THE WILL AND THE CAPABILITY EQUAL TO THAT TASK.
AND PERHAPS ARROGANTLY, IT ASSUMED THAT WE COULD EXPECT
NO SUPPORT FROM OUR ALLIES, AND INDEED, THAT EVEN THE
PEOPLE WHOSE LIBERTIES MIGHT BE THREATENED COULD NOT
THEMSELVES BE RELIED UPON TO SHARE MEANINGFULLY IN THE
BURDEN OF THEIR OWN DEFENSE.

WHILE I CANNOT QUARREL WITH THE SINCERITY OR THE N OBILITY OF SUCH A PURPOSE, I MUST SERIOUSLY QUESTION IT ON THE GROUNDS OF ITS RESULTS.

IT GAVE US A WAY INTO VIETNAM, AND HAVING ONCE GOTTEN US THERE, IT COULD SUGGEST NO WAY OUT. INTENDED AS A RALLYING CRY IN THE DEFENSE OF FREEDOM, IN THE END IT SERVED ONLY TO DISORIENT THE FORCES OF FREEDOM AND DISPIRIT AN ENTIRE NATION.

GREAT SOCIETY ROOTED IN FANTASY

IN DOMESTIC AFFAIRS, AS WELL, THE FOCUS WAS ON THE S UPPOSED CAPACITY OF WASHINGTON TO SOLVE OUR PROBLEMS FOR US. IT WAS THE MYTH OF FEDERAL OMNISCIENCE IN DOMESTIC PROBLEM SOLVING, AS IT HAD BEEN THE MYTH OF AMERICAN OMNIPOTENCE IN FOREIGN POLICY, THAT MOTIVATED AND INSPIRED THE AFFAIRS OF GOVERNMENT AT THE HIGHEST LEVELS IN WASHINGTON.

AND OUR DOMESTIC POLICIES IN THE LAST DECADE, ROOTED IN FANTASY AND INSPIRED BY MYTHS, FOUND THEIR EXPRESSION IN THE RHETORIC OF COMBAT. THE GOVERNMENT DECLARED WAR

ON POVERTY AND AMERICANS WERE ENLISTED IN THE BATTLE
TO BUILD THE GREAT SOCIETY IN THE WAR TO MAKE AMERICA
SAFE FOR THE SOCIAL PLANNERS. HERE AGAIN, IT IS NOT MY
PURPOSE TO QUESTION MOTIVES OR THE SINCERITY OF THESE
POLICIES. ONLY TO REGRET THEIR RESULTS.

DEMOS PROTEST TOO MUCH

FOR THEY GAVE US MORE THAN ENOUGH OF GREAT

EXPECTATIONS AND FAR TOO LITTLE SUCCESS, AND THEY

BROUGHT THE EXERCISE OF GOVERNMENT BY EXPERIMENT TO

NEW AND PREVIOUSLY UNDREAMT-OF HEIGHTS.

THE SINGLE ASSUMPTION UNDERPINNING ALL OF THE POLICIES OF THOSE ELECTED DEMOCRAT LEADERS WHEN THEY WERE IN CHARGE OF GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON WAS THAT THEY ALONE KNEW, AND THAT THEY ALONE COULD BE EXPECTED TO DO WHAT WAS BEST FOR US, FOR THE REST OF THE COUNTRY, AND THE REST OF THE WORLD.

AND NOW TODAY, THEY TELL US ABOUT THE POLITICS

OF TRUST. IT IS, THEY SAY, THE ISSUE IN THE PRESENT

CAMPAIGN. AND I TAKE ONLY SLIGHT EXCEPTION TO THAT.

WHAT STRIKES ME AS ODD, OR PERHAPS MORE ACCURATELY,

IT STRIKES ME AS ILLUSTRATIVE OF THAT FLAWED DEMOCRAT

PERCEPTION OF AMERICA THAT I HAVE BEEN SPEAKING OF, IS

THE CONDESCENSION THEIR TALK OF TRUST IMPLIES. IN THEIR

VIEW, I ASSUME, THE AMERICAN PEOPLE HAVE TO BE TOLD THAT

TRUST IS IMPORTANT. THIS IS LUDICROUS. OF COURSE, TRUST IS

AN ISSUE. IT HAS BEEN IN EVERY AMERICAN PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN

AND I EXPECT IT ALWAYS WILL BE.

