western union

Telegram

				for the suit of suits and the suits of the s	Company of the Compan	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, SPINSTER, SPINST
NO. WDS CL. OF SVC.	PD. OR COLL.	CASH NO.	CHARGE TO THE ACCOUNT OF	THIS MESSAGE WILL BE SENT	PRESS	OVER NIGHT
les la o debienoù e sacio la Frak	- United standings of the least	March - I			DPR NPR	TELEGRAM
	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	GWA 088305	OTHERWISE INDICATED.			

Send the following message, subject to the Telegraph Company's conditions, rules and regulations, which are on file with regulatory authorities.

APRIL 20, 1982

MR. ELTON RULE
PRESIDENT
ABC-TV
1330 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019

I HAVE JUST FINISHED READING A TRANSCRIPT OF THE APRIL 8th EDITION OF "20/20". YOUR NETWORK'S ACCOUNT OF CONGRESSIONAL TAX DEDUCTIONS IS AN UNRIVALED EXAMPLE OF HATCHET JOURNALISM: DISTORTED, UNBALANCED AND RECKLESS.

CONTRARY TO YOUR REPORT, I DID NOT TURN DOWN AN INTERVIEW ON THE DEDUCTION CONTROVERSY. AS YOU HAVE ALREADY BEEN INFORMED, TELEPHONE CALLS FROM MY PRESS SECRETARY TO A "20/20" PRODUCER WERE NEVER RETURNED.

SECONDLY, MY AMENDMENT CITED IN THE IRRESPONSIBLE "THE GREAT CAPITOL TAX GAIN" REPORT IN NO WAY "HOOKED A TAX BREAK AMENDMENT ONTO THE POPULAR BLACK LUNG BILL". THIS PAST OCTOBER, THE HOUSE FIRST REMOVED THE LONG STANDING \$3,000 LIMIT FOR MEMBERS' DEDUCTIONS, SO THAT WHEN THE APPROPRIATIONS MEASURE REACHED THE SENATE THERE WAS, IN FACT, NO LONGER ANY KIND OF DEDUCTION LIMITS.

BECAUSE THE CAP WAS OFF, THE DOLE AMENDMENT MERELY REQUESTED THE IRS TO SET GUIDELINES AND LIMITS FOR MEMBERS' DEDUCTIONS. WITHOUT MY CLARIFICATION AMENDMENT, THE IRS DID NOT HAVE THE STATUTORY

AUTHORITY TO SET ANY LIMITS. THE DOLE AMENDMENT IS IN THE



Telegram

NGL 在100 F 100 F							
NO. WDSCL. OF SVC.	PD. OR COLL.	CASH NO.	CHARGE TO THE ACCOUNT OF	THIS MESSAGE WILL BE SENT	PR	ESS	OVER NIGHT
	Parishing Company	The second second second	AS A TELEGRAM UNLESS IT IS	DPR NPR		TELEGRAM	
	SUP. PARKE	Maria San A	The second of the second of	OTHERWISE INDICATED.			

Send the following message, subject to the Telegraph Company's conditions, rules and regulations, which are on file with regulatory authorities.

MR. ELTON RULE PAGE 2 APRIL 20, 1982

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, BUT APPARENTLY YOUR STAFF WAS TOO LAZY TO CHECK THE FACTS IN THE RUSH TO SENSATIONALIZE. I UNDERSTAND YOUR DESIRE TO HANG THE \$75 PER DAY PRICE TAG ON SOMEONE, BUT YOU WILL NOT HANG IT ON ME.

"20/20" HAS LABELED THE TAX DEDUCTION AS A "BACKDOOR" MANEUVER -HARDLY! THE FACTS SHOW THAT THE SENATE DEBATED THE ISSUE FOR
ALMOST TWO HOURS ON THE FLOOR, A DEBATE THAT INVOLVED SEVERAL
ROLL CALL VOTES IN FULL VIEW OF THE MEDIA. OF COURSE, THIS
INFORMATION DOES NOT LEND ITSELF TO THE TABLOID SMEAR TACTICS
PREFERRED BY ABC.

YOUR CHARACTERIZATION OF THE TAX DEDUCTION AS A "BIG REFUND" IS NOT ONL INACCURATE, IT IS A LIE. IF THE NEW DEDUCTION IS A REFUND, PLEASE EXPLAIN IT TO ME BECAUSE I HAVE YET TO RECEIVE MY CHECK IN THE MAIL.

THE SLOPPY ABC REPORTERS ALSO FAILED TO MENTION THAT THERE ARE ACTUALLY THREE LEVELS OF DEDUCTIONS ESTABLISHED BY THE IRS FOR CONGRESS BASED ON 262 DAYS IN SESSION. DESPITE "20/20" REPORTS, MEMBERS DO NOT AUTOMATICALLY "GET TO DEDUCT \$75 FOR EACH OF THOSE DAYS, BRINGING THEIR TOTAL TAX BREAK TO A FIGURE OF \$19,650 PER MEMBER". FOR MY 1981 TAXES, I PICKED THE MINIMUM DEDUCTION OF \$50



Telegram

NO. WDS CL. OF SVC.	PD. OR COLL. CASH NO.	CACH NO		THIS MESSAGE WILL BE SENT AS A TELEGRAM UNLESS IT IS	PRESS		OVER NIGHT
		CASH NO.				NPR	TELEGRAM
	THE STREET	I Lake hard	TO BE SHELLING THE BEST OF SHE	OTHERWISE INDICATED.			

end the following message, subject to the Telegraph Company's conditions, rules and regulations, which are on file with regulatory authorities.

MR. ELTON RULE PAGE 3 APRIL 20, 1982

FOR EACH DAY IN SESSION, MINUS 23 DAYS WHEN I WAS IN THE HOSPITAL, AND 13 OTHER DAYS AWAY FROM CAPITOL HILL. AS A RESULT, THE NEW DEDUCTION TRANSLATED INTO A TAX SAVINGS OF \$4,400, HARDLY THE "WINDFALL" ADVERTISED BY THE SO-CALLED JOURNALISTS OF "20/20". FOR THE RECORD, I PAID \$43,250 IN FEDERAL TAXES ON 1981 INCOME, NOT EXACTLY THE "BIG REFUND" HYPED BY ABC.

I RESENT THE CARELESSNESS OF "20/20" AND DEMAND AN APOLOGY.

UNFORTUNATELY, THE DAMAGE HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE. PERHAPS A MORE

ACCURATE TITLE FOR YOUR TELEVISION SHOW WOULD BE "20/40". YOUR

BRAND OF SHABBY JOURNALISM IS AN OUTRAGE.

BOB DOLE UNITED STATES SENATE