

MEADE COUNTY DINNER MEETING  
MEADE, KANSAS  
OCTOBER 28, 1967  
Remarks of Congressman Bob Dole

THE ANTI-SPENDING REBELLION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IS NOT SIMPLY A WRATHFUL REACTION BY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS AGAINST THE JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION. WRATH MAY MOTIVATE SOME OF THE MEMBERS, BUT THE REAL SOURCE OF THIS REVOLT WAS THE VOTERS -- VOTERS WHO HAVE LET THEIR CONGRESSMEN KNOW IN CLEAR AND UNMISTAKABLE TERMS THAT THEY ARE NOT ABOUT TO ACCEPT A TAX INCREASE, PARTICULARLY IF IT IS NOT ACCOMPANIED BY SIGNIFICANT AND MEANINGFUL CUTS IN FEDERAL SPENDING. ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, BY A VOTE OF 253 TO 143, PASSED AN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION WHICH SAID, IN EFFECT, THAT THE PRESIDENT MAY NOT SPEND MORE THAN \$131.5 BILLION IN THIS FISCAL YEAR, EXCEPT FOR ESSENTIAL AND UNFORESEEN EXPENSES OF THE WAR IN VIETNAM. 171 REPUBLICANS AND 67 DEMOCRATS VOTED FOR THE RESOLUTION, AND 9 REPUBLICANS AND 155 DEMOCRATS VOTED AGAINST IT. I PREDICT FURTHER ECONOMY MOVES BEFORE CONGRESS ADJOURNS THIS YEAR ---- IF THE PEOPLE, YES, VOTERS AND NON-VOTERS, KEEP UP THE PRESSURE.

A HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT

IN MY OPINION, THIS BIPARTISAN MOVE FOR ECONOMY WAS A HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT. IT PROVED THAT SOME MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, DESPITE THEIR PARTY AFFILIATION OR PHILOSOPHICAL LEANINGS, LISTEN TO THEIR CONSTITUENTS. WHILE THIS SHOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT, IT HAS BEEN OBVIOUS THE PAST FEW YERAS THAT MANY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS LISTENED ONLY TO PRESIDENT JOHNSON AND OTHER ADMINISTRATION LEADERS AND SELDOM, IF EVER, CONSIDERED THE VIEWS OF THE FOLKS BACK HOME. THE REVOLT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WAS A RESOUNDING VICTORY FOR THE TAXPAYERS OF AMERICA. MOST TAXPAYERS KNOW THAT IF FEDERAL SPENDING



2

IS NOT HEAVILY TRIMMED, THE DEFICIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1968 COULD BE IN EXCESS OF \$29 BILLION.

#### SURTAX ???

LET ME SAY THAT ONE REASON CONGRESS IS WILLING TO CONSIDER THE PRESIDENT'S REQUEST FOR A SURTAX IS THE WELL-FOUNDED BELIEF THAT IF THE TAX INCREASE WERE PASSED BEFORE ANY MEANINGFUL CUTS WERE MADE IN FEDERAL EXPENDITURES, THE PRESIDENT MIGHT USE THE ADDED REVENUE, NOT TO REDUCE THE DEFICIT, BUT TO EXPAND AND TO ENLARGE HIS "GREAT SOCIETY" PROGRAMS.

THE RECORD IS CLEAR; FOR EXAMPLE, IN FISCAL YEAR 1960, NON-DEFENSE SPENDING BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS \$48.6 BILLION. THE ESTIMATED NON-DEFENSE SPENDING FOR FISCAL 1968 IS ESTIMATED TO BE \$95.6 BILLION OR AN INCREASE OF 97 PERCENT. IN THE 5-YEAR PERIOD OF THE JOHNSON-HUMPHREY ADMINISTRATION, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS SPENT \$60.5 BILLION MORE THAN IT HAS TAKEN IN. THE INTEREST ALONE ON THIS DEFICIT WILL COST THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER \$2.8 BILLION A YEAR FOR EVERY YEAR IT REMAINS UNPAID.

THE AMERICAN TAXPAYERS CANNOT AFFORD MUCH MORE OF JOHNSON'S "GREAT SOCIETY". THEY APPEAR MORE INTERESTED IN A RETIREMENT PROGRAM -- HIS.

#### CONGRESS MUST SHARE RESPONSIBILITY

WHO IS EQUALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL SPENDTHRIFT ATTITUDE TOWARD THE TAXPAYERS' MONEY? IT IS THE DEMOCRATIC-CONTROLLED CONGRESS.

