

REMARKS OF SENATOR BOB DOLE
FRESHMAN ORIENTATION
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 1969

IN 1959, DURING THE SUNSET YEARS OF HIS LIFE, WINSTON CHURCHILL WANTED TO SPEND MOST OF HIS TIME SIPPING LIQUID REFRESHMENT IN THE ANTE-ROOM OF THE HOUSE OF COMMONS. ONCE WHEN THERE WAS A CALL FOR A DIVISION AND EACH M.P. HAD TO WALK IN AND EITHER TURN TO THE RIGHT OR THE LEFT, CHURCHILL, AS HE WADDLED IN, COLLIDED WITH BESSIE BRADACK, A 300 POUND M.P. FROM LIVERPOOL, AND KNOCKED HER TO THE FLOOR.

BESSIE, MADDER THAN A HORNET, PULLED HERSELF UP AND SAID, "SIR WINSTON, YOU ARE DRUNK, WHAT'S MORE, YOU ARE DISGUSTINGLY DRUNK."

CHURCHILL, LOOKING AT THE OBESE WOMAN REPLIED, "MRS. BRADACK, YOU ARE UGLY, AND WHAT'S MORE, YOU ARE DISGUSTINGLY UGLY. BUT TOMORROW I, WINSTON CHURCHILL, SHALL BE SOBER."

-2-

PROBLEMS OF SOCIETY

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, (WITHOUT WANTING TO DRAW TOO CLOSE A PARALLEL), TODAY YOU ARE COLLEGE FRESHMEN, BUT TOMORROW YOU WILL BE THE LEADERS OF OUR COUNTRY. FIRST, AS STUDENTS, THEN AS LEADERS, IT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO BECOME INVOLVED IN THE UNFINISHED WORK OF OUR SOCIETY ---- EFFORTS TO ERASE THE CONSEQUENCES OF RACIAL INJUSTICE, TO AFFORD EQUAL OPPORTUNITY TO THE DISADVANTAGED, TO ATTACK THE PROBLEMS OF BLIGHTED CITIES, TO SECURE JUSTICE AT HOME AND PEACE ABROAD.

STUDENT REACTION

MANY YOUNG PEOPLE ARE DISCOURAGED AND DISMAYED WITH SOCIETY'S RESPONSE TO THESE PROBLEMS. THEY HAVE FOUND A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN WHAT WE AS ADULTS PREACH AND WHAT WE DO. WHAT THE STUDENT FINDS IS A GULF BETWEEN THE IDEAL AND THE REAL, BETWEEN THE UNSOLVED PROBLEMS OF THE PRESENT AND THE HISTORICAL ACHIEVEMENTS OF THE PAST.

AS A RESULT, STUDENTS OPPOSING THE WAR IN VIETNAM MISTRUST THE

-3-

REGULAR CHANNELS OF DEMOCRACY AND TURN TO MASS DEMONSTRATIONS. INSTEAD OF WORKING FOR PEACE CANDIDATES, YOUTHS HOLD SIT DOWNS IN THE STREETS, BLOCKADE MILITARY RECRUITERS' OFFICES OR BURN THEIR DRAFT CARDS.

STUDENTS NOW CALL FOR CONFRONTATION RATHER THAN NEGOTIATION. THEY ADVOCATE STRIKES AND DESTRUCTION OF PUBLIC PROPERTY AS THE ONLY WAY TO EFFECT CHANGE IN OUR INSTITUTIONS.

THEY CLAIM VIOLENCE AND RADICAL ACTION ARE NECESSARY TO FREE THE OPPRESSED AND GAIN THE ATTENTION OF THE COUNTRY'S CONSCIENCE.

THESE TACTICS ARE COMPARED TO THE 20TH CENTURY VERSION OF THE BOSTON TEA PARTY --- A REVOLT TO REDRESS GRIEVANCES.

USE OF DEMOCRATIC PROCESS

JEFFERSON'S "RIGHT OF REBELLION" IN THE "DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE" WAS PREDICATED ON THE DENIAL OF DEMOCRATIC MEANS. IF THERE IS NO POPULAR ASSEMBLY TO PROVIDE AN ADJUSTMENT OF ILLS, AND IF THERE IS NO COURT SYSTEM TO AFFORD THE DISPOSITION OF INJUSTICES, THEN THERE IS, INDEED, A RIGHT TO REBEL.

