Congressmen Bob Dole (n-Kenses) stated today that the Senate Sugar Bill passed late last night is a marked improvement over the bill passed in the House on Tuesday, June 19, 1962. Dole said he voted against the House measure because best sugar producers were not assured of any real increase in production and, "In fact," Dole said, "under the House version it was very likely domestic producers would be permitted to produce less sugar after 1963."

Dole praised the amendment offered by Senator Frank Carlson (R-Kansas) and adopted unanimously by the Senate. Dole stated this amendment takes care of another weakness in the House bill and specifically directs the U.S.D.A. to give a pecial consideration to those countries purchasing our surplus agriculture composities when buying sugar from world sources. The amediannt could provide a real opportunity for Midwestern farmers in disposing of surplus stocks of wheat and feed grains.

Dole also pointed out that the Nouse provision which would have paid
\$22.7 -willion to three sugar companies and been knocked out of the Senate version.

Dole lead the fight in the House to been this amendment stricken on the basis claims
were pending in the U.S. Court of Claims and that there was, in his opinion, no
legal obligation. The claims were based on imposition of fees on nonquote augus
imported from the Dominican Republic from July 1, 1960 to March 30, 1961. Both
the Justice Department and the U.S.D.A. had advised Dole there was no legal obligation
to pay the claim, however the House by a vote of 222 to 174 overrode objections
and included the payments provision in the House version.

Dole concluded, "The Senate version is certainly a marked improvement over the bill passed by the House and when the bill comes to conference I hope these provisions in the Senate version are susteined."