REMARKS OF CONGRESSMAN BOB DOLE
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEE
1964 CANDIDATES CONFERENCE
"SCANDALS IN WASHINGTON"
PANEL
MARRIOTT MOTEL, ARLINGTON, VA.
AUGUST 6, 1964

90 Speed

BILLY SOL ESTES -- THE ONE MAN WHO UNDERSTOOD THE FARM PROGRAM

THE BILLIE SOL ESTES CASE WAS THE FIRST SCANDAL OF SUBSTANCE
TO PLAGUE THE KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION. IT IS IMPORTANT TO US BECAUSE
IT HAD ITS ROOTS IN TEXAS POLITICS, AND BECAUSE PERSONS WHO WERE
POLITICAL ASSOCIATES OF THE THEN VICE PRESIDENT LYNDON B. JOHNSON
WERE AMONG THOSE WHO TRIED TO BE HELPFUL TO BILLIE SOL.

1. BILLIE SOL WAS NAMED BY AGRICULTURE SECRETARY ORVILLE

FREEMAN AS A MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL COTTON ADVISORY COMMITTEE DESPITE

THE FACT THAT THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT'S PERSONNEL OFFICE RE—

COMMENDED AGAINST THE APPOINTMENT. FREEMAN DID NOT EXAMINE THE

RECORDS HIMSELF, BUT ACTED ON THE ADVICE OF UNDER SECRETARY CHARLES S.

MURPHY, WHO HAD BEEN HEAD OF A JOHNSON FOR PRESIDENT COMMITTEE IN THE

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA IN 1960. MURPHY MADE THE RECOMMENDATION DESPITE

THE HIGHLY DEROGATORY PERSONNEL OFFICE REPORT, A 140 PAGE REPORT ON

COTTON ALLOTMENT TRANSFERS OF QUESTIONABLE LEGALITY, AND A HIGHLY CRITIC—

AL F.B.I. REPORT. THIS WAS ADMITTEDLY A WRONG DECISION, BUT FREEMAN

AND MURPHY SAID IT WAS MORE A MISTAKE THAN POLITICAL FAVORITISM.

MANY EDITORIAL PAGES DISAGREED, AND CALLED IT POLITICAL "FAVORITISM."

AUG 6 1964 PAGE 2

2. THERE WAS A LONG DELAY -- OF MORE THAN A YEAR -- BEFORE
THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT DECIDED TO CANCEL ILLEGAL COTTON
ALLOTMENTS THAT ESTES HAD OBTAINED THROUGH A "SCHEME AND DEVICE"
THAT WAS MADE TO APPEAR TO BE A SALE OF FARM LAND. HE WAS IN TROUBLE
ON THESE ACREAGE ALLOTMENT TRANSFERS AS EARLY AS JANUARY, 1961,, WHEN
HE WITHDREW \$40,000 IN CASH FROM THE BANK AND DEPARTED FOR WASHINGTON
FOR THE KENNEDY INAGURAL CELEBRATION. THERE IS TESTIMONY HE SPENT
\$6,000 FOR TICKETS FOR THE INAUGURAL WHICH HE GAVE TO CLIFF CARTER,
AN AIDE TO VICE PRESIDENT JOHNSON, TO DISTRIBUTE AMONG DESERVING
GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES OR POLITICAL HELPERS. THERE HAS BEEN NO DISCLOSURE AS TO WHAT HAPPENED TO THE OTHER \$32,000.

A YEAR LATER, THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT STILL HAD NOT REACHED A DECISION ON THE COTTON ALLOTMENTS, AND THERE WAS TESTIMONY THAT EMERY (RED) JACOBS HAD INSTRUCTED ONE INSPECTOR TO WRITE A SLANTED REPORT FAVORING ESTES. IT APPEARED THAT ESTES WAS GOING TO GET BY WITH A PART OF HIS FRAUD UNTIL A SMALL NEWSPAPER IN PECOS, TEXAS BROKE THE STORY OF SOME LIQUID FERTILIZER BANK FRAUDS AND A STATE COURT OF INQUIRY, AN F.B.I. INVESTIGATION AND THE MCCLELLAN SUBCOMMITTEE INVESTIGATION CAUSED THE CRASH OF THE ESTES EMPIRE. THEN, AND ONLY THEN, DID THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT BRING ACTION TO COLLECT \$544,000 FROM ESTES IN CONNECTION WITH THE ILLEGAL COTTON ALLOTMENT TRANSFERS.

A MEMORANDUM OF APRIL 11, 1962, WRITTEN BY JOHN BAGWELL,
GENERAL COUNSEL FOR THE AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT, NOTES THAT THE VICE

AUG 6 1964 PAGE 3

PRESIDENT (JOHNSON) HAD CONTACT WITH SECRETARY FREEMAN AND UNDER SECRETARY MURPHY IN 1961 IN CONNECTION WITH THE ESTES COTTON ALLOTMENT TRANSFERS.

THE INVESTIGATION OF THIS CASE BY THE MCCLELLAN SUBCOMMITTEE

DEMONSTRATED THE DIFFICULTY OF OBTAINING THE FACTS THAT ARE UNFAVORABLE

TO A GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT. SECRETARY FREEMAN, WHO WAS APPARENTLY UNINFORMED ON SOME ASPECTS OF THE ESTES CASE, MADE A LOUD DEFENSE IN

WHICH HE CHARGED THAT REPUBLICANS WERE BALLOONING THE WHOLE ESTES

SCANDAL OUT OF PERSPECTIVE. HE DENIED ANY FAVORITISM, AND THEN

PROCEEDED TO TRY TO HIDE OR DISREGARD THE FACTS SHOWING FAVORITISM.

THE DEPARTMENT TRIED TO DISCREDIT OR MUZZEE N. BATTLE HALES,
THE ONE EMPLOYEE WHO HAD THE COURAGE TO TESTIFY THAT HE BELIEVED THAT
THE COTTON ALLOTMENT TRANSFERS CONSTITUTED "FAVORITISM." IN MARCH,
HALES WAS DENIED AN IN-GRADE PROMOTION THAT IS NORMALLY AUTOMATIC
"BECAUSE THE ESTES INVESTIGATION REPORT IS STILL PENDING." YET,
WITHIN A TWO-MONTH PERIOD, FREEMAN'S DEPARTMENT GAVE A "DISTINGUISHED
SERVICE AWARD" TO HORACE GODFREY, THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ASCS AT
THE TIME OF THE ESTES MESS.

THIS EFFORT TO PUNISH THE MAN WHO TESTIFIED COURAGEOUSLY DOES NOT PROMOTE HONEST INDEPENDENCE.