INDICATIVE OF THE "PROGRESS" WHICH THE DEMOCRATS TALK ABOUT SO FREELY IS THE FACT THAT MORE THAN 100 NEW FEDERAL PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN ENACTED BY CONGRESS SINCE 1955. THEY NOW COST \$16.5 BILLION A YEAR.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON HAS BEEN ONE OF THE INSTIGATORS OF MANY OF THESE PROGRAMS--BUT THE CONGRESS HAS BEEN THE ARCHITECT THAT HAS MADE THEM SO EXPENSIVE. DEMOCRAT MAJORITIES HAVE SWEPT ASIDE REASON, HAVE BEEN BLATANTLY POLITICAL IN THEIR VOTE-BUYING AIMS. LBJ PROPOSED 16 NEW PROGRAMS LAST



3

JANUARY IN A BURST OF WAR-TIME "ECONOMY". UNDER THE NEW FRONTIER AND THE GREAT SOCIETY IN THE LAST SEVEN YEARS, 78 NEW FEDERAL PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN LAUNCHED. FORTY-SIX OF THESE WERE ADDED AFTER 1965. CONGRESS HAS BEEN PADDING THE PUBLIC PAYROLLS TO THE TUNE OF 12 NEW PROGRAMS A YEAR SINCE 1962. TODAY, FIRST-YEAR COSTS SINCE 1962 RUN AN AVERAGE OF \$430 MILLION, COMPARED WITH A \$100 MILLION AVERAGE IN THE PRECEDING SIX YEARS.

THE NEW PROGRAMS ENACTED BY THE DEMOCRATS SINCE 1955 HAVE COST \$85 BILLION IN TAX DOLLARS. NINE BILLION DOLLARS OF THIS YEAR'S BUDGET COME FROM NEW PROGRAMS INAUGURATED BY LBJ AND HIS CONGRESSIONAL COHORTS. OF COURSE, OLD PROGRAMS KEEP EXPANDING.



WILL THERE BE ECONOMY?

WEEKS OF UNSEEMLY SQUABBLING BETWEEN MR. JOHNSON AND CONGRESS HAVE PRODUCED NEITHER THE TAX BOOST WHICH THE PRESIDENT SOUGHT NOR THE CUTS IN SPENDING WHICH HIS CRITICS DEMANDED.

THE "DEBATE" BEGAN LAST SUMMER WHEN PRESIDENT JOHNSON ASKED CONGRESS TO COUNTER INFLATION BY IMPOSING A 10% SURCHARGE ON FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. HE WARNED THAT THE GOVERNMENT MIGHT RUN \$29 BILLION INTO THE RED IF THE HIKE WAS NOT FORTHCOMING.

NOT EVERYBODY IS CONVINCED THAT THE TAX INCREASE WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT INTENDED. IN ANY EVENT, THE REAL POLITICAL MANEUVERING HAS INVOLVED SPENDING MORE THAN TAXING.

REP. WILBUR MILLS (D-ARK.), CHAIRMAN OF THE TAX-WRITING WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE AND A LAWMAKER OF UNUSUAL INTEGRITY, WAS AMONG THE FEW IN CONGRESS WHO FACED UP SQUARELY TO THESE FACTS.

MILLS INSISTS THAT SPENDING CUTS MADE NOW WILL ONLY BE RESTORED LATER, UNLESS CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION ARE WILLING TO RE-EXAMINE ESTABLISHED PROGRAMS, ELIMINATE THOSE WHICH HAVE OUTLIVED THEIR USEFULNESS AND SET SENSIBLE PRIORITIES AMONG THOSE WHICH ARE LEFT.

CONGRESS SHOULD CREATE A JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE TO CONDUCT AN OVERALL REVIEW OF THE FEDERAL BUDGET -- SOMETHING WHICH, SURPRISINGLY, ISN'T DONE NOW.

CONGRESS SHOULD ALSO INSIST ON LONG-RANGE COST PROJECTIONS OF PROPOSED NEW PROGRAMS, AND EQUIP ITSELF WITH WHATEVER COMPUTERS AND STAFF EXPERTS ARE NECESSARY TO MAKE INTELLIGENT, IN-DEPTH ANALYSES OF THE RESULTS.



5

POLITICS BEING WHAT IT IS, NO AMOUNT OF REFORM COULD WHOLLY PREVENT THE KIND OF "ECONOMY" CHARADE WE HAVE WITNESSED IN RECENT WEEKS. BUT, IF THE POLITICIANS COULD BRING THEMSELVES TO MAKE THE EFFORT, IT WOULD HELP.