TRUST AND CONFIDENCE IS A LWAYS THE FIRST CONSIDERATION
OF THE AMERICAN VOTER. EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT. WHAT IS
SO ILLUMINATING ABOUT THE DEMOCRATS' NEW FOUND CONCERN
WITH IT AND THEIR GENERAL TENDENCY TO TALK ABOUT IT JUST
A LITTLE TOO MUCH - TO PROTEST THEIR OWN TRUSTWORTHINESS
JUST A LITTLE TOO MUCH - IS THE FACT THAT IT A LMOST APPEARS
THAT THEY THINK THEY HAVE DISCOVERED SOMETHING NEW IN
AMERICAN POLITICS. ONE MIGHT GUESS, IN FACT, AFTER OUR

EXPERIENCE OF THEIR FAILURES IN THE LAST DECADE, THAT

THEY HAD DISCOVERED SOMETHING NEW - NEW FOR THEM
IN THIS ISSUE OF TRUST.

TRUST WORKS BOTH WAYS

WHAT THE DEMOCRATS STILL FAIL TO UNDERSTAND,
WHAT THEY SEEM STILL TO BE UNABLE TO COMPREHEND, IS
THAT TRUST IS SUPPOSED TO WORK BOTH WAYS IN A SOCIETY
WITH A DEMOCRATIC SYSTEM LIKE OURS. SO FAR, IN THIS
CAMPAIGN, AS IN THEIR ADMINISTRATIONS IN THE PAST, THEY
HAVE ASKED US ONLY TO TRUST THEM.

THERE IS NO INDICATION AS YET, THAT THEY WOULD EVER BE SO BENEVOLENT AS TO TRUST US, ONCE IN A WHILE, TO DO FOR OURSELVES WHAT WE THINK BEST. DEEP DOWN, I SUSPECT, MOST OF THE CURRENT DEMOCRAT CANDIDATES DO NOT REALLY BELIEVE THAT THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES ARE QUITE READY YET FOR SELF-GOVERNMENT. WHAT WE NEED FIRST, IN THEIR UNDERSTANDING OF THE SITUATION, IS A PERIOD OF BENIGN DEMOCRAT RULE TO PREPARE US FOR DEMOCRACY.

OF COURSE TRUST IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. I JUST DO NOT BELIEVE THAT IT WILL WORK IN FAVOR OF THOSE WHO ARE SEEKING TO CAPITALIZE ON IT. IT IS THE SORT OF MUTUAL TRUST THAT I HAVE SPOKEN OF, TRUST OF THE GOVERNED BY A GOVERNMENT WHICH IN TURN HAS CONFIDENCE IN ITS PEOPLE, THAT IS THE ISSUE. AND IT IS THIS SORT OF TRUST WHICH IS SO LARGE A COMPONENT OF LEADERSHIP. IT IS IMAGINATION, INTELLIGENCE, RESPONSIBILITY, RESPONSIVENESS, AND TRUST WHICH DEFINE THE LARGER ISSUE IN CONTEMPORARY AMERICAN POLITICS AND THE LARGER REQUIREMENT FOR AN AMERICAN PRESIDENT. FOR ALL OF THESE THINGS TOGETHER, IMAGINATION, INTELLIGENCE, TRUST, RESPONSIBILITY AND RESPONSIVENESS THE VERY DEFINITION OF LEADERSHIP. AND IT IS COMPRISE LEADERSHIP WHICH, IN THE FINAL ANALYSIS, IS THE MAJOR ISSUE IN THIS CAMPAIGN.

- 15 -

NIXON ADDRESSES REAL ISSUE - LEADERSHIP

AND IN MY VIEW, RICHARD NIXON BY HIS RECORD OF PERFORMANCE OVER THE PAST THREE YEARS OR SO, HAS IN A VERY REAL AND RESPONSIBLE WAY, PRE-EMPTED THAT ISSUE OF LEADERSHIP.

TAKE A LOOK AT THE RECORD OF THIS REPUBLICAN

PRESIDENT AND OF HIS ADMINISTRATION. THERE IS A PATTERN

OF REPEATED SUCCESS AND THERE EMERGES AS WELL, A

COHERENT PHILOSOPHY TO EXPLAIN THAT PATTERN.

