

(R - Kansas)

## 2213 Dirksen Building, Washington, D.C. 20510

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 1979 CONTACT: BILL KATS, BRENDA LEVENSON 202-224-8947, -7563

Cost in

211

Page 1 of 1

1.72

1

## 'PUBLIC THE VICTIM' FOR LACK OF LIMITED PATENT RIGHT POLICY, DOLE SAYS

WASHINGTON -- Sen. Bob Dole (R-Kan.) said today that the American public suffers because of the government's current patent policies, which "act to stifle the development and marketing of inventions emanating from federally funded research." Dole made his statement during hearings on the University and Small Business Patent Procedures Act in the Judiciary Committee's subcommittee on consti-tution. Dole along with Sen. Birch Bayh (D-Ind.) are original sponsors of the bill.

The bill was introduced last fall following Dole's charges that the Department of Health, Education and Welfare was suppressing lifesaving drugs and medical devices developed under support from the National Institutes of Health. He stated that HEW's refusal to relinquish ownership of inventions developed by university scientists with NIII support "precludes the possibility of these drugs and medical devices ever reaching the public." As a result of Dole's actions, some of the inventions in question were freed for further development. But an HEW patent counsel who cooperated with Dole aides in formulating a new patent policy lost his department job as a result.

## Following is Sen. Dole's hearing statement:

'Mr. Chairman, the present patent policy generally encourages retention by the government of rights to inventions it sponsored. This policy has resulted in a reluctance by universities and industry to invest the necessary funds for the development and marketing of inventions emanating from federal funded research. This is understandable in view of the fact that the development process is not only risky but expensive, and estimated to cost ten times the cost of the initial research.

"By obstructing patent rights and innovations, the government increases the factor of uncertainty in an already uncertain area, that of technology end result. By denying the modicum of protection that the granting of patent rights for a limited period of time would afford, the government removes the incentive that would stimulate the private sector to develop and market inventions.

## IMPACT OF FEDERAL POLICY

"The effect of this policy is twofold, bearing on the consumer as well as on the economy in general. In both cases, the public is the victim. When large amounts of taxpayers' money are directed to the research field, the public expects and deserves to reap the benefit of its investment in the form of products available for its consumption. When this fails to materialize, it is obvious that the government has reneged on its promise. This is evidenced by the fact that, of the 28,000 inventions funded by the government, only about 5% have been used.

"The damaging impact of the federal patent policy on the economy is dramatic. That we have lost our leadership role to Japan in the fields of electronics and shiphuilding is no accident. Without short-term exclusive rights, small firms cannot take the risk of bringing innovations to the commercial market, but large foreign firms can and are doing so, with ideas gleaned from U.S. funded research. That the richest nation on earth has a trade deficit with Japan amounting to \$13 billion leaves room for reflexion, when one considers that fact that Japan has no natural resources on her mainland. Our annual growth is 3% as opposed to 8% in Japan. Our newly established tics with China make the People's Republic a candidate for emulation of the Japanese example. With a population of 900 million people, through the potential use of U.S. technology to which its access is now guaranteed, China could become a most formidable competitor.

"The development of technological innovation by government and industry in countries such as Japan and Germany, is a contributing factor in their dominance of world trade.