This press release is from the collections at the Robert J. Dole Archive and Special Collections, University of Kansas. Please contact us with any questions or comments: http://dolearchive.ku.edu/adk DISTRICT, KANSAS

## Congress of the United States **House of Representatives** Mashington, D.C. 20515

ns, University of Main	
dukanski	HODGEMAN
CHEYENNE	JEWELL
CLARK	KEARNY
CLOUD	KIOWA
DECATUR	LANE
EDWARDS	LINCOLN
ELLIS	LOGAN
ELLSWORTH	MEADE
FINNEY	MITCHELL
FORD	MORTON
GOVE	NESS
GRAHAM	NORTON
GRANT	OSBO RNE
GRAY	OTTAWA
GREELEY	PAWNEE
HAMILTON	PHILLIPS
HASKELL	PRATT

RAWLINS REPUBLIC ROOKS RUSH RUSSELL SALIN SCOTT

NGRESSMAN DOLE REPORTS FROM WASHINGTON

HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING REA CODE 202

225-2715

OMMITTEES:

ICULTURE NMENT OPERATIONS

**STRICT OFFICE:** DERAL BUILDING BEND, KANSAS 6753 AREA CODE 316 SW 3-5423

## FOR RELEASE: WEEK OF JUNE 5, 1967 ADMINISTRATION SCHEME TO TAX OLD-AGE BENEFITS IS OPPOSED

The Department of the Treasury has proposed to the House of Representatives at Social Security old-age monthly benefits and Railroad Retirement benefits be de subject to Federal income tax. The Johnson Administration plan to levy income xes on Social Security benefits is a device to take from thousands of Americans o can least afford to pay. Moreover, the Administration proposes, thereby, to levy tax on that which the beneficiary has already paid taxes.

In my opinion, to tax Social Security and Railroad Retirement benefits would ount to double taxation. The same income would be taxed first when it was earned d second when it was paid in benefits. This is tantamount to giving with one nd while taking away with the other.

## House Resolution 495 Expresses Opposition

On May 31, 1967, I introduced a Resolution to express the sense of the House Representatives that Social Security and Railroad Retirement benefits should be empt from Federal income taxes. The Resolution emphasizes that inflation, which itself is a form of hidden taxation, imposes especially heavy burdens on persons ving on fixed incomes for their basic necessities.

## A Penalty on Fixed Incomes

The price spiral of inflation, produced largely by the fiscal policies of the ministration, has seriously reduced the protection intended by the Social Security ogram. Millions of older Americans have watched their fixed pensions, savings, nuities, and Social Security benefits shrink in purchasing power.

There is strong opposition to the Administration's tax proposals to increase eatly the tax burden on a sizable proportion of the Nation's elderly citizens. To x old-age benefits would harshly penalize those who have planned and saved over the ars in anticipation of retirement.

Every American seeks to improve the world in which he lives so that his chilen may thrive and prosper from the fruits of his efforts. Surely Americans today e something to the generation which has done the same for us--which helped build e society in which we thrive and prosper. Who is more entitled to share the fruits our increased prosperity than our older citizens, who did so much to create it?