ON THE FOREIGN POLICY FRONT, THERE HAS BEEN A

PATTERN OF PEACE AND OF EFFORTS TO SECURE FURTHER PEACE,

AND THAT PATTERN ALLOWS US NOW TO DARE TO HOPE - AND

REALISTICALLY TO WORK - TOWARD A GOAL WE ALL SHARE
A FULL GENERATION OF PEACE FOR ALL MANKIND.

THE CHINA TRIP, JUST COMPLETED, AND THE PLANNED

JOURNEY TO THE SUMMIT IN MOSCOW NEXT MAY, WOULD ALONE

BE ADEQUATE PROOF OF THIS PRESIDENT'S RESOLVE TO REDUCE

INTERNATIONAL TENSIONS AND EASE THE CHANCES OF INTER
NATIONAL CONFLICT. BY THESE TWO TRIPS ALONE, RICHARD

NIXON IS PROVING THAT IT IS HIS OFFICIAL POLICY AND HIS

PERSONAL COMMITMENT TO "GO THE EXTRA MILE FOR PEACE."

PAINSTAKING EFFORTS FOR PEACE

BUT OF COURSE, THESE TWO TRIPS DO NOT STAND A LONE
AMONG THE PAINSTAKING EFFORTS OF THIS ADMINISTRATION TO
REMOVE WAR AND THE THREAT OF WAR FROM AMERICA'S
FUTURE AND THE FUTURE OF THE WORLD.

THE HISTORY OF OUR POLICY IN VIETNAM SINCE

PRESIDENT NIXON TOOK OFFICE IN 1969, HAS BEEN THE

HISTORY OF AMERICAN EFFORTS TO WIN THE PEACE. THROUGH

VIETNAMIZATION, THROUGH THE NEGOTIATIONS IN PARIS, AND

THROUGH THE EXTRAORDINARY PRIVATE NEGOTIATIONS CON
DUCTED FOR THIRTY MONTHS BY FOREIGN POLICY ADVISOR HENRY

KISSINGER, THIS PRESIDENT HAS MOVED THE SITUATION IN THAT

WAR-TORN AND TROUBLED AREA OF THE WORLD CLOSER AND CLOSER

TO ITS ONLY ACCEPTABLE RESOLUTION - AN END TO THE CONFLICT

AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A JUST AND HONORABLE PEACE.

AND, TOO, IN THE MIDDLE EAST, THERE IS TODAY A TENUOUS BUT ENDURING CEASE-FIRE ESTABLISHED AT THE INITIATIVE OF SECRETARY ROGERS.

IN BERLIN, WE ACHIEVED A MOMENTOUS FOUR-POWER AGREEMENT.

IN VIENNA AND HELSINKI, REPRESENTATIVES OF THE WORLD'S
TWO GREATEST NUCLEAR POWERS - POTENTIAL ENEMIES THOUGH
THEY ARE - HAVE MET IN HISTORIC NEGOTIATIONS TO DISCUSS
WAYS TO LIMIT THEIR NUCLEAR WEAPONRY. THERE HAVE A LREADY
BEEN SOME LIMITED SUCCESSES THERE AND GOOD REASON TO BE
OPTIMISTIC ABOUT THE CHANCES FOR A SIGNIFICANT AGREEMENT
WHEN THEY RESUME DISCUSSIONS IN MAY.

PRESIDENT NIXON HAS TERMINATED THE MANUFACTURE OF CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL WEAPONS OF WAR AND HAS BEGUN TO ELIMINATE THE STOCKPILES OF THESE MENACING MATERIALS.

WE HAVE A NUCLEAR NON-PROLIFERATION TREATY AND AN AGREEMENT TO BAN NUCLEAR WEAPONS FROM THE OCEAN FLOOR.

PARTNERSHIP ASSURES STRENGTH

AND ALL OF THIS HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED AGAINST A
BACKDROP OF CONTINUED AMERICAN STRENGTH AND THE RENEWED
STRENGTH OF OUR DEFENSE ALLIANCES. PRESIDENT NIXON UNDERSTANDS THE NECESSITY TO STAY STRONG, NOT JUST IN TIMES OF
CONFLICT, BUT IN TIMES OF LESSENING CONFLICT AND DIMINISHING
TENSIONS AS WELL.