-4-

AS THE 17TH CENTURY'S JOHN LOCKE, THE PHILOSOPHICAL FATHER OF THE "DECLARATION OF INDEPENDENCE" WROTE: "WHENEVER LAW ENDS, TYRANNY BEGINS.....AND THE PEOPLE ARE ABSOLVED FROM ANY FURTHER OBEDIENCE. GOVERNMENTS ARE DISSOLVED FROM WITHIN WHEN THE LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER IS ALTERED, WHEN THE GOVERNMENT (BECOMES).....ARBITRARY DISPOSERS OF LIVES, LIBERTIES AND FORTUNES OF THE PEOPLE, SUCH REVOLUTIONS HAPPEN....."

BUT SOME PROPONENTS OF REVOLUTIONARY REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES, MOST RECENTLY HARRY EDWARDS IN A "PLAYBOY" MAGAZINE PANEL ON "STUDENT REVOLT", SAY THAT THE TEST OF THE NEED FOR RADICAL ACTION IS NOT THE UNAVAILABILITY OF DEMOCRATIC INSTITUTIONS, BUT THE INABILITY OF THE STUDENT TO EFFECT THESE INSTITUTIONS.

-5-

IN OTHER WORDS, IF DISSATISFACTION EXISTS, DISOBEDIENCE IS
REQUIRED.

CIVIL RESISTANCE

IT IS READILY APPARENT THAT DEEP AFFLICTIONS EXIST IN AMERICA. AN ALUMNUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, SECRETARY OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE, ROBERT FINCH, POINTED OUT IN HIS COMMENCEMENT ADDRESS HERE LAST JUNE, THAT "CAMPUS UNREST IS REALLY JUST A SHORT-HAND EXPRESSION FOR SOCIAL CURRENTS, FAR DEEPER, FAR MORE PERVASIVE, THAN JUST THE NATION'S ACADEMIC COMMUNITY." BUT THERE NEVER HAS BEEN, NOR EVER WILL BE, A SOCIETY THAT WON'T HAVE ITS INEQUALITIES. TO ALLOW A CARTE-BLANCHE RIGHT OF CIVIL RESISTANCE TO BE ALWAYS AVAILABLE AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THE DEMOCRATIC PROCEDURES OF PETITION, DEBATE AND ASSEMBLY, WOULD SPAWN GREATER INJUSTICES. THE JEFFERSONS AND WASHINGTONS

-6-

RESISTED TYRANNICAL GOVERNMENT WITH THE RESOLUTION OF PROMOTING THE AUTONOMY OF ELECTION. TODAY THE RADICAL STUDENTS SHOW IMPATIENCE WITH THE PROCESS OF DEMOCRACY WHEN THEY TURN TO THE METHODS OF CONFRONTATION. SUCH IMPATIENCE IS PERHAPS INEVITABLE IN LIGHT OF YOUTHFUL ENTHUSIASM AND THE PAST CONCESSIONS WON BY CIVIL RIGHTS LEADERS THROUGH COLLECTIVE PRESSURE. UNFORTUNATELY, ALTHOUGH THE LANDMARK CIVIL RIGHTS STATUTES AND SUPREME COURT DECISIONS ARE A TESTAMENT TO THE VIABILITY OF DEMOCRACY, THE VICTORIES HAVE BEEN ATTRIBUTED NOT SO MUCH TO THE FORUMS OF DEMOCRACY, AS TO THE FORCE OF DEMONSTRATIONS.

BUT THE PROBLEM WITH MASS ACTION IS THAT DEMONSTRATIONS CAN BECOME BLOCKADES, AND ENTREATY FOR THE RIGHTS OF SOME CAN BE AN INJURY TO THE RIGHTS OF OTHERS. MASS PICKETING CAN BLOCK ENTRY TO BUILDINGS, SIT-INS CAN IMMOBILIZE A UNIVERSITY AND MASS DEMONSTRATIONS CAN TOUCH OFF VIOLENCE UNWANTED BY BOTH SIDES.

-7-

THE ANSWER BY PROTESTORS TO SUCH CHARGES IS THAT ONLY SUCH MASS CONFRONTATION CAN BRING IMMEDIATE ACTION, THAT THE PROCESS OF DEMOCRATIC RELIEF IS TOO SLOW, THAT ANY INJURIES ARE THE NECESSARY PRICE IN THE PURSUIT OF JUSTICE. THEIR ANSWER IS SIMPLY "THE ENDS JUSTIFY THE MEANS".