MEADE COUNTY DINNER MEETING  
MEADE, KANSAS  
OCTOBER 28, 1967  
Remarks of Congressman Bob Dole

THE ANTI-SPENDING REBELLION IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES IS NOT SIMPLY A WRATHFUL REACTION BY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS AGAINST THE JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION. WRATH MAY MOTIVATE SOME OF THE MEMBERS, BUT THE REAL SOURCE OF THIS REVOLT WAS THE VOTERS -- VOTERS WHO HAVE LET THEIR CONGRESSMEN KNOW IN CLEAR AND UNMISTAKABLE TERMS THAT THEY ARE NOT ABOUT TO ACCEPT A TAX INCREASE, PARTICULARLY IF IT IS NOT ACCOMPANIED BY SIGNIFICANT AND MEANINGFUL CUTS IN FEDERAL SPENDING. ON WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 18, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, BY A VOTE OF 253 TO 143, PASSED AN EXPENDITURE LIMITATION WHICH SAID, IN EFFECT, THAT THE PRESIDENT MAY NOT SPEND MORE THAN \$131.5 BILLION IN THIS FISCAL YEAR, EXCEPT FOR ESSENTIAL AND UNFORESEEN EXPENSES OF THE WAR IN VIETNAM. 171 REPUBLICANS AND 67 DEMOCRATS VOTED FOR THE RESOLUTION, AND 9 REPUBLICANS AND 155 DEMOCRATS VOTED AGAINST IT. I PREDICT FURTHER ECONOMY MOVES BEFORE CONGRESS ADJOURNS THIS YEAR ---- IF THE PEOPLE, YES, VOTERS AND NON-VOTERS, KEEP UP THE PRESSURE.

A HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT

IN MY OPINION, THIS BIPARTISAN MOVE FOR ECONOMY WAS A HEALTHY DEVELOPMENT. IT PROVED THAT SOME MEMBERS OF CONGRESS, DESPITE THEIR PARTY AFFILIATION OR PHILOSOPHICAL LEANINGS, LISTEN TO THEIR CONSTITUENTS. WHILE THIS SHOULD NOT BE DIFFICULT, IT HAS BEEN OBVIOUS THE PAST FEW YERAS THAT MANY MEMBERS OF CONGRESS LISTENED ONLY TO PRESIDENT JOHNSON AND OTHER ADMINISTRATION LEADERS AND SELDOM, IF EVER, CONSIDERED THE VIEWS OF THE FOLKS BACK HOME. THE REVOLT IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WAS A RESOUNDING VICTORY FOR THE TAXPAYERS OF AMERICA. MOST TAXPAYERS KNOW THAT IF FEDERAL SPENDING

2

IS NOT HEAVILY TRIMMED, THE DEFICIT FOR FISCAL YEAR 1968 COULD BE IN EXCESS OF \$29 BILLION.

SURTAX ???

LET ME SAY THAT ONE REASON CONGRESS IS WILLING TO CONSIDER THE PRESIDENT'S REQUEST FOR A SURTAX IS THE WELL-FOUNDED BELIEF THAT IF THE TAX INCREASE WERE PASSED BEFORE ANY MEANINGFUL CUTS WERE MADE IN FEDERAL EXPENDITURES, THE PRESIDENT MIGHT USE THE ADDED REVENUE, NOT TO REDUCE THE DEFICIT, BUT TO EXPAND AND TO ENLARGE HIS "GREAT SOCIETY" PROGRAMS.

THE RECORD IS CLEAR; FOR EXAMPLE, IN FISCAL YEAR 1960, NON-DEFENSE SPENDING BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS \$48.6 BILLION. THE ESTIMATED NON-DEFENSE SPENDING FOR FISCAL 1968 IS ESTIMATED TO BE \$95.6 BILLION OR AN INCREASE OF 97 PERCENT. IN THE 5-YEAR PERIOD OF THE JOHNSON-HUMPHREY ADMINISTRATION, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT HAS SPENT \$60.5 BILLION MORE THAN IT HAS TAKEN IN. THE INTEREST ALONE ON THIS DEFICIT WILL COST THE AMERICAN TAXPAYER \$2.8 BILLION A YEAR FOR EVERY YEAR IT REMAINS UNPAID.

THE AMERICAN TAXPAYERS CANNOT AFFORD MUCH MORE OF JOHNSON'S "GREAT SOCIETY". THEY APPEAR MORE INTERESTED IN A RETIREMENT PROGRAM -- HIS".

CONGRESS MUST SHARE RESPONSIBILITY

WHO IS EQUALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FEDERAL SPENDTHRIFT ATTITUDE TOWARD THE TAXPAYERS' MONEY? IT IS THE DEMOCRATIC-CONTROLLED CONGRESS.

INDICATIVE OF THE "PROGRESS" WHICH THE DEMOCRATS TALK ABOUT SO FREELY IS THE FACT THAT MORE THAN 100 NEW FEDERAL PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN ENACTED BY CONGRESS SINCE 1955. THEY NOW COST \$16.5 BILLION A YEAR.