HE UNDERSTANDS, IN FACT, THAT HIS MOVES IN THE DIRECTION OF PEACE HAVE BEEN POSSIBLE, NOT IN SPITE OF THAT STRENGTH, BUT PRECISELY BECAUSE OF IT. AND HE UNDERSTANDS, AS HAS NO AMERICAN PRESIDENT IN THE PAST DOZEN YEARS, HOW IMPORTANT TO THAT STRENGTH IS THE CREDIBILITY AND THE VIABILITY OF OUR ALLIANCES. STRENGTH THROUGH PARTNERSHIP AND COOPERATION IS A KEYSTONE OF THE PRESIDENT'S FOREIGN POLICIES. THE SIGNIFICANT ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THOSE POLICIES IN THE PAST THREE YEARS, AND ESPECIALLY IN THE PAST FEW MONTHS AND DAYS, IS COMPELLING TESTIMONY TO THE LEADERSHIP OF RICHARD NIXON, TO HIS UNDERSTANDING OF WORLD REALITIES, AND TO THE VALIDITY OF THE THEME OF PARTNERSHIP IN WORLD AFFAIRS.

AND THAT THEME CHARACTERIZES HIS DOMESTIC POLICIES
AND MARKS THEM FOR SUCCESS EQUAL TO HIS ACHIEVEMENTS IN
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS.

THE MOST OB VIOUS INDICATOR OF THE PARTNERSHIP

THEME IN THE DOMESTIC POLICIES OF THIS PRESIDENT IS THE

REVENUE SHARING PROPOSAL HE INTRODUCED LAST YEAR TO AN

AS YET UNRESPONSIVE CONGRESS. IN MARKED CONTRAST TO THE

DEMOCRAT FASCINATION WITH ACCELERATING THE FLOW OF

POWER FROM THE PEOPLE TO THE GOVERNMENT IN WASHINGTON,

THIS PROPOSAL IS BUILT ON THE COUNTER-PREMISE THAT IT IS

TIME FOR THAT FLOW TO BE REVERSED. FOR THE FEDERAL

GOVERNMENT TO BEGIN TO RELINQUISH SOME OF THE CONTROL

OVER LOCAL MATTERS WHICH IT HAS ASSUMED IN RECENT YEARS,

AND GIVE IT BACK TO LOCAL PEOPLE WHO KNOW BEST THEIR OWN

PROBLEMS AND CAN BEST WORK OUT THE SOLUTIONS TO THEM.

BUT REVENUE SHARING IS NOT THE SOLE EXAMPLE OF THE NIXON POLICY OF DOMESTIC PARTNERSHIP, NOR IS THAT THEME RESERVED FOR UNPASSED PROPOSALS WHICH AWAIT THEIR FATE AT THE HANDS OF THE DEMOCRAT DOMINATED CONGRESS.

THE RECORD OF THIS REPUBLICAN ADMINISTRATION IS A RECORD OF ACCOMPLISHMENT AND PROGRESS. AND THE MANY NOTABLE SUCCESSES OF THE ADMINISTRATION ARE CHARACTERIZED BY THE FACT THAT ALL DEPENDED UPON THE WILLING COOPERATION OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AND THE ACTIVE INVOLVEMENT OF A VARIETY OF AMERICAN INSTITUTIONS AND OF GOVERNMENT AT ALL LEVELS.

THE PRESIDENT IS WINNING THE FIGHT AGAINST INFLATION,
HE IS DOING IT THE ONLY REALISTIC WAY IT IS POSSIBLE - WITH
THE SUPPORT OF AMERICANS ACROSS THIS LAND. WHEN HE
INVOKED WAGE AND PRICE CONTROLS, HE REFUSED TO TAKE A
COURSE OF ACTION THAT MANY PEOPLE HAD URGED UPON HIM.

HE REFUSED TO ESTABLISH A MASSIVE ARMY OF FEDERAL
BUREAUCRATS TO ENFORCE THE CONTROLS. INSTEAD HE
CALLED UPON THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AT LARGE TO FUNCTION
AS AN ALL-VOLUNTEER ARMY OF INTERESTED CITIZENS AND TO
MAKE, BY THEIR GOOD-WILL AND COOPERATION - BY THEIR
PARTNERSHIP - A VICTORY FOR US ALL AGAINST THE RAMPANT
INFLATION THAT FOR TOO LONG HAS ROBBED THE POCKETS OF
ALL AMERICANS.

NIXON'S GOALS ARE AMERICA'S GOALS

AND, AS I SAID, PRESIDENT NIXON AND HIS ADMINISTRATION
HAS DESEGREGATED MORE SCHOOLS THAN ANY PRESIDENT IN
HISTORY. THIS WAS DONE PEACEFULLY AND WITH A LMOST NONE
OF THE DISRUPTION THAT HAD MARKED SIMILAR EFFORTS OF
PREVIOUS CHIEF EXECUTIVES. AND THE ONE THING THAT MADE
THIS POSSIBLE WAS THE DETERMINATION OF THE PRESIDENT TO
ENLIST AND RELY UPON THE COOPERATION OF LOCAL PEOPLE
AND LOCAL INSTITUTIONS IN THIS EFFORT.

I BELIEVE THAT THE APPEAL OF THIS PRESIDENT FOR
THE SUPPORT AND ACTIVE COOPERATION OF THE AMERICAN
PEOPLE, FOR THEIR PRAYERS IN WHAT THEY CANNOT BE
DIRECTLY INVOLVED IN, AND FOR THEIR WORK IN WHAT THEY
CAN, IS THE ONLY PRO PER POSTURE FOR AN AMERICAN
PRESIDENT TO TAKE. IT IS, INDEED, THE ONLY POSTURE
CONSISTENT WITH OUR AMERICAN TRADITION.

AND IT IS THE ONLY WAY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE CAN ACHIEVE THE GOALS THEY HAVE SET FOR THEMSELVES - A BETTER AMERICA AND A MORE PEACEFUL WORLD. THE PRESIDENT SPEAKS OF A FULL GENERATION OF PEACE AND OF REAL PROSPERITY WITHOUT INFLATION. THESE ARE THE GOALS HE HAS SET FOR HIMSELF AND FOR HIS ADMINISTRATION. BUT THEY ARE NOT GOALS HE HAS IMPOSED ON AMERICA. THEY ARE THE VERY GOALS WHICH HAVE EMERGED FROM THE CONSENSUS OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. THEY ARE WHAT THE PEOPLE OF THIS COUNTRY WANT AND HAVE A RIGHT TO EXPECT THEIR ELECTED LEADERS TO WORK FOR.

- 24 -

GOOD BEGINNINGS DESERVE AMERICA'S SUPPORT

THOSE WHO WOULD DISCOUNT THEM AS UNREALISTIC OR UNATTAINABLE, THOSE DEMOCRATS WHO WOULD TRY TO DISCREDIT THEM AS POLITICAL RHETORIC, FAIL TO PERCEIVE THE NEEDS AND DESIRES OF OUR PEOPLE JUST AS THEY FAILED TO PERCEIVE THE NEEDS AND THE POSSIBILITIES OF A CHANGING WORLD WHEN - IN THE SIXTIES - THEY HAD A CHANCE TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.

THIS PRESIDENT - RICHARD NIXON - HAS DURING THE PAST
THREE YEARS, DONE MORE ABOUT IT - DONE MORE TO WIN THE
PEACE AND RESTORE PEACE-TIME PROSPERITY - THAN ANY
PRESIDENT IN THIS CENTURY.

GOP HAS A JOB TO DO

THE MORE IMPORTANT. STRANGE AS IT MAY SEEM, THERE WILL

BE THOSE WHO MAY BELIEVE THE MISREPRESENTATIONS OF THE

DEMOCRAT CANDIDATES. UNLESS WE TELL THE STORY OF RICHARD

NIXON'S ACCOMPLISHMENTS--UNLESS WE TELL THAT STORY OVER

AND OVER AGAIN -- THERE WILL BE THOSE WHO WILL BE TAKEN

IN BY THE OVER-SIMPLIFICATIONS AND BANALITY THAT THE

DEMOCRAT CANDIDATES WILL TRY TO PASS OFF AS LEADERSHIP.

THERE WILL BE SOME --THOSE PRESUMABLY WHO IN LINCOLN'S

PHRASE, CAN BE FOOLED ALL OF THE TIME --WHO WILL FAIL TO

APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT RICHARD NIXON HAS OPENED

UP FOR THIS COUNTRY. IT IS OUR JOB TO GET TO THOSE PEOPLE,

TO TELL THEM THE RECORD OF THIS ADMINISTRATION AND TO

IMPRESS UPON THEM WHAT THE DEMOCRAT CANDIDATES WOULD OFFER

AS AN ALTERNATIVE.

1972---A REPUBLICAN YEAR

I BELIEVE THOUGH, THAT IF WE DO OUR JOB, THEN 1972 IS
GOING TO BE A GOOD YEAR. IT WILL BE A REPUBLICAN YEAR WHEN
WE WILL SEE THE RE-ELECTION OF THE PRESIDENT AND THE
RESTORATION OF THE REPUBLICAN PARTY TO ITS MAJORITY STATUS
AS REPUBLICANS ARE ELECTED TO LOCAL, STATE AND NATIONAL
OFFICES ALL OVER THIS LAND.

1972, AS THE PRESIDENT HAS SAID, IS GOING TO BE A GREAT
YEAR FOR THIS COUNTRY. CONTINUED PROGRESS IN THE FIGHT
AGAINST INFLATION AND THE SUCCESSFUL TRANSITION FROM A WARTIME ECONOMY TO PEACE-TIME PROSPERITY WILL BEAR OUT HIS
PREDICTION.

1972 WILL BE MARKED BY THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS IN INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS SINCE THE END OF WORLD WAR II. IT PROMISES IN FACT TO MARK A TURNING POINT IN THE POST WAR ERA

WHEN PEACE ---ONCE AT BEST THE OBJECT OF CYNICISM AND DESPAIR ---WILL BECOME, AT LONG LAST, A REALISTIC AND REALIZABLE GOAL.

IN THE CAMPAIGN OF 1968, THE ISSUES WERE WAR AND THE ECONOMIC PROBLEMS BROUGHT ON BY WAR. THE ISSUES IN 1972 WILL BE PEACE AND PROSPERITY BASED ON PEACE. AND THEY WILL BE REPUBLICAN ISSUES.

AND THERE WILL BE ONE OTHER ISSUE. IT TOO WILL BE A REPUBLICAN ISSUE. AN ISSUE ON WHICH REPUBLICANS CAN CAMPAIGN PROUDLY AND WITH CONFIDENCE. FOR IT IS THE QUESTION OF LEADERSHIP THAT PROMISES MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE, TO DOMINATE THE PRESENT CAMPAIGN.

NO OTHER PRESIDENT IN MODERN TIMES HAS HAD SO PROFOUND

AN EFFECT ON THE PEOPLE OF HIS COUNTRY. NO OTHER PRESIDENT

IN MODERN TIMES HAS FACED CHALLENGES AS GREAT NOR RESPONDED

SO WELL AS HAS RICHARD NIXON.

NO MAN IN MODERN TIMES HAS DONE MORE FOR THE PROSPECTS
OF WORLD PEACE THAN THIS PRESIDENT.

AND IT IS UP TO US TO WORK AS HARD AS WE CAN -- AS VIGOROUSLY AS OUR ENERGIES WILL ALLOW -- TO MAKE THIS RECORD OF LEADERSHIP KNOWN TO OUR FRIENDS, OUR NEIGHBORS AND OUR CO-WORKERS.

RICHARD NIXON HAS WORKED TO "SERVE AMERICA BETTER SO THAT AMERICA CAN BETTER SERVE MANKIND" AND WE MUST WORK NOW TO SECURE HIS RE-ELECTION SO THAT HE CAN CONTINUE IN THAT WORK. SO THAT HE CAN CONTINUE TO BUILD ON THE LEGACY OF LINCOLN'S LEADERSHIP AND WITH OUR HELP AND OUR PRAYERS CONTINUE TO BUILD A "BETTER AMERICA IN A MORE PEACE FUL WORLD."

This document is from the collections at the Dole Archives, University of Kansas http://dolearchives.ku.edu comple / CLIA LILLY SHIDHER BUDGA KNOWN TO OUR PRIENDS, OUR MICHBORS AMERICA CAN BETTER BERVE MANKING" AND WE MU