NOW SOME RATIONALIZE ALL FORMS OF DEMONSTRATION AS FORMS OF DISSENT. OUR "BILL OF RIGHTS" GUARANTEES WIDE OPPORTUNITIES TO USE MASS MEETINGS AND ORGANIZED DEMONSTRATIONS TO STIMULATE SENTIMENT AND TO DRAMATIZE ISSUES. BUT THE RIGHTS OF FREE EXPRESSION CANNOT BE FORCE CLOAKED IN THE GARB OF FREE SPEECH. AS THE COURTS HAVE DECREED IN LABOR CASES, FREE ASSEMBLY DOES NOT MEAN MASS PICKETING OR SIT DOWN STRIKES; ^{they} HAVE TO BE SUBJECT TO LIMITATIONS OF TIME AND PLACE IN ORDER TO SECURE THE RIGHTS OF OTHERS. WHEN MILITANTS STORM A UNIVERSITY PRESIDENT'S OFFICE TO ACHIEVE THEIR DEMANDS, WHEN STUDENTS PROMOTE MASS DEMONSTRATIONS IN AN EFFORT TO CLOSE DOWN A UNIVERSITY, THIS

-8-

IS NOT ONLY DISSENT, BUT A DENIAL OF RIGHTS OF OTHER STUDENTS TO ATTEND CLASSES.

WHEN VIETNAM PROTESTORS SIT DOWN ON A ROTC PARADE GROUNDS OR BLOCK JOB RECRUITERS FOR DOW CHEMICAL, THAT IS NOT SO MUCH THE LAWFUL USE OF MASS PROTEST AS IT IS THE UNLAWFUL USE OF MASS POWER. WHEN STUDENTS OPPOSED TO THE WAR TURN OVER THE CAR OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE OR SHOUT DOWN THE SECRETARY OF STATE, THIS IS NOT AN EXERCISE OF THE RIGHT OF EXPRESSION, BUT THE USE OF THE MIGHT OF EXTORTION. THE PROBLEM WITH MASS ACTION IS THAT IT RELIES NOT ON GOVERNMENT BY CONSENT BUT GOVERNMENT BY CROWD. IT ENCOURAGES NOT GOVERNMENT BY PERSUASION BUT GOVERNMENT BY PRESSURE. THEY ARE SUGGESTING DECISIONS NOT OCCASIONED BY PRESSURE BUT BY RIOT.

-9-

AS JUSTICE HUGO BLACK, ONE OF THE FOREMOST ADVOCATES AND DEFENDERS OF THE RIGHT TO PROTEST AND DISSENT, SAID: "EXPERIENCE DEMONSTRATES THAT IT IS NOT A FAR STEP FROM THE EARNEST, HONEST KIND-SPIRITED MULTITUDE OF TODAY TO THE FANATICAL, THREATENING LAWLESS MOB OF TOMORROW. AND THE CROWDS THAT PRESS IN THE STREETS FOR NOBLE CAUSES TODAY CAN BE SUPPLANTED TOMORROW BY STREET MOBS PRESSURING THE COURTS FOR PRECISELY OPPOSITE ENDS."

CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

SOCIETY'S NEED TO PROTECT ITSELF, CLEARLY REQUIRES THE ARREST OF DEMONSTRATORS WHO WOULD TRESPASS ON THE PUBLIC PEACE. BUT MORE DIFFICULT IS SOCIETY'S POSTURE TOWARD THE CIVIL DISOBEDIENT. UNLIKE THE MASS DEMONSTRATOR OR THE PROTESTOR, THE TRUE CIVIL DISOBEDIENT COMMITS NO VIOLENCE AND DOES NOT TRESPASS ON OTHERS RIGHTS.

THE CIVIL DISOBEDIENT, WHILE DELIBERATELY VIOLATING A LAW SHOWS AN OBLIQUE RESPECT BY VOLUNTARILY SUBMITTING TO ITS SANCTIONS.

-10-

HE NEITHER RESISTS ARREST NOR EVADES PUNISHMENT. THUS, HE BREAKS THE LAW, BUT NOT THE PEACE. HE VIOLATES THE LAW BUT NOT HIS CONSCIENCE.

CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE IS NO NEW TERM COINED BY THOSE ENGAGED IN PROTEST OF THE VIETNAM WAR. IT WAS A PHRASE FIRST USED BY HENRY DAVID THOREAU IN PROTEST OF THE MEXICAN WAR. CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE IS THE PEACEABLE RESISTANCE OF CONSCIENCE. SUCH A CONCEPT WAS FIRST INTRODUCED NOT IN OUR DEMOCRACY OF AMERICA, BUT IN THE VERY FIRST DEMOCRACY IN ATHENS. SOCRATES ACCEPTED THE DEATH PENALTY FOR HIS TEACHING OF RELIGIOUS MATTERS TO YOUTHS CONTRARY TO STATE LAWS. SOCRATES BELIEVED THAT REASON COULD DICTATE A CONSCIENTIOUS DISOBEDIENCE OF STATE LAW. BUT HE ALSO BELIEVED THAT THE MORAL PROTESTOR HAD TO ACCEPT THE LEGAL SANCTIONS OF THAT DISOBEYED LAW.

-11-

THOREAU TOOK THIS PHILOSOPHY OF NONVIOLENCE TO PROTEST THE MEXICAN WAR AND THEN TURNED IT AGAINST THE INSTITUTION OF SLAVERY. BY REFUSING TO PAY TAXES WHICH WOULD HELP PAY THE ENFORCERS OF THE FUGITIVE SLAVE LAWS, HE WENT TO PRISON.

SIXTY YEARS LATER, GANDHI TOOK THOREAU'S CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE AS HIS STRATEGY TO WIN INDIAN INDEPENDENCE FROM ENGLAND. GANDHI, IN HIS DESCRIPTION OF THE CIVIL DISOBEDIENT, WROTE: "THE LAWBREAKER BREAKS THE LAW SURREPTITIOUSLY AND TRIES TO AVOID THE PENALTY. NOT SO THE CIVIL RESISTOR; HE OPENLY AND WILLINGLY BREAKS THEM AND QUIETLY SUFFERS THE PENALTY FOR THEIR BREAK.....IN ORDER TO REGISTER HIS PROTEST AGAINST THE ACTION OF THE LAW-GIVERS."

-12-

THE CONSCIENTIOUS LAW BREAKER OF GANDHI AND THOREAU IS TO BE NARROWLY DISTINGUISHED FROM THE CONSCIENTIOUS LAW-TESTER OF MARTIN LUTHER KING. THE CIVIL DISOBEDIENT LIKE A THOREAU OR SOCRATES WITHHOLDS TAXES OR VIOLATES THE STATE LAWS ON RELIGION BELIEVING OR KNOWING HE IS LEGALLY WRONG, BUT MORALLY RIGHT. DR. KING LED HIS FOLLOWERS IN VIOLATION OF STATE ORDINANCES HE BELIEVED CONTRARY TO THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION. WHEN THE SUPREME COURT UPHELD HIS FREEDOM RIDES, HE WAS NOT THEN A CIVIL DISOBEDIENT.

THE CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE OF THOREAU OR GANDHI IS LIKE THAT OF JOAN BAEZ WHO WITHHOLDS PAYING A PERCENTAGE OF HER TAXES THAT GOES TO THE DEFENSE DEPARTMENT, OR LIKE THE VIETNAM WAR PROTESTOR WHO TEARS UP HIS DRAFT CARD. THEY HAVE BEEN SUBMISSIVE TO THE PENALTIES OF THE LAW. THEY HAVE NEITHER EVADED THE LAW NOR INTERFERED WITH ANOTHER'S RIGHTS. THE THRUST OF THEIR CAUSE HAS NOT BEEN MIGHT OF COERCION, BUT THE MARTYRDOM OF CONSCIENCE.

-13-

SHOULD SUCH MARTYRDOM OF CONSCIENCE INVITE SOCIETY'S MORAL
COMPASSION, IF NOT THE LEGAL CONDONATION?

EFFECT ON SOCIETY

IN A DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY, WE CANNOT CONDONE LAW VIOLATION EVEN
FOR ENDS RECOGNIZED AS LAUDABLE. ENCOURAGEMENT TO SUCH ACTIONS IS AN
INVITATION TO ANARCHY, AND THE PERMISSIVENESS OF ANARCHY IS HARDLY
LESS TOLERABLE THAN THE REPRESSIVENESS OF TYRANNY. INDEED, TOO OFTEN
THE LICENSE OF LIBERTY IS FOLLOWED BY THE LOSS OF LIBERTY, FOR INTO
THE VACUUM CREATED BY ANARCHY RIDES THE HITLER OR MUSSOLINI ON HORSE-
BACK.

IF THE CHILDREN OF LIGHT ARE MORALLY PRIVILEGED TO RESIST
PARTICULAR LAWS ON GROUNDS OF CONSCIENCE, SO CAN THE CHILDREN OF DARKNESS.

-14-

IF THE DECISION TO BREAK THE LAW REALLY TURNED ON INDIVIDUAL CONSCIENCE, WHAT DIFFERENCE IS THERE BETWEEN THE CIVIL RIGHTS LEADER AND FORMER GOVERNOR GEORGE WALLACE?

TO ADOPT THE TECHNIQUES OF CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE IS TO ASSUME THAT REPRESENTATIVE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT WORK.

TO ABSTAIN FROM DEMOCRATIC INVOLVEMENT IS TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE FAILURE OF THE DEMOCRATIC SYSTEM.

EFFECT OF YOUTH

TODAY, IN AMERICA, YOU CAN HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL IMPACT ON THE SOLUTIONS TO OUR PROBLEMS IF YOU BECOME INVOLVED. RATHER THAN CONTRIBUTE TO THE FAILURE OF OUR DEMOCRATIC SYSTEM, YOU CAN STRENGTHEN IT. THERE ARE PRESENTLY THREE TIMES AS MANY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN AMERICA AS FARMERS -- APPROXIMATELY 7 MILLION. AS THEODORE H. WHITE HAS POINTED OUT IN HIS RECENT BOOK, MAKING OF THE PRESIDENT 1968, "WITH THEIR YOUNG BODIES, LIMITLESS ENERGIES AND MATURE DRIVES, STUDENT ACTIVISTS LEAD THE KIND OF RAW MANPOWER WHICH IN OTHER GENERATIONS OF HISTORY CAUSED GREAT AND HOSTILE NATIONS TO TREMBLE."

-15-

POLITICAL ACTION

THE COLLEGE STUDENT CAN MAKE A DIFFERENCE. HE CAN AFFECT THE ESTABLISHMENT. 25,000 COLLEGE STUDENTS DID WHEN THEY HELPED SENATOR EUGENE MC CARTHY CONVINCE PRESIDENT LYNDON B. JOHNSON NOT TO RUN FOR RE-ELECTION.

BY INVOLVING YOURSELF IN THE ACTIVITIES OF EITHER POLITICAL PARTY, YOU CAN MAKE YOUR VOICE HEARD. WE NEED YOUR ENERGY AND FRESH IDEAS AT EVERY LEVEL OF GOVERNMENT. THE NEW POLITICS WE HEAR SO MUCH ABOUT IS REALLY THE "YOUNG POLITICS". NOW MORE THAN EVER BEFORE, YOUNG PEOPLE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO AFFECT THE CHOICE OF A CANDIDATE OR THE PLATFORM OF A PARTY. THERE IS NO GUARANTEE YOU WILL SUCCEED EVERY TIME..... AS WITH THE GAME OF FOOTBALL, FOR WHICH YOUR SCHOOL HAS SUCH A FINE REPUTATION, THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THE TROJANS WILL WIN EVERY TIME THEY TAKE THE FIELD.

REGISTERING VOTERS, DOING RESEARCH FOR CANDIDATES, DEBATING THE ISSUES, SERVING AS VOLUNTEER PRECINCT WORKERS, ARE ALL DUTIES THAT

-16-

ARE NECESSARY TO THE SUCCESS OF A CAMPAIGN. ALL OF YOUR ELECTED OFFICIALS, FROM U. S. SENATOR TO CITY COUNCILMAN, NEED YOUR ASSISTANCE. IN MY CAMPAIGNS, I HAVE OFTEN FOUND THE HELP OF YOUNG PEOPLE MADE THE DIFFERENCE IN THE EFFECTIVENESS OF OUR APPEAL TO THE VOTERS. THIS FACT HAS REPEATEDLY BEEN CONFIRMED BY MY COLLEAGUES.

MANY YOUNG PEOPLE START IN A CAMPAIGN BY STAMPING ENVELOPES OR ORGANIZING PRECINCT WORKERS AND END UP AS SECRETARIES, PRESS AIDES, OR LEGISLATIVE ASSISTANTS FOR THE ELECTED OFFICIAL.

-17-

VOLUNTEER ACTION

YESTERDAY, SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT, GEORGE ROMNEY, AND SPECIAL ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT, BUD WILKINSON, ANNOUNCED A NEW EMPHASIS BY THE ADMINISTRATION ON VOLUNTEER ACTION FOR COLLEGE CAMPUSES. ALREADY, 250,000 COLLEGE STUDENTS ARE WORKING ON OVER 1,000 VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS ACROSS THE COUNTRY THIS YEAR.

AT MICHIGAN STATE, FOR EXAMPLE, BAND MEMBERS ARE TEACHING MUSIC TO IMPOVERISHED CHILDREN. AND AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS, LAW STUDENTS ARE TEACHING MEXICAN-AMERICANS THEIR LEGAL RIGHTS. OF COURSE, IN ORDER TO BE A PARTICIPANT, IT WILL BE NECESSARY TO COMPROMISE. BUT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE BETWEEN SELLING OUT A PRINCIPLE AND MAKING SMALLER CONCESSIONS TO WIN LARGER ONES. THE STUDENT WHO SHRINKS FROM THIS TASK SHOWS NOT HIS SANCTITY BUT HIS SIMPLICITY. HE GUARDS NOT HIS INNOCENCE BUT HIS IGNORANCE.

-18-

THERE IS INJUSTICE IN AMERICA. BUT THE MALAISE OF DEMOCRACY
IS NOT A DISEASE TO BE QUARANTINED FROM, BUT RATHER NEEDS TO BE ADMIN-
ISTERED TO.

POVERTY CAN BE ABOLISHED.

BUT IT WILL BE ABOLISHED MORE BY VOLUNTEER PROJECTS THAN BY
CAMPUS PROTESTS.

BIGOTRY CAN BE EFFACED.

BUT IT WILL BE EFFACED MORE BY STANDING UP FOR THOSE POLI-
TICIANS WE ADMIRE THAN BY LYING DOWN IN THE STREETS AND PUBLIC PAS-
SAGEWAYS.

PEACE CAN BE WON.

BUT IT WILL BE WON MORE BY STUMPING THE PRECINCTS IN CAM-
PAIGNS THAN BY TRAMPLING ON NATIONAL EMBLEMS ON THE CAMPUS.

-19-

POLICY CAN BE CHANGED MORE BY THE AFFIRMATION OF POLITICAL
EXPERIENCE THAN BY THE ABNEGATION OF CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE.

AS PRESIDENT WOODROW WILSON CHALLENGED THE STUDENTS OF
SWARTHMORE COLLEGE IN 1913, I CHALLENGE YOU TODAY:

"DO NOT FORGET, THEN, AS YOU WALK THESE CLASSIC PLACES,
WHY YOU ARE HERE. YOU ARE NOT HERE MERELY TO PREPARE TO MAKE A
LIVING. YOU ARE HERE IN ORDER TO ENABLE THE WORLD TO LIVE MORE
AMPLEY, WITH GREATER VISION, WITH A FINER SPIRIT OF HOPE AND ACHIEVE-
MENT. YOU ARE HERE TO ENRICH THE WORLD, AND YOU IMPOVERISH YOURSELF
IF YOU FORGET THE ERRAND."

- 20 -

CONCLUSION

I SAID EARLIER THAT OUR AGE IS ONE OF DIFFICULTIES AND CHALLENGES. THIS IS TRUE IN EVERY ASPECT OF OUR LIVES. BUT THERE ARE ALSO SOLUTIONS AND ANSWERS.

TEDDY ROOSEVELT WAS ONCE ASKED WHAT AN ORDINARY CITIZEN COULD DO TO HELP THE COUNTRY.

T. R. REPLIED, "DO WHAT YOU CAN, WITH WHAT YOU HAVE, WHERE YOU ARE, BUT DO IT."

WE NEED THIS SENTIMENT TODAY. IF EACH OF US WILL DO HIS PART, WITH HIS TALENTS AND NOW, WE WILL FIND OUR ANSWERS AND DISCOVER OUR SOLUTIONS.