PRESIDENT JOHNSON HAS BEEN ONE OF THE INSTIGATORS OF MANY OF THESE PROGRAMS--BUT THE CONGRESS HAS BEEN THE ARCHITECT THAT HAS MADE THEM SO EXPENSIVE. DEMOCRAT MAJORITIES HAVE SWEEPED ASIDE REASON, HAVE BEEN BLATANTLY POLITICAL IN THEIR VOTE-BUYING AIMS. LBJ PROPOSED 16 NEW PROGRAMS LAST



JANUARY IN A BURST OF WAR-TIME "ECONOMY". UNDER THE NEW FRONTIER AND THE GREAT SOCIETY IN THE LAST SEVEN YEARS, 78 NEW FEDERAL PROGRAMS HAVE BEEN LAUNCHED. FORTY-SIX OF THESE WERE ADDED AFTER 1965. CONGRESS HAS BEEN PADDING THE PUBLIC PAYROLLS TO THE TUNE OF 12 NEW PROGRAMS A YEAR SINCE 1962. TODAY, FIRST-YEAR COSTS SINCE 1962 RUN AN AVERAGE OF \$430 MILLION, COMPARED WITH A \$100 MILLION AVERAGE IN THE PRECEDING SIX YEARS.

THE NEW PROGRAMS ENACTED BY THE DEMOCRATS SINCE 1955 HAVE COST \$85 BILLION IN TAX DOLLARS. NINE BILLION DOLLARS OF THIS YEAR'S BUDGET COME FROM NEW PROGRAMS INAUGURATED BY LBJ AND HIS CONGRESSIONAL COHORTS. OF COURSE, OLD PROGRAMS KEEP EXPANDING.



WILL THERE BE ECONOMY?

WEEKS OF UNSEEMLY SQUABBLING BETWEEN MR. JOHNSON AND CONGRESS HAVE PRODUCED NEITHER THE TAX BOOST WHICH THE PRESIDENT SOUGHT NOR THE CUTS IN SPENDING WHICH HIS CRITICS DEMANDED.

THE "DEBATE" BEGAN LAST SUMMER WHEN PRESIDENT JOHNSON ASKED CONGRESS TO COUNTER INFLATION BY IMPOSING A 10% SURCHARGE ON FEDERAL INCOME TAXES. HE WARNED THAT THE GOVERNMENT MIGHT RUN \$29 BILLION INTO THE RED IF THE HIKE WAS NOT FORTHCOMING.

NOT EVERYBODY IS CONVINCED THAT THE TAX INCREASE WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT INTENDED. IN ANY EVENT, THE REAL POLITICAL MANEUVERING HAS INVOLVED SPENDING MORE THAN TAXING.

REP. WILBUR MILLS (D-ARK.), CHAIRMAN OF THE TAX-WRITING WAYS AND MEANS COMMITTEE AND A LAWMAKER OF UNUSUAL INTEGRITY, WAS AMONG THE FEW IN CONGRESS WHO FACED UP SQUARELY TO THESE FACTS.

MILLS INSISTS THAT SPENDING CUTS MADE NOW WILL ONLY BE RESTORED LATER, UNLESS CONGRESS AND THE ADMINISTRATION ARE WILLING TO RE-EXAMINE ESTABLISHED PROGRAMS, ELIMINATE THOSE WHICH HAVE OUTLIVED THEIR USEFULNESS AND SET SENSIBLE PRIORITIES AMONG THOSE WHICH ARE LEFT.

CONGRESS SHOULD CREATE A JOINT BUDGET COMMITTEE TO CONDUCT AN OVERALL REVIEW OF THE FEDERAL BUDGET -- SOMETHING WHICH, SURPRISINGLY, ISN'T DONE NOW.

CONGRESS SHOULD ALSO INSIST ON LONG-RANGE COST PROJECTIONS OF PROPOSED NEW PROGRAMS, AND EQUIP ITSELF WITH WHATEVER COMPUTERS AND STAFF EXPERTS ARE NECESSARY TO MAKE INTELLIGENT, IN-DEPTH ANALYSES OF THE RESULTS.



5

POLITICS BEING WHAT IT IS, NO AMOUNT OF REFORM COULD WHOLLY  
PREVENT THE KIND OF "ECONOMY" CHARADE WE HAVE WITNESSED IN RECENT  
WEEKS. BUT, IF THE POLITICIANS COULD BRING THEMSELVES TO MAKE THE  
EFFORT, IT WOULD HELP.



101

ALONG WITH OTHERS IN THE STATE OF KANSAS  
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE ABOVE NAMED  
PERSONS ARE THE ONLY PERSONS WHOSE NAMES  
ARE ON THE LIST OF PERSONS WHOSE NAMES  
ARE ON THE LIST OF PERSONS WHOSE NAMES  
ARE ON THE LIST OF PERSONS WHOSE NAMES

- Arlene